arXiv:math/0304030v7 [math.NT] 20 Jan 2010

Mod p classification of Shimura F-crystals

Adrian Vasiu

to appear in Math. Nachr.

ABSTRACT. Let k be an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p. We first classify the D-truncations mod p of Shimura F-crystals over k and then we study stratifications defined by inner isomorphism classes of these D-truncations. This generalizes previous works of Kraft, Ekedahl, Oort, Moonen, and Wedhorn. As a main tool we introduce and study Bruhat F-decompositions; they generalize the combined form of Steinberg theorem and of classical Bruhat decompositions for reductive groups over k.

Key words: F-crystals, reductive group schemes, Lie algebras, Barsotti–Tate groups, group actions, and stratifications.

MSC (2000): 11E57, 11G10, 11G18, 11G25, 11G35, 14F30, 14L17, 14L30, and 20G99.

§1. Introduction

A smooth, affine group scheme E over an affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is called *reductive*, if its fibres are reductive groups over fields and thus are connected. Let E^{ad} be the adjoint group scheme of E i.e., the quotient of E by its center. Let D be a closed subgroup scheme of E; if R is not a field, we assume D is smooth. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(D)$ be the *Lie algebra* over R of D. As R-modules, we identify $\mathrm{Lie}(D) = \mathrm{Ker}(D(R[x]/x^2) \to D(R))$, where the R-epimorphism $R[x]/(x^2) \twoheadrightarrow R$ maps x to 0. The Lie bracket on $\mathrm{Lie}(D)$ is obtained by taking the total differential of the commutator morphism $D \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} D \to D$ at identity sections. If $\mathrm{Lie}(D)$ is a free R-module, we view it as a D-module via the adjoint representation of D. For a free R-module K of finite rank, let $\boldsymbol{GL}_K$ be the reductive group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ of linear automorphisms of K. If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K)$, let $f_1 f_2 := f_1 \circ f_2 \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K)$.

Let p be a prime. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. Let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in k. Each reductive group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ is split i.e., is a Chevalley group scheme. Let $B(k)$ be the field of fractions of $W(k)$. Let σ be the Frobenius automorphism of k, $W(k)$, and $B(k)$.

1.1. Shimura F-crystals over k

Let (M, ϕ) be a *Dieudonné module* over k. Thus M is a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank and $\phi : M \to M$ is a σ-linear endomorphism such that we have $pM \subseteq \phi(M)$. Let $\vartheta : M \to M$ be the Verschiebung map of (M, ϕ); we have $\phi\vartheta = \vartheta\phi = p1_M$. We denote also by ϕ the σ-linear automorphism of $\mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ that maps $e \in \mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ to $\phi e \phi^{-1} = \vartheta^{-1} e \vartheta \in \mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$. Let G be a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ such that $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ and there exists a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ with the properties that: (i) $\phi(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) = M$ and (ii) the cocharacter of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ that fixes F^0 and that acts on F^1 as the inverse of the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$, factors through G. We denote this factorization as

$$\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G.$$

Triples as (M, ϕ, G) show up in the study of special fibres of good integral models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type in mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ (see [19], [16], [35], [39], [40], etc.). We call them *Shimura F-crystals* over k.

See Subsection 4.4 for the definition of the simple factors of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ and of their types. Each simple factor of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ is either trivial or non-trivial. Moreover, each non-trivial simple factor of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ is of one of the following five types introduced in the context of Shimura varieties of Hodge type in [5]: A_n with $n \geq 1$, B_n with $n \geq 1$, C_n with $n \geq 1$, $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ with $n \geq 4$, and $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ with $n \geq 4$.

For $g \in G(W(k))$ let

$$\mathcal{C}_g := (M, g\phi, G)$$

and let $\bar{g} \in G(k)$ be g mod p. As $\phi^{-1}(pM) = (g\phi)^{-1}(pM) = F^1 + pM$, the subgroup

$$\mathbb{L} := \{h \in G(W(k)) | h(F^1 + pM) = F^1 + pM\} \leqslant G(W(k))$$

is intrinsically associated to the *family* $\{\mathcal{C}_g | g \in G(W(k))\}$ of Shimura F-crystals. If g_1, $g_2 \in G(W(k))$, then by an *inner isomorphism* between $\mathcal{C}_{g_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g_2}$ we mean an element $h \in G(W(k))$ such that $hg_1\phi = g_2\phi h$; it is easy to see that $h \in \mathbb{L}$. The normalizer P of F^1 in G is a *parabolic* subgroup scheme of G, cf. Subsubsection 3.3.4. We have $P(W(k)) = \{h \in G(W(k)) | h(F^1) = F^1\} \leqslant \mathbb{L} = \{h \in G(W(k)) | \bar{h} \in P(k)\}$.

Let $< \mathcal{C}_g >:= \{\mathcal{C}_\dagger | \dagger \in G(W(k)),\ \mathcal{C}_\dagger \text{ is inner isomorphic to } \mathcal{C}_g\}$ be the inner isomorphism class of $\mathcal{C}_g$. Let

$$\mathbb{I}_\infty := \{< \mathcal{C}_g > | g \in G(W(k))\}$$

be the set of inner isomorphism classes associated to the family $\{\mathcal{C}_g | g \in G(W(k))\}$.

In [42] we studied the rational classification of $\mathcal{C}_g$'s. In this paper we study the mod p classification of $\mathcal{C}_g$'s.

1.1.1. On D-truncations mod p

Let $\bar{M} := M/pM$. Let $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \to \bar{M}$ and $\bar{\vartheta} : \bar{M} \to \bar{M}$ be the reductions mod p of ϕ and ϑ (respectively). By the *D-truncation mod p* of $\mathcal{C}_g$ we mean the quadruple

$$\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} := (\bar{M}, \bar{g}\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}^{-1}, G_k).$$

Here D stands for Dieudonné and it is inserted in order not to create confusion with the triple $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}\bar{\phi}, G_k)$ that is the usual truncation mod p of the triple $(M, g\phi, G)$ which is an F-crystal over k with a group. By an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2}$ we mean an element $\bar{h} \in P(k)$ such that $\bar{h}\bar{g}_1\bar{\phi} = \bar{g}_2\bar{\phi}\bar{h}$ and $\bar{h}\bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}_1^{-1} = \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}_2^{-1}\bar{h}$. Let $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >:= \{\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\dagger}} | \bar{\dagger} \in G(k),\ \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\dagger}} \text{ is inner isomorphic to } \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}\}$ be the inner isomorphism class of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$. Let

$$\mathbb{I} := \{< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} > | \bar{g} \in G(k)\}$$

be the set of inner isomorphism classes associated to the family $\{\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} | \bar{g} \in G(k)\}$ of D-truncations mod p. Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ be the reduced group of the group scheme $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}$ over k of inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$, cf. Subsubsection 5.1.1. We have $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}(k) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}(k) = \{\bar{h} \in P(k) | \bar{h}\bar{g}\bar{\phi} = \bar{g}\bar{\phi}\bar{h} \text{ and } \bar{h}\bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}^{-1} = \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}^{-1}\bar{h}\}$.

1.1.2. Weyl elements

We fix a maximal *torus* T of P through which the cocharacter μ factors (see Subsubsection 3.3.1). It is easy to see that there exists an element $g_{\mathrm{corr}} \in G(W(k))$ such that $g_{\mathrm{corr}}\phi$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ (see Subsection 4.2). As $\{\mathcal{C}_g | g \in G(W(k))\} = \{\mathcal{C}_{gg_{\mathrm{corr}}} | g \in G(W(k))\}$, for the study of $\mathcal{C}_g$'s and of their D-truncations mod p we can replace ϕ by $g_{\mathrm{corr}}\phi$. In other words, to ease notations we will assume that $g_{\mathrm{corr}} = 1_M$ i.e., we will assume that

$$\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T)) = \mathrm{Lie}(T). \tag{1}$$

For the sake of functoriality, flexibility, and simplicity of computations, we will *not* assume the existence of a Borel subgroup scheme B of G such that $T \leqslant B \leqslant P$ and $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(B)) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(B)$.

Let N_T be the normalizer of T in G. Let $W_G := (N_T/T)(W(k))$ be the *Weyl group* of G. The Weyl group of P is $W_P := ((N_T \cap P)/T)(W(k)) \leqslant W_G$. For each $w \in W_G$, we fix a representative $g_w \in N_T(W(k))$ of it. We note that $< \mathcal{C}_{g_w} >$ depends only on w and not on the choice of g_w, cf. Lemma 4.1.

1.1.3. Basic combinatorial data

Let $\mathcal{S}_{W_P}$ be the set of subgroups of W_P. Our basic combinatorial data consists in three maps

$$\sigma : W_G \tilde{\to} W_G, \qquad \mathcal{S} : W_G \to \mathcal{S}_{W_P}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{S} : W_G \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

described as follows. To the quintuple (M, ϕ, G, μ, T) one associates a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, T_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ of (G, T), cf. Subsection 4.2. Let $\sigma : W_G \tilde{\to} W_G$ be the action of σ on the Weyl group W_G with respect to this $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure.

Let $l_0 \in \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ be the unique semisimple element such that we have $F^0 = \{x \in M | l_0(x) = 0\}$ and $F^1 = \{x \in M | l_0(x) = -x\}$. Let $\bar{l}_0 \in \mathrm{End}_k(\bar{M})$ be the reduction mod p of l_0. For $w \in W_G$, let

$$\mathcal{S}(w) := \{w_1 \in W_G | g_{w_1} \text{ commutes with } (g_w\phi)^i(l_0) \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leqslant W_P.$$

The root decomposition of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ relative to T encodes the map $\mathbb{S} : W_G \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, cf. Subsubsection 4.1.1.

Our main goal is to prove the following three Basic Theorems and to get several applications of them (including the Basic Theorem D of Subsection 12.2).

1.2. Basic Theorem A (short form)

For each element $\bar{g} \in G(k)$, the identity component of the group $Aut^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}}$ is a unipotent group over k (i.e., has no torus of positive dimension). Moreover for each element $w \in W_G$, we have an equality $\dim(Aut^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}) = \mathbb{S}(w)$.

1.3. Basic Theorem B

Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\phi,\mu} \subseteq W_G^2$ be the relation such that for $w_1, w_2 \in W_G$ we have $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if there exist elements $w_3 \in W_P$ and $w_4 \in \mathcal{S}(w_2) \leqslant W_P$ with $w_1 = w_3 w_4 w_2 \sigma(w_3^{-1})$. Then the following three things hold:

(a) *Let $w_1, w_2 \in W_G$. We have $< \mathcal{C}_{g_{w_1}} >=< \mathcal{C}_{g_{w_2}} >$ if and only if $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}} >=< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}} >$.*

(b) *We have $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}} >=< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}} >$.*

(c) *The relation $\mathcal{R}$ on W_G is an equivalence relation.*

1.4. Basic Theorem C

(a) *There exists a subset R_G of W_G such that for each element $g \in G(W(k))$, there exist elements $w \in R_G$ and $g_1 \in Ker(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$ with the property that $< \mathcal{C}_g >=< \mathcal{C}_{g_1 g_w} >$.*

(b) *The smallest number of elements a set R_G as in (a) can have is $[W_G : W_P]$.*

Lemma 4.5 (c) checks that we have $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_1} >=< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2} >$ if and only if there exists an element $h_{12} \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$ such that $< \mathcal{C}_{g_1} >=< \mathcal{C}_{h_{12} g_2} >$. Thus the statement 1.4 (a) is equivalent to the fact that for each element $\bar{g} \in G(k)$, there exists $w \in W_G$ such that we have $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >=< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} >$. Therefore from properties 1.3 (b) and 1.4 (b), we get the following listing of inner isomorphism classes in $\mathbb{I}$:

Corollary 1.1. *The map* $a_{\mathrm{can}} : \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G \to \mathbb{I}$ *that takes* $[w] \in \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G$ *to* $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} > \in \mathbb{I}$ *is a well defined bijection. The sets* $\mathcal{R}\backslash W_G$ *and* $\mathbb{I}$ *have* $[W_G : W_P]$ *elements.*

From Corollary 1.1 and the property 1.3 (a) we get:

Corollary 1.2. *Let* $Mod_p : \mathbb{I}_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{I}$ *be the surjective map that takes* $< \mathcal{C}_g >$ *to* $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >$*. Then the injective map* $Lift : \mathbb{I} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_\infty$ *that takes* $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} >$ *to* $< \mathcal{C}_{g_w} >$ *is a well defined section of* Mod_p*.*

Corollary 11.1 (d) checks that the section Lift : $\mathbb{I} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_\infty$ is canonical (i.e., its image does not depend on the maximal torus T of G); we call this Teichmüller type of section as the *toric section* of Mod_p.

1.5. On literature

The existence of the set $R_{\boldsymbol{GL}_M}$ is only an adequate translation of the classification of truncated Barsotti–Tate groups of level 1 over k obtained in [17] (see Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 4.6). Recent works of Ekedahl–Oort and Moonen extended [17] to the classical context of (principally quasi-polarized) truncated Barsotti–Tate groups of level 1 over k endowed with certain semisimple $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras of endomorphisms (see [26] and [22]; see also [43] for some weaker extensions). These extensions can be used to regain Basic Theorem C for the particular cases related to this classical context; all these cases are such that the simple factors of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ are either of A_n type or of very particular (the so called totally non-compact) C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. In [26] no semisimple $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras show up. In [22] the case $p = 2$ is almost entirely excluded and the proofs are very long and do not generalize.

Formula $\dim(\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}) = \mathbb{S}(w)$ of Basic Theorem A is a new (type of) dimension formula for reduced groups of inner automorphisms. It seems to us that this formula is more practical than its analogue of [23] that pertains to the mentioned classical context. For instance, we use this formula to generalize to the context of the family $\{\mathcal{C}_g | g \in G(W(k))\}$, the well known fact that the Barsotti–Tate group of a finite product of supersingular elliptic curves over k is uniquely determined by its truncation of level 1 (see Theorem 8.3 and Corollary 11.1 (c)).

If $G = \boldsymbol{GL}_M$, then either [27] or [41, Thm. 1.6] can be used to identify the (small) subset of $\mathcal{R}\backslash W_G$ formed by those elements $[w]$ for which the following stronger form of the property 1.3 (a) holds: if $g \in G(W(k))$, then we have $< \mathcal{C}_{g_w} > = < \mathcal{C}_g >$ if and only if $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} > = < \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >$. But even if $G = \boldsymbol{GL}_M$, the property 1.3 (a) does not follow from [27]. We do not know any other relevant literature that pertains to Basic Theorem B.

1.6. On contents and proofs

Section 2 includes complements on algebraic groups over k. In particular, it presents a conjecture of us which is a more general form of the combination of: (i) Steinberg theorem for reductive groups over k that are equipped with finite endomorphisms and (ii) Bruhat decompositions with respect to Borel subgroups of reductive groups over k. We refer to this conjecture as *the Bruhat F-decompositions for reductive groups over k*; here F stands for a finite (Frobenius) endomorphism. This conjecture is proved in this paper for all those cases that are related to Shimura F-crystals, cf. Corollary 7 (a). Well after this paper was submitted, in [12] it is claimed without any details that [12, Cor. 4.1] is an equivalent form of the conjecture (see also [31]). Section 3 recalls properties of reductive group schemes. Section 4 lists basic properties of Shimura F-crystals like $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures, types, the existence of the set $R_{\boldsymbol{GL}_M}$, etc. Bruhat F-decompositions are naturally associated to certain *group actions.*

---

1 Strictly speaking, the map a_{can} is indeed canonical only if one assumes that there exists a Borel subgroup scheme B of G such that $T \leqslant B \leqslant P$ and $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(B)) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(B)$. But we repeat that it is very desirable and practical not to make such an assumption.

In Subsection 5.1 we present our first main new idea that a group action of the form

$$\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma} : H_k \times_k G_k \to G_k$$

(with G_k viewed only as a variety over k), *governs the refined structures of* $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$*'s.* In particular, if $\mathbb{O}$ is the set of orbits of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$, then we have a natural bijection (see Lemma 5.1 (a))

$$b_{\text{can}} : \mathbb{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{O}.$$

The group H_k over k is connected, smooth, and affine; it is not reductive except for the trivial cases when $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ factors through the center of G. We use the action $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ to prove (see Subsection 5.2) a longer form of the Basic Theorem A. What we do is to "reinterpret" the groups $\text{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\text{red}}$ in terms of *reduced stabilizer subgroups* of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ and to prove the analogue of Basic Theorem A for such stabilizer subgroups.

Subsection 6.1 recalls the classical analogue $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\text{cl}}$ of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ that produces the Bruhat decomposition for the quotient set $P(k)\backslash G(k)$. Theorem 6.1 "compares" $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\text{cl}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ to prove (via Basic Theorem A) that if the set $\mathbb{O}$ is finite, then $\mathbb{O}$ has precisely $[W_G : W_P]$ elements and the values of the function $\mathbb{S}$ are computable in terms of values of the classical analogue $\mathbb{S}^{\text{cl}} : W_G \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ of $\mathbb{S}$. We emphasize that Sections 5 and 6 (and thus also Basic Theorem A) are elementary in nature i.e., they rely mainly on basic properties of group actions.

In Section 7 we present our second main new idea: we introduce and study *the zero space* $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$. This zero space $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(P_k)$. The role of $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ is triple folded:

(a) It is the "σ-Lie algebra" of $\text{Aut}_{\bar{g}}$; thus $\text{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\text{red}}$ normalizes $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ (cf. Lemma 7.1 (c)).

(b) A suitable $\mathbb{F}_p$-Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{x}_{\bar{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ is the Lie algebra of an $\mathbb{F}_p$-structure of a (uniquely determined) reductive subgroup of G_k of the same rank as G_k. See Theorem 7.6 for $\mathfrak{x}_{\bar{g}_w} = \{x \in \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} | [\bar{l}_0, x] = 0\}$.

(c) The k-span $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ records all "good" $P(k)$-conjugates of the cocharacter $\mu_k : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_k$ (i.e., of the element $\bar{l}_0 \in \text{Lie}(P_k)$) with respect to $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$. For instance, if $w \in W_G$, then Theorem 9.1 shows that a $P(k)$-conjugate $\bar{l}_1$ of $\bar{l}_0$ is also an $\text{Aut}_{\bar{g}_w}^{\text{red}}$-conjugate of $\bar{l}_0$ if and only if $\bar{l}_1 \in \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$ is the Lie algebra of a (uniquely determined) connected, smooth subgroup of G_k of the same rank as G_k (see Theorem 7.8 for $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$).

Theorem 9.1 relies on Basic Theorem A and Section 7 and it is the main ingredient in proving Basic Theorem B in Subsection 9.1.

Section 10 combines Sections 4 and 7 and Theorem 9.1 to show that under some conditions, the set R_G exists if its analogue R_{G_1} exists, where G_1 is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ that contains G and such that the triple (M, ϕ, G_1) is a Shimura F-crystal over k (see Theorem 10.1). This standard idea is also used in [22] and [43] which worked with $G_1 = \boldsymbol{GL}_M$. As a main difference from [22] and [43], in the proof of Theorem 10.1 we use direct sum decompositions $\text{Lie}(G_1) = \text{Lie}(G) \oplus \text{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$ of G-modules or adjoint variants of them and we do not appeal to either $\mathbb{Z}_p$- or $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras or the canonical stratifications of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}^{-1})$'s introduced in [17] and [26]. Moreover, we use (reductions mod p) of Verschiebung maps only in Subsection 4.3 and (to introduce notations) in Subsection 5.1.1 and Section 12. See Subsection 3.2 for basic properties of trace maps (most common such direct sum decompositions $\text{Lie}(G_1) = \text{Lie}(G) \oplus \text{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$ are produced by them).

Let P_1 be the normalizer of F^1 in G_1 and let $g \in G(W(k))$. The guiding idea of the proof of Theorem 10.1 is: as the set R_{G_1} exists, there exists an element $\bar{l}_1 \in \text{Lie}(G_{1k})$ that is defined by a "good" $P_1(k)$-conjugate of $\mu_k : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_k$ with respect to $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}^{-1}, G_{1k})$; we use the mentioned direct sum decompositions to define a component of $\bar{l}_1$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$. This component is defined by a "good" $P(k)$-conjugate of $\mu_k : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_k$ with respect to $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$ and thus we can exploit (b) to show that $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >$ is $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} >$ for some $w \in W_G$.

Section 11 uses Sections 4 to 7, 9, and 10 to prove Basic Theorem C. The main four steps are as follows.

(i) The existence of the set R_G is of intrinsic nature i.e., is encoded in the adjoint analogue $\mathbb{T}_{G_k^{\mathrm{ad}},\sigma}$ of the action $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$. Thus to prove Basic Theorem C, we can assume that the pair $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ is simple and we can appeal to the *replacement process* which allows us to replace (M, ϕ, G) by any other Shimura F-crystal (M_*, ϕ_*, G_*) over k for which we have an identity $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_*^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi_*) = (\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$; see properties 11.1 (i) and (ii).

(ii) The statement 1.4 (a) implies the statement 1.4 (b), cf. Corollary 6.2.

(iii) Suppose we are not dealing with an exceptional case. Based on the replacement process and on the classification of cocharacters of the form $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_M$ (see [30] over $B(k)$), we can assume that there exists a reductive, closed subgroup scheme G_1 of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ such that the triple (M, ϕ, G_1) is a Shimura F-crystal and the hypotheses of Theorem 10.1 hold (in particular, the set R_{G_1} exists). Thus based on Theorem 10.1, we *inductively* prove that the statement 1.4 (a) holds outside the exceptional cases.

(iv) The exceptional cases are when $p = 2$ and $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ is of B_n, C_n, $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$, or $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type. To prove the statement 1.4 (a) for these cases, we use a *shifting process* that is a standard combinatorial application of Basic Theorem B and Theorem 6.2. This shifting process says that it suffices to prove Basic Theorem C for $p >> 0$.

1.7. Motivations and extra applications

Our main reason in proving Basic Theorems A to C is to get tools to study: (i) the set $\mathbb{I}_\infty$ and (ii) different *stratifications* of special fibres of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type in mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ that are proved to exist in [35] and [39] or are (assumed to exist and) considered in [40, Sect. 8] and [42, Sect. 5]. For instance, based on Subsections 8.1, 12.2, 12.3, and 12.4 (a), the methods of [25] can be adapted to show that each rational stratification of [42, Subsect. 5.3] has a unique closed stratum. Based on either [35, Subsect. 5.4] or [42, Sect. 5], the generalization of [26, Sect. 1] and [43, Thm. of Introd.] to the mentioned special fibres is automatic (see Remark 12.4 (a)). Thus for the sake of generality and of not making the paper too long by recalling Shimura varieties of Hodge type, in Section 12 we introduce stratifications that generalize loci cit. directly in an axiomatized context. For the context of reductive groups, our stratifications are more general than the level 1 stratifications formalized in [38, Subsubsect. 4.2.3]. Example 12.2 and Remark 12.4 (a) explain how the axiomatized context applies naturally to special fibres as above. Subsections 12.2 and 12.3 contain many tools one needs in applications (the number and dimensions of strata, specializations between strata, etc.).

1.8. Generalizations

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b \geq a$. The methods of Sections 5 to 7 and 9 to 12 work even if we replace the roles of the quadruple $(M = F^1 \oplus F^0, \phi, \mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G, \mathbb{L})$ and of D-truncations by the ones of an analogue quadruple

$$(2) \qquad (\tilde{M} = \oplus_{i=a}^b \tilde{F}^i, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{G}, \widetilde{\mathbb{L}} := \{\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}(W(k)) | \tilde{g}(\oplus_{i=a}^b p^{-i}\tilde{F}^i) = \oplus_{i=a}^b p^{-i}\tilde{F}^i\})$$

and of F-truncations defined in [37, Subsubsect. 3.2.9]. The parts of Sections 10 to 12 that rely on the decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$, extend to the context of (2) only if $b - a \leq p - 1$; but a similar shifting process shows that to generalize Basic Theorem C to the context of (2) we can assume $p >> 0$. Thus to generalize Basic Theorems A to C to the context of (2) one only needs to: (i) prove based on Remark 9.5 (a) the analogue of Basic Theorem C for the case when $\tilde{G} = \boldsymbol{GL}_{\tilde{M}}$ and (ii) apply the methods of Sections 4 to 7 and 9 to 12.

§2. Complements on algebraic groups over k

We include complements on a connected, smooth, affine group $\mathcal{G}$ over k. We recall that two maximal tori of $\mathcal{G}$ are $\mathcal{G}(k)$-conjugate, cf. [1, Ch. IV, Cor. 11.3 (1)].

2.1. Two classical results

Let $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ be a finite endomorphism. Let $\mathcal{G}^F(k)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(k)$ formed by elements fixed by F. Steinberg theorem asserts (cf. [32, Thm. 10.1]): if the group $\mathcal{G}^F(k)$ is finite, then $\mathcal{G}(k) = \{hF(h)^{-1} | h \in \mathcal{G}(k)\}$.

Often, $\mathcal{G}$ has a model $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ and $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ is the Frobenius endomorphism with respect to it; the group $\mathcal{G}^F(k) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$ is finite and thus we have $\mathcal{G}(k) = \{hF(h)^{-1} | h \in \mathcal{G}(k)\}$. This last identity is called Lang theorem and is equivalent to the fact that the set $H^1(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}})$ has only one class.

From now on we will take $\mathcal{G}$ to be reductive. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a maximal torus of a Borel subgroup $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{B}}$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathcal{B}$. Let $W_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the Weyl group of $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. For $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}$, let $h_w \in \mathcal{G}(k)$ be an element that normalizes $\mathcal{T}$ and that represents w. The classical Bruhat decomposition for the pair $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ asserts that we have a disjoint union $\mathcal{G}(k) = \sqcup_{w \in W_{\mathcal{G}}} \mathcal{B}(k) h_w \mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{B}}(k)$, cf. [1, Ch. IV, Subsect. 14.12].

2.2. Bruhat F-decompositions

We recall that $\mathcal{G}$ is reductive. Let $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ be a finite endomorphism. Let $\mathcal{G}^F(k), \mathcal{T}, W_{\mathcal{G}}$, and $(h_w)_{w \in W_{\mathcal{G}}}$ be as in Subsection 2.1. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a parabolic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ that contains $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the unique Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{P}$ that contains $\mathcal{T}$, cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.12 (ii)]. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the parabolic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ that is the opposite of $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}$ (i.e., we have $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}$). Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathcal{Q}$. In Spring 2001 we conjectured:

Conjecture 2.1 (Bruhat F-decompositions for reductive groups over k). *Suppose the group $\mathcal{G}^F(k)$ is finite. If $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{G}$, we also assume that $F(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}$. Then each element $h \in \mathcal{G}(k)$ can be written as a product $h = h_1 h_2 h_w F(h_2^{-1}) F(h_3^{-1})$, where $(h_1, h_2, h_3) \in \mathcal{U}(k) \times \mathcal{L}(k) \times \mathcal{N}(k)$ and where $w \in W_{\mathcal{G}}$. The minimal number of such elements $w \in W_{\mathcal{G}}$ we need is precisely $[W_{\mathcal{G}} : W_{\mathcal{P}}]$, where $W_{\mathcal{P}} := \{w \in W_{\mathcal{G}} | h_w \mathcal{P} h_w^{-1} = \mathcal{P}\}$.*

Since 2001, there have been three approaches to the Conjecture 2.1.

- The inductive approach presented in this paper and which is carried on in Corollary 7 (a) for those cases that are related to Shimura F-crystals over k.
- The elementary approach of [31] based on group actions (that works in very particular cases).
- The approach based on partial flag varieties associated to $\mathcal{G}$ presented in [12] (an equivalent form of Conjecture 2.1 is claimed in [12, Cor. 4.1]; but the passage from loc. cit. to Conjecture 2.1 requires substantial work which is not presented in [12]).

For the sake of being self-contained, of providing more algebraic geometric background to Shimura F-crystals, and of presenting the original approach to Conjecture 2.1, in this paper we do not rely on [12] or [31].

2.2.1. The $\mathbb{T}$-actions

Behind Conjecture 2.1 there exists a group action

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},F} : \mathcal{H} \times_k \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$$

on the k-variety $\mathcal{G}$ defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{U} \times_k \mathcal{L} \times_k \mathcal{N}$ be endowed with a new group structure via the rules: if (r_1, r_2, r_3), $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathcal{H}(k)$, then

$$(r_1, r_2, r_3) \cdot (s_1, s_2, s_3) := (r_1 r_2 s_1 r_2^{-1}, r_2 s_2, r_3 r_2 s_3 r_2^{-1}) \in \mathcal{H}(k) \tag{3}$$

and $(r_1, r_2, r_3)^{-1} := (r_2^{-1} r_1^{-1} r_2, r_2^{-1}, r_2^{-1} r_3^{-1} r_2) \in \mathcal{H}(k)$.

If $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{G}$, then this new group structure (on $\mathcal{H}$) is truly new i.e., it is not the natural product group structure (on $\mathcal{H}$). We call this new group structure (on $\mathcal{H}$) the *semidirect product group structure.* Note that $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $(\mathcal{U} \times_k \mathcal{N}) \rtimes_k \mathcal{L}$ defined by the inner conjugation action of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{U} \times_k \mathcal{N}$. Thus, if $\mathcal{H} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is the epimorphism that maps $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in \mathcal{H}(k)$ to $r_2 \in \mathcal{L}(k)$, then we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{U} \times_k \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{L} \to 1. \tag{4}$$

The new group structure on $\mathcal{U} \times_k \mathcal{L}$ (resp. on $\mathcal{L} \times_k \mathcal{N}$) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}$ (resp. to $\mathcal{Q}$) via the isomorphism which on k-valued points maps $(r_1, r_2) \in \mathcal{U}(k) \times \mathcal{L}(k)$ to $r_1 r_2 \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ (resp. maps $(r_2, r_3) \in \mathcal{L}(k) \times \mathcal{N}(k)$ to $r_3 r_2 \in \mathcal{Q}(k)$). The product k-morphism $\mathcal{U} \times_k \mathcal{L} \times_k \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{G}$ is an open embedding around the identity elements, cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Prop. 4.1.2]. Thus $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \dim(\mathcal{U}) + \dim(\mathcal{L}) + \dim(\mathcal{N}) = \dim(\mathcal{G})$.

We define the $\mathbb{T}$-action $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},F}$ via the following rule on k-valued points

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},F}((r_1, r_2, r_3), h) := r_1 r_2 h F(r_2^{-1}) F(r_3^{-1}). \tag{5}$$

Each orbit of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},F}$ is a connected, smooth, locally closed subscheme of $\mathcal{G}$, cf. [1, Ch. I, Subsect. 1.8, Prop.] and the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ is connected. Our first motivations for Conjecture 2.1 are based on the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose the group $\mathcal{G}^F(k)$ is finite. If $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{G}$, we also assume that $F(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}$. We have:*

(a) *If $h_0 \in \mathcal{G}(k)$, then the group $\mathcal{G}^{h_0 F h_0^{-1}}(k) = \{h \in \mathcal{G}(k) | h_0 F(h) h_0^{-1} = h\}$ is finite.*

(b) *Conjecture 2.1 holds if and only if the action $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},F}$ has precisely $[W_{\mathcal{G}} : W_{\mathcal{P}}]$ orbits and each such orbit contains h_w for some element $w \in W_{\mathcal{G}}$. For each $w \in W_{\mathcal{G}}$ the orbit of h_w under $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},F}$ depends only on $w \in W_G$ (i.e., it contains $\mathcal{T}(k)h_w$).*

(c) *If $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, then Conjecture 2.1 holds.*

(d) *Let $\mathcal{P}'$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ be the images of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ (respectively) in $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$. Let $F' : \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ad}} \to \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ be the endomorphism induced naturally by F. Then Conjecture 2.1 holds for the quadruple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}, F)$ if and only if it holds for the quadruple $(\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}, \mathcal{P}', \mathcal{T}', F')$.*

(e) *If $h_0 \in \mathcal{G}(k)$ normalizes $\mathcal{T}$, then Conjecture 2.1 holds for the finite endomorphism $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ if and only if it holds for the finite endomorphism $h_0 F h_0^{-1} : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$.*

(f) *If $\mathcal{P}$ is a Borel subgroup $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{G}$, then Conjecture 2.1 holds.*

Proof: As we have a central isogeny from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ times the abelianization of $\mathcal{G}$, it suffices to prove (a) when $\mathcal{G}$ is either a torus or an adjoint group. The case when $\mathcal{G}$ is a torus is trivial.

We now assume $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$. As F maps a simple factor of $\mathcal{G}$ to a simple factor of $\mathcal{G}$, (by replacing F with positive integral powers of itself) it suffices to check (a) under the extra hypothesis that $\mathcal{G}$ is simple. As $\mathcal{G}^F(k)$ is finite, F is not an automorphism (cf. [32, Cor. 10.13]). Thus $h_0Fh_0^{-1}$ is not an automorphism. Thus the group $\mathcal{G}^{h_0Fh_0^{-1}}(k)$ is finite (cf. loc. cit.) i.e., (a) holds.

We prove (b) and (c). The first part of (b) is obvious. If $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, then the second part of (b) and (c) are only a reformulation of the first paragraph of Subsection 2.1 (for $\mathcal{G}$ reductive). Thus (c) holds. We check the second part of (b) for the case when $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{G}$. For $\tilde{h}_2 \in \mathcal{T}(k)$ there exists $h_2 \in \mathcal{T}(k)$ such that $\tilde{h}_2 = h_2h_wF(h_2^{-1})h_w^{-1}$, cf. (c) applied to the finite endomorphism of $\mathcal{T}$ defined by $h_wFh_w^{-1}$. Thus $\mathcal{T}(k)h_w$ belongs to the orbit of h_w under $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},F}$. Therefore (b) holds.

We prove (d). The action $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ad}},\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{P}',F'}$ is naturally a quotient of the action $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{P},F}$. Thus under the epimorphism $\mathcal{G} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$, each orbit of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{P},F}$ surjects onto an orbit of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{ad}},\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{P}',F'}$. Due to this and the fact that the center of $\mathcal{G}$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}$, part (d) follows from (b).

We prove (e). The orbit of h_w under $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},h_0Fh_0^{-1}}$ is the right translation by h_0^{-1} of the orbit of h_wh_0 under $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},F}$. From this (e) follows, cf. (b).

We prove (f). We have $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T}$. By replacing F with some $h_0Fh_0^{-1}$, we can assume $F(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{U}$ (cf. (e)). Let $w \in W_{\mathcal{G}}$. Due to the second part of (b), the orbit of h_w under $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{G},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T},F}$ is $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{T}h_w\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{P}h_w\mathcal{U}$. Thus (f) is equivalent to the existence of the Bruhat decomposition of Subsection 2.1 applied with $(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{B}}) = (\mathcal{P},\mathcal{U})$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is a simple, adjoint group over k.*

(a) *The center of Lie($\mathcal{G}$) is trivial.*

(b) *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ such that its unipotent radical $\mathcal{U}$ is commutative. Then the centralizer $\mathfrak{c}$ of Lie($\mathcal{U}$) in Lie($\mathcal{P}$) is Lie($\mathcal{U}$).*

Proof: As $\mathcal{G}$ is adjoint, its center is trivial. Thus (a) holds. We check (b). As $\mathcal{U}$ is commutative, it centralizes Lie($\mathcal{U}$). Thus Lie($\mathcal{U}$) $\subseteq \mathfrak{c}$. To end the proof of (b) it suffices to show that the assumption that Lie($\mathcal{U}$) $\subsetneq \mathfrak{c}$ leads to a contradiction. This assumption implies that $\mathfrak{c}$ contains a non-zero element of Lie($\mathcal{L}$), where $\mathcal{L}$ is a Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{P}$. But the representation $\mathcal{L} \to \boldsymbol{GL}_{\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{U})}$ induced by the inner conjugation action of $\mathcal{P}$ on Lie($\mathcal{U}$) is a closed embedding, cf. [36, Prop. 5.1]. This implies that $\mathfrak{c}$ contains no element of Lie($\mathcal{L}$). Contradiction. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\bar{M}$ be a finite dimensional vector space over k. We assume $\mathcal{G}$ is a reductive subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$. Let S_0 be a connected, smooth subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ that contains a maximal torus of $\mathcal{G}$. Let S_1 and S_2 be tori of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ generated by images of cocharacters of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ of weights $\{-1,0\}$.*

(a) *The tori S_1 and S_2 commute if and only if $[Lie(S_1), Lie(S_2)] = 0$.*

(b) *Suppose Lie(S_1) $\subseteq$ Lie(S_0) and S_2 is a maximal torus of $\mathcal{G}$. Then S_1 centralizes a maximal torus of S_0. If moreover $p > 2$, then S_1 normalizes Lie(S_0).*

Proof: We prove (a). The only if part is trivial. To check the if part we can assume S_1 and S_2 are of rank 1. But this case is trivial. Thus (a) holds.

We prove the first part of (b). We will consider the following process. If Lie(S_1) is not contained in the center of Lie(S_0), let $x_0 \in$ Lie(S_1) be such that it does not centralize Lie(S_0). Let C_0 be the identity component of the centralizer of x_0 in S_0. As x_0 is a semisimple element of Lie(S_0), the group scheme C_0 is smooth and Lie(C_0) is the centralizer of x_0 in Lie(S_0) (cf. [1, Ch. III, Subsect. 9.1]). Thus Lie(S_1) $\subseteq$ Lie(C_0). As x_0 is contained in the Lie algebra of a torus of S_0 (cf. [1, Ch. IV, Prop. 11.8]), the group C_0 contains a maximal torus of S_0. As the maximal tori of S_0 are $S_0(k)$-conjugate, C_0 also contains a maximal torus of $\mathcal{G}$. If Lie(S_1) is not contained in the center of $\mathrm{Lie}(C_0)$, we repeat this process in the context of the pair (S_1, C_0) instead of the pair (S_1, S_0).

After a finite number of steps that perform this process, we reach a context in which $\mathrm{Lie}(S_1)$ is contained in the center of the Lie algebra of a connected, smooth subgroup of S_0 that contains a maximal torus $\tilde{S}_2$ of $\mathcal{G}$. As $\tilde{S}_2$ and S_2 are $\mathcal{G}(k)$-conjugate, $\tilde{S}_2$ is also generated by images of cocharacters of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ of weights $\{-1, 0\}$. As $[\mathrm{Lie}(S_1), \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{S}_2)] = 0$, from (a) we get that S_1 centralizes the maximal torus $\tilde{S}_2$ of S_0.

To check the last part of (b) we can assume S_1 has rank 1. Let $\bar{l}_{S_1} \in \mathrm{Lie}(S_1)$ be the unique non-zero semisimple element whose eigenvalues (as an endomorphism of $\bar{M}$) are 0 and -1. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(S_0) = \mathfrak{c}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{c}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{c}_1$ be the direct sum decomposition such that for $i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{c}_i$ we have $[\bar{l}_{S_1}, x] = ix$. This makes sense as $p > 2$. Now it is easy to see that S_1 normalizes $\mathfrak{c}_i$ for all $i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Thus S_1 normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(S_0)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose k is an algebraic closure of a finite field κ of characteristic p. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a connected, smooth group over κ. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a smooth subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}$ whose identity component $\mathcal{V}^0$ is unipotent. Then the quotient κ-varieties $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{X}_0 := \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{V}^0$ have the same number of κ-valued points.*

Proof: If $\mathcal{Z}$ is affine and $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}^0$ is a constant étale group in which $\mathcal{V}(\kappa)$ surjects (this is the case needed in this paper), then one can check this Lemma via a direct count of κ-valued points.

The proof below is due to Serre and Gabber (independently). Let l be a prime different from p. Let $\mathrm{H}^*(\ddagger)$ (resp. $\mathrm{H}^*_c(\ddagger)$) be the l-adic cohomology (resp. the l-adic cohomology with proper support) with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_l$ of the extension to k of the κ-variety $\ddagger$. We claim that the $\mathbb{Q}_l$-linear map $\mathrm{H}^*_c(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{H}^*_c(\mathcal{X}_0)$ associated to the quotient morphism $\mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{X}$, is an isomorphism. If we know this, then $\mathcal{X}(\kappa)$ and $\mathcal{X}_0(\kappa)$ have the same number of elements equal to the Lefschetz number of the Frobenius acting on the cohomology with compact support.

As $\mathcal{X}_k$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{X}_{0k}$ under the right action of $C := \mathcal{V}(k)/\mathcal{V}_0(k)$ on $\mathcal{X}_{0k}$, the mentioned $\mathbb{Q}_l$-linear map $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{X}_0)$ is injective and its image is made up of the elements of $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{X}_0)$ fixed by C; the same holds for H^*_c. This is a very special case of the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence, cf. [44, Exp. VIII, p. 406].

As $\mathcal{V}_0$ is unipotent, it has a normal series whose quotient factors are $\mathbb{G}_a$ groups (cf. [6, Vol. II, Exp. XVII, Cor. 4.1.3]). Thus the geometric fibres of the quotient morphism $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}_0$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^d$, where $d := \dim(\mathcal{V}_0)$. The $\mathbb{Q}_l$-linear map $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{X}_0) \to \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{Z})$ associated to $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}_0$ is an isomorphism and the same holds for H^*_c with a Tate-twist by (d). This is a general fact on smooth, surjective, separated, finite type morphisms whose geometric fibres are affine spaces and thus are acyclic for l (see [44, Exp. XVI, Cor. 1.16 and Thm. 2.1] and [44, Exp. XVII, Cor. 1.2] for the case of H^*).

Based on the last two paragraphs, to prove the claim it suffices to show that the natural right action of $\mathcal{Z}(k)$ on $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{Z})$ is trivial. But as $\mathcal{Z}$ is connected, this is implied by [7, Cor. 6.5]. $\square$

§3. Elementary properties of reductive group schemes

Let R, E, D, and K be as before Subsection 1.1. Let E^{der}, $Z(E)$, and E^{ad} be the derived group scheme of E, the center of E, and the adjoint group scheme of E (respectively). Thus we have $E^{\mathrm{ad}} = E/Z(E)$ and $E^{\mathrm{ab}} := E/E^{\mathrm{der}}$ is the abelianization of E, cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Def. 4.3.6 and Thm. 6.2.1]. Let E^{sc} be the simply connected semisimple group scheme cover of E^{der}. The group scheme $Z(E)$ is of multiplicative type, cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Cor. 4.1.7]. Let $Z^0(E)$ be the maximal torus of $Z(E)$; the quotient group scheme $Z(E)/Z^0(E)$ is finite, flat and of multiplicative type. If $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \to E$ is a cocharacter of E, let $d\tilde{\mu} : \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{Lie}(E)$ be the Lie homomorphism defined by $\tilde{\mu}$. A cocharacter $\mu_K : \mathbb{G}_m \to \boldsymbol{GL}_K$ is said to have weights $\{-1, 0\}$ if it produces a direct sum decomposition $K = K_0 \oplus K_1$ such that for $i \in \{0, 1\}$ we have $K_i \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts through μ_K on K_i via the $-i$-th power of the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$.

A parabolic subgroup scheme of E is a smooth, closed subgroup scheme P_E of E whose fibres are parabolic subgroups over fields. The unipotent radical U_E of P_E is the maximal unipotent, smooth, normal, closed subgroup scheme of P_E; it has connected fibres. The quotient group scheme P_E/U_E exists, cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.6]. We identify U_E with the closed subgroup scheme of E^{ad} which is the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup scheme of E^{ad} that is the image of P_E in E^{ad}. A Levi subgroup scheme L_E of P_E is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of P_E such that the natural homomorphism $L_E \to P_E/U_E$ is an isomorphism.

For a finite, flat monomorphism $R_0 \hookrightarrow R$, let $\mathrm{Res}_{R/R_0} D$ be the group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ obtained from D through the Weil restriction of scalars (see [4, Subsect. 1.5] and [3, Ch. 7, Subsect. 7.6]). If R_1 is a commutative R_0-algebra, we have a canonical and functorial group identification $\mathrm{Res}_{R/R_0} D(R_1) = D(R_1 \otimes_{R_0} R)$. The pull back via $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ of an object or a morphism Y or Y_{R_0} (resp. Y_* with $*$ an arbitrary index different from R_0) of the category of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$-schemes, is denoted by Y_R (resp. by Y_{*R}).

Until Subsection 3.3 we recall known properties of D, E, and trace maps. Subsection 3.3 specializes to the concrete context of the reductive, closed subgroup scheme G of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ and we list basic notations and properties.

See [24, Prop. 2.1] for a proof of the following direct application of Lang theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *Let D be a smooth group scheme over Spec($\mathbb{Z}_p$) that has a connected, affine special fibre $D_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Let the automorphism σ of $W(k)$ act on $D(W(k))$ in the natural way. Then for each element $h \in D(W(k))$, there exists an element $\tilde{h} \in D(W(k))$ such that we have $h = \tilde{h}\sigma(\tilde{h}^{-1})$.*

Proposition 3.2. *See Subsection 1.1 for M. Let $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of M i.e., a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module such that $M = M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. Let D be a smooth, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ such that: (i) its generic fibre $D_{B(k)}$ is connected and (ii) there exists a direct summand $\mathfrak{d}$ of End$_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ with Lie$(D) = \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. Then there exists a unique smooth, closed subgroup scheme $D_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ such that $D = D_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ Spec$(W(k))$.*

Proof: Let $q_1, q_2 : \mathrm{Spec}(B(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(B(k))$ be the two projections. As $B(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B(k)$ is a reduced $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra, the smooth closed subgroup schemes $q_1^*(D_{B(k)})$ and $q_2^*(D_{B(k)})$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B(k)}$ are reduced and their Lie algebras are equal to $\mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B(k)$. Thus the fibres of $q_1^*(D_{B(k)})$ and $q_2^*(D_{B(k)})$ are naturally identified, cf. [1, Ch. II, Subsect. 7.1]. Therefore we can identify $q_1^*(D_{B(k)}) = q_2^*(D_{B(k)})$. Due to this identification, we have a canonical descent datum on the subgroup $D_{B(k)}$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ with respect to the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(B(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. As $D_{B(k)}$ is affine, the descent datum is effective (cf. [3, Ch. 6, Thm. 6]); thus $D_{B(k)}$ is the pull back of a subgroup $D_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}$. Obviously $D_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is connected. As $\mathrm{Lie}(D_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B(k) = \mathrm{Lie}(D_{B(k)}) = \mathfrak{d}[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B(k)$, we get $\mathrm{Lie}(D_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) = \mathfrak{d}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $D_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the Zariski closure of $D_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$. As D is the Zariski closure of $D_{B(k)}$ in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$, we have $D = D_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. Thus $D_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is smooth. The uniqueness of $D_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is implied by the fact (cf. [1, Ch. II, Subsect. 7.1]) that $D_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the unique connected subgroup of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{d}[\frac{1}{p}]$. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $R \in \{k, \mathbb{Z}_p, W(k)\}$. Let E be a reductive group scheme over Spec(R). Let X_E and $\tilde{X}_E$ be two smooth, closed subgroup schemes of E that have connected fibres and that contain a fixed torus T_E of E.*

(a) *The centralizer* C_E *of* T_E *in* E *is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of* E*. The normalizer* N_E *of* T_E *in* E *is a smooth, closed subgroup scheme of* E *whose identity component is* C_E*.*

(b) *If* T_E *is a maximal torus of* E*, then the normalizer of* X_E *in* E *is represented by a smooth, closed, subgroup scheme of* E *whose identity component is* X_E*.*

(c) *Suppose* T_E *is a maximal torus of* E*. If Lie*$(X_E) =$ *Lie*$(\tilde{X}_E)$*, then* $X_E = \tilde{X}_E$*.*

(d) *If* $R = W(k)$*,* $X_{Ek} = \tilde{X}_{Ek}$*, and* T_E *is a maximal torus of* E*, then* $X_E = \tilde{X}_E$*.*

(e) *The reductive group scheme* E *has maximal tori.*

Proof: Part (a) follows from [6, Vol. III, Exp. XIX, Subsect. 2.8 and Prop. 6.3]. Part (b) is a particular case of [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Cors. 5.3.10 and 5.3.18 (ii)]. Parts (c) and (d) are particular cases of [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Cors. 5.3.5 and 5.3.7]. If $R = k$ or $R = W(k)$, then (e) is a particular case of [6, Vol. III, Exp. XIX, Prop. 6.1]. We check that (e) holds if $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $*$ be a maximal torus of $E_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, cf. [1, Ch. V, Thm. 18.2 (i)]. As the functor on the category of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-schemes that parameterizes maximal tori of E is representable by a smooth $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-scheme (cf. [6, Vol. II, Exp. XII, Cor. 1.10]), we get that E has a maximal torus that lifts $*$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let* E *be a reductive subgroup scheme of* $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$*. Then* E *is a closed subgroup scheme of* $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$*; thus Lie*(E) *as a* $W(k)$*-module is a direct summand of* $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$*. Moreover* E *is the unique reductive subgroup scheme of* $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ *whose Lie algebra is Lie*(E)*.*

Proof: The first part is a particular case of [6, Vol. II, Exp. XVI, Cor. 1.5 a)]. Let E_1 be a reductive subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ such that $\mathrm{Lie}(E_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(E)$. We have $E_{1B(k)} = E_{B(k)}$, cf. [1, Ch. II, Subsect. 7.1]. Thus E_1 and E are both equal to the Zariski closure in $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ of $E_{1B(k)} = E_{B(k)}$. $\square$

3.1. Decompositions

Let $* \in \{\mathbb{F}_p, k\}$. Let E be an adjoint group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(*))$. Let $E_* = \prod_{j\in J(E)} E_*^j$ be the product decomposition into simple, adjoint groups over $*$ (cf. [33, Subsubsect. 3.1.2]). This decomposition lifts uniquely to a product decomposition $E = \prod_{j\in J(E)} E^j$ into simple, adjoint group schemes over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(*))$ (cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXIV, Prop. 1.21]). Suppose now $* = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $E_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is a simple, adjoint group over $\mathbb{F}_p$. There exists a unique number $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}/\mathbb{F}_p} \tilde{E}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$, where $\tilde{E}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$ is an absolutely simple, adjoint group over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ (cf. [33, Subsubsect. 3.1.2]). From [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXIV, Prop. 1.21] we get that $\tilde{E}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$ lifts uniquely to an adjoint group scheme $\tilde{E}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q}))$ and that E is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})/\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{E}$.

3.2. Trace maps

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a reductive subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\mathcal{M}}$. The Lie subalgebra $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(\mathcal{M})$, when viewed as a $W(k)$-submodule, is a direct summand (cf. Lemma 3.4). Let $\rho : \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the resulting faithful representation. We refer to [9, Part III, Sect. 20] for spin representations over $\mathbb{C}$ and to [13, Ch. 27] for their versions over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. For x, $y \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E})$ let $\mathrm{Tr}(x, y)$ be the trace of the endomorphism xy of $\mathcal{M}$. We get a symmetric bilinear map $\mathrm{Tr} : \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}) \to W(k)$.

Lemma 3.5. *The trace map Tr is perfect i.e., it induces naturally a* $W(k)$*-linear isomorphism from Lie*$(\mathcal{E})$ *onto* $\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}), W(k))$*, if any one of the following disjoint five conditions holds:*

(i) ρ *is an isomorphism;*

(ii) *ρ is the standard faithful representation $\boldsymbol{SL}_n \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_n$ and p does not divide n;*

(iii) *$p > 2$ and ρ is the spin faithful representation $\boldsymbol{GSpin}_{2n+1} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_{2^n}$ $(n \geq 1)$;*

(iv) *$p > 2$ and there exists $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ such that ρ is either $\boldsymbol{Sp}_n \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_n$ or $\boldsymbol{SO}_n \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_n$;*

(v) *$p > 2$ and ρ is a spin faithful representation $\boldsymbol{GSpin}_{2n} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_{2^n}$.*

Proof: The Lemma is well known if (i) holds. Thus we can assume that one of the conditions (ii) to (v) holds. Let $\mathrm{Tr}_k : \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k) \times \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k) \to k$ be the reduction mod p of Tr. Let

$$\mathcal{J} := \{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k) | \mathrm{Tr}_k(x, y) = 0 \ \ \forall y \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k)\}.$$

As for x, y, $z \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E})$ we have $\mathrm{Tr}(x, [y, z]) = \mathrm{Tr}([x, y], z)$, $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k)$. If (ii) or (iv) holds, then $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k)$ has no proper ideals (cf. [14, Subsect. (0.13)]) and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{J} \neq \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k)$; thus $\mathcal{J} = 0$.

Suppose (iii) or (v) holds. For simplicity, we refer to the case (iii) as (v) is the same. Let $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathcal{E}$ be a cocharacter that defines a cocharacter of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of weights $\{-1, 0\}$, cf. [30, p. 186] applied over $B(k)$ and the fact that, as $\mathcal{E}$ is split, each cocharacter of $\mathcal{E}_{B(k)}$ is $\mathcal{E}(B(k))$-conjugate to the generic fibre of a cocharacter of $\mathcal{E}$. As $p > 2$, we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{der}}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(Z(\mathcal{E}))$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{der}})$ is the ideal of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E})$ of trace 0 elements. Let $\tilde{l}_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E})$ be the image under $d\tilde{\mu}$ of the standard generator of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. The multiplicities of the eigenvalues -1 and 0 of $\tilde{l}_0$ are 2^{n-1}, cf. [28, Table 4.2]. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{l}_0 + \frac{1}{2}1_{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{l}_0 + \frac{1}{2}1_{\mathcal{M}}) = 2^{n-2}$. Thus $\tilde{l}_0 + \frac{1}{2}1_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an element of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{der}})$ (as its trace is 0) whose reduction mod p does not belong to $\mathcal{J}$ (as $p > 2$). Moreover $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(\mathcal{E}_k)) = k1_{\mathcal{M}/p\mathcal{M}}$ is not included in $\mathcal{J}$. But $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k^{\mathrm{der}})$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(\mathcal{E}_k))$ are the only proper ideals of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k) = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{E}_k^{\mathrm{der}}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(Z(\mathcal{E}_k))$, cf. [14, Subsect. (0.13)]. Thus $\mathcal{J} = 0$.

As in all cases we have $\mathcal{J} = 0$, the trace map Tr is perfect. $\square$

3.3. On G and its closed subgroup schemes

Let $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$, $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$, and l_0 be as in Subsections 1.1 and 1.1.3. We will recall basic properties of closed subgroup schemes of G and we introduce the basic notations we will often need in what follows.

3.3.1. Root decomposition

The cocharacter μ is either trivial or a closed embedding monomorphism. Thus $\mathrm{Im}(\mu)$ is a torus of G. The centralizer of $\mathrm{Im}(\mu)$ (equivalently of l_0) in G is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme L of G, cf. Proposition 3.3 (a). Let T be a maximal torus of L (cf. Proposition 3.3 (e)); it is also a maximal torus of G. Let

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

be the root decomposition relative to T: Φ is a root system and T acts on the rank 1 direct summand $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ via its character α. For $\alpha \in \Phi$, there exists a unique $\mathbb{G}_a$-subgroup scheme $\mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha}$ of G which is closed, normalized by T, and with $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha}) = \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ (cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Thm. 1.1]). Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha := \mathfrak{g}_\alpha / p\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$.

Let Φ_c be a closed subset of Φ; we have $(\Phi_c + \Phi_c) \cap \Phi \subseteq \Phi_c \subseteq \Phi$. We recall that there exists a unique connected, smooth subgroup H_{Φ_c} of G_k that contains T_k and whose Lie algebra is $\mathrm{Lie}(T_k) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_c} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$, cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Thm. 5.4.7]. The group H_{Φ_c} is generated by T_k and by $\mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha_k}$'s with $\alpha \in \Phi_c$, cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Lemma 5.4.4]. Let Φ_d be another closed subset of Φ. We have $H_{\Phi_c} \leqslant H_{\Phi_d}$ if and only if $\Phi_c \subseteq \Phi_d$, cf. either [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Prop. 5.4.5 (ii)] or the mentioned generation property.

3.3.2. On tori

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $W_m(k) := W(k)/(p^m)$. Let T_1 and T_2 be two tori of G such that $T_{1k} \leqslant T_{2k}$. There exists a unique subtorus T_3 of T_2 such that $T_{3k} = T_{1k}$. Let $h_m \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W_m(k)) \to G(k))$ be such that $h_m T_{1W_m(k)} h_m^{-1} = T_{3W_m(k)}$, cf. [6, Vol. II, Exp. IX, Thm. 3.6]. We can assume h_{m+1} lifts h_m, cf. loc. cit. Let $h \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$ be the unique element that lifts h_m for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $hT_1h^{-1} = T_3 \leqslant T_2$.

As any two maximal tori of G_k are $G(k)$-conjugate, from the previous paragraph we also get that any two maximal tori of G are $G(W(k))$-conjugate.

3.3.3. Defining N and U

We identify $\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0)$ with the the maximal direct summand of $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ on which μ acts via the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$. Let N^{big} be the smooth, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ defined by: if R is a commutative $W(k)$-algebra, then $N^{\mathrm{big}}(R) := 1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}R} + \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \otimes_{W(k)} R$. We have an identity $\mathrm{Lie}(N^{\mathrm{big}}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0)$. Let $N := N^{\mathrm{big}} \cap G$. As μ factors through G, the intersection $\mathrm{Hom}_k(F^1/pF^1, F^0/pF^0) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$ equals $(\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} k$. From this we get that:

$$N(R) = 1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}R} + (\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} R.$$

The group scheme N is connected, smooth, commutative, and unipotent. Moreover we have an identity
$\mathrm{Lie}(N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. If $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(N)$, then $x^2 := x \circ x = 0$.

Similarly, the rule $U(R) = 1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}R} + (\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^0, F^1) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} R$ defines a connected, smooth, commutative, unipotent, closed subgroup scheme U of G. We have $\mathrm{Lie}(U) = \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^0, F^1) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. If $y \in \mathrm{Lie}(U)$, then $y^2 := y \circ y = 0$.

3.3.4. Defining Q and P

As the cocharacter μ factors through G and produces a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$, we have a direct sum decomposition of T-modules

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(N) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(L) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(U).$$

This implies that we have a disjoint union $\Phi = \Phi_U \cup \Phi_L \cup \Phi_N$, where

$$\Phi_N := \{\alpha \in \Phi | \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(N)\},\ \ \Phi_L := \{\alpha \in \Phi | \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(L)\},\ \ \text{and}\ \ \Phi_U := \{\alpha \in \Phi | \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(U)\}.$$

Moreover $\Phi_U = -\Phi_N$. It is easy to see that we have product decompositions

$$N = \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi_N} \mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha} \ \ \text{and}\ \ U = \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi_U} \mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha}.$$

The direct sum $\mathrm{Lie}(N) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(L)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Lie}(U) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(L)$) is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. If α, β, $\alpha+\beta \in \Phi$, then $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha[\frac{1}{p}], \mathfrak{g}_\beta[\frac{1}{p}]] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}[\frac{1}{p}]$ (cf. Chevalley rule). The last two sentences imply that $\Phi_Q := \Phi_N \cup \Phi_L$ (resp. $\Phi_P := \Phi_U \cup \Phi_L$) is a closed subset of Φ. Moreover $\Phi_Q \cup -\Phi_Q = \Phi_P \cup -\Phi_P = \Phi$. Thus Φ_Q (resp. Φ_P) is a parabolic subset of Φ. Thus $\mathrm{Lie}(Q)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Lie}(P)$) is the Lie algebra of a unique parabolic subgroup scheme Q (resp. P) of G that contains T, cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.4]. As $\Phi_N = \Phi_Q \setminus -\Phi_Q$ (resp. $\Phi_U = \Phi_P \setminus -\Phi_P$), from [6, Vol. III, XXVI, Prop. 1.12] we get that the unipotent radical of Q (resp. P) is N (resp. U). Moreover L is the unique Levi subgroup scheme of Q (resp. P) that contains T, cf. loc. cit.

Let $\tilde{P}$ be the normalizer of F^1 in G. Its Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{P}_{B(k)}) = \{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) | x(F^1[\frac{1}{p}]) \subseteq F^1[\frac{1}{p}]\}$ equals $\mathrm{Lie}(P_{B(k)}) = \mathrm{Lie}(L_{B(k)}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(U_{B(k)})$. Thus $P_{B(k)}$ is the identity component of $\tilde{P}_{B(k)}$, cf. [1, Ch. II, Subsect. 7.1] and the fact that $P_{B(k)}$ is connected. Thus $\tilde{P}_{B(k)}$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ and therefore it is also connected. Thus $\tilde{P}_{B(k)} = P_{B(k)}$ and $P \leqslant \tilde{P}$. A similar argument shows that $P_k = \tilde{P}_k$. Thus $\tilde{P} = P$ i.e., P is the normalizer of F^1 in G. A similar argument shows that Q is the normalizer of F^0 in G. Thus $P \cap Q = L$. This implies that P and Q are opposite parabolic subgroups of G, cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Thm. 4.3.2].

The product $W(k)$-morphism $P \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} N \to G$ is an open embedding at identity sections (cf. [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Prop. 4.1.2]) and $P \cap N$ is the identity section. Thus $P \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} N \to G$ is an open embedding.

§4. Basic properties of Shimura F-crystals over k

Subsection 4.1 lists notations that will be often used throughout the paper. Subsection 4.2 introduces some $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures. Subsection 4.3 recalls a basic result of [17] and includes simple properties of D-truncations that lead to the existence of the set $R_{\boldsymbol{GL}_M}$. Subsection 4.4 defines the simple factors of the pair $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$.

4.1. Standard notations

Always the notations

$$M = F^1 \oplus F^0,\ \mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G,\ \mathcal{C}_g = (M, g\phi, G),\ \mathbb{L} \leqslant G(W(k)),\ P,\ T \leqslant N_T \leqslant G,\ \vartheta,\ \bar{M},$$

$$\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta},\ \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} = (\bar{M}, \bar{g}\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}^{-1}, G_k),\ l_0 \in \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M),\ w \in W_G,\ g_w \in G(W(k)),\ W_P \leqslant W_G,$$

$$\mathbb{I}_\infty = \{< \mathcal{C}_g > | g \in G(W(k))\},\ \text{and}\ \mathbb{I} = \{< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} > | \bar{g} \in G(k)\}$$

are as in Subsection 1.1. Let $r := \mathrm{rk}(M)$ and $d := \mathrm{rk}(F^1)$. Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ be a $W(k)$-basis for M such that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\} \subseteq F^1$, $\{e_{d+1}, \ldots, e_r\} \subseteq F^0$, and T normalizes $W(k)e_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. Let $\{e_{i_1,i_2} | 1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq r\}$ be the standard $W(k)$-basis for $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ such that $e_{i_1,i_2}(e_{i_3}) \in \{0, e_{i_1}\}$ is e_{i_1} if and only if $i_2 = i_3$. The element l_0 is the image under $d\mu$ of the standard generator of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m)$; thus $l_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)$. For $x \in M \cup \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$, let $\bar{x}$ be the reduction mod p of x. Thus $\bar{e}_i \in \bar{M}$, $\bar{l}_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(T_k)$, etc. If $x \in \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ and $g \in G(W(k))$, let $g(x) := gxg^{-1}$. Let L, $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, and $\mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha}$, N, U, Q, P, Φ_N, Φ_L, Φ_U, Φ_Q, and Φ_P be as in Subsection 3.3. Let B be a Borel subgroup scheme of G such that $T \leqslant B \leqslant P$. Let B^{opp} be the Borel subgroup scheme of G that is the opposite of B with respect to T; we have $B \cap B^{\mathrm{opp}} = T$.

4.1.1. Notations of combinatorial nature

For $\alpha \in \Phi$ we define a number

$$\varepsilon(\alpha) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

by the rule: $\varepsilon(\alpha) = -1$ if $\alpha \in \Phi_N$, $\varepsilon(\alpha) = 0$ if $\alpha \in \Phi_L$, and $\varepsilon(\alpha) = 1$ if $\alpha \in \Phi_U$. As $g_w\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T)) = \mathrm{Lie}(T)$ (cf. (1)), there exists an automorphism of root systems

$$\pi_w : \Phi \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi$$

such that $g_w\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w(\alpha)}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $O(w)$ be the set of orbits of π_w (viewed as a permutation of Φ). For $o \in O(w)$ let $|o|$ be the number of elements of o, let $\mathfrak{g}_o := \oplus_{\alpha \in o} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, and let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o := \mathfrak{g}_o / p\mathfrak{g}_o$. Let $O(w)^0 := \{o \in O(w) | o \subseteq \Phi_L\}$. For $\alpha \in \Phi_N$ let

$$w_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$$

be the smallest number such that $\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha) \notin \Phi_L$ (i.e., such that $\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha) \in \Phi_N \cup \Phi_U$). Let

$$\Phi_N^{+w} := \{\alpha \in \Phi_N | \pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha) \in \Phi_U\} = \{\alpha \in \Phi_N | -\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha) \in \Phi_N\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Phi_N^{-w} := \{\alpha \in \Phi_N | \pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha) \in \Phi_N\} = \{\alpha \in \Phi_N | -\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha) \in \Phi_U\} = \Phi_N \setminus \Phi_N^{+w}.$$

The function $\mathbb{S} : W_G \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ mentioned in Subsubsection 1.1.3 is defined by the rule

$$\mathbb{S}(w) := \text{the number of elements of } \Phi_N^{+w}.$$

Let $o^+ := o \cap \Phi_N^{+w}$. Let $O(w)^+ := \{o \in O(w) | o^+ \neq \emptyset\}$. For $o \in O(w)^+$ let

$$\tilde{o} := \cup_{\alpha \in o^+} \{\pi_w^i(\alpha) | 1 \leq i \leq w_\alpha\} \subseteq o \cap \Phi_P.$$

4.2. Some $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures

We start by not assuming that (1) holds. Let

$$\sigma_g := g\phi\mu(p), \text{ where } g \in G(W(k)).$$

It is a σ-linear automorphism of M that normalizes $\text{Lie}(G)$. As k is algebraically closed,

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^g := \{x \in M | \sigma_g(x) = x\}$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of M and $\text{Lie}(G)$ is the tensorization with $W(k)$ of a Lie subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^g)$. Thus G is the pull back to $\text{Spec}(W(k))$ of a reductive, closed subgroup scheme $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^g$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^g}$, cf. Proposition 3.2. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, σ_g^i acts naturally on: (i) subgroup schemes and cocharacters of either G_k or G, (ii) the groups $G(k)$ and $G(W(k))$, and (iii) $\text{Lie}(G)$. For instance, $\sigma_g^i(\mu)$ is the σ_g^i-conjugate of μ i.e., it is the cocharacter of G whose image has a Lie algebra generated by $\sigma_g^i(l_0) = \sigma_g^i l_0 \sigma_g^{-i} \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ and which acts on M via the trivial and the inverse of the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$. We have $g\phi(\text{Lie}(T)) = \sigma_g(\text{Lie}(T)) = \text{Lie}(\sigma_g(T))$.

Let $\bar{g}_{\text{corr}} \in G(k)$ be such that $\bar{g}_{\text{corr}}\sigma_{1_M}(T_k)\bar{g}_{\text{corr}}^{-1} = T_k$. Let $g_{\text{corr}} \in G(W(k))$ be such that it lifts $\bar{g}_{\text{corr}}$ and we have $g_{\text{corr}}\sigma_{1_M}(T)g_{\text{corr}}^{-1} = \sigma_{g_{\text{corr}}}(T) = T$, cf. Subsubsection 3.3.2. Thus $g_{\text{corr}}\phi(\text{Lie}(T)) = \sigma_{g_{\text{corr}}}(\text{Lie}(T)) = \text{Lie}(T)$. The two sets $\{\mathcal{C}_g | g \in G(W(k))\}$ and $\{\mathcal{C}_{gg_{\text{corr}}} | g \in G(W(k))\}$ are equal. Therefore for the study of $\mathcal{C}_g$'s and of their D-truncations mod p, we can replace ϕ by $g_{\text{corr}}\phi$. Thus to ease notations, until the end we will assume that $g_{\text{corr}} = 1_M$. Therefore (1) holds i.e., we have $\phi(\text{Lie}(T)) = \text{Lie}(T)$.

Let $w \in W_G$. We have $\text{Lie}(\sigma_{g_w}(T)) = \sigma_{g_w}(\text{Lie}(T)) = g_w\phi(\text{Lie}(T)) = \text{Lie}(T)$. Thus $\{x \in \text{Lie}(T) | \sigma_{g_w}(x) = x\}$ is a direct summand of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ and a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of $\text{Lie}(T)$. Thus T is the pull back to $\text{Spec}(W(k))$ of a maximal torus $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_w}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_w}$ (cf. Proposition 3.2); in general the isomorphism class of $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_w}$ depends on w. For $t \in T(W(k))$ let $t_1 \in T(W(k))$ be such that $t = t_1\sigma_{g_w}(t_1^{-1})$, cf. Lemma 3.1 applied to $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_w}$. We have $tg_w\phi = t_1g_w\phi t_1^{-1}$. This implies that:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $w \in W_G$. The inner isomorphism class $<\mathcal{C}_{g_w}>$ depends only on w and not on the choice of the representative $g_w \in N_T(W(k))$ of w. Also, if $g_1 \in Ker(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$, then $<\mathcal{C}_{g_1tg_w}> = <\mathcal{C}_{g_2g_w}>$, where $g_2 := t_1^{-1}g_1t_1 \in Ker(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$.*

4.2.1. Extra notations

Let

$$G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{1_M} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{1_M}.$$

In what follows we will often denote σ_{1_M} simply by σ. Thus we have

$$\sigma_g = g\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}).$$

If $h \in \mathbb{L}$, then $\mu(\frac{1}{p})h\mu(p) \in G(W(k))$ as $\mu(\frac{1}{p})h\mu(p)(M) = \mu(\frac{1}{p})h(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) = \mu(\frac{1}{p})(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) = M$. Thus

$$\phi(h) := \phi h \phi^{-1} = \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})h\mu(p)\sigma^{-1} = \sigma(\mu(\frac{1}{p})h\mu(p)) \in G(W(k)).$$

We have an abstract group action $\mathbb{T}_{G,\phi} : \mathbb{L} \times G(W(k)) \to G(W(k))$ of $\mathbb{L}$ on the set $G(W(k))$, defined by

$$\mathbb{T}_{G,\phi}(h, g) = hg\phi(h^{-1}).$$

The set of orbits of this action is in one-to-one correspondence to $\mathbb{I}_\infty$. In this paper we will mainly study the mod p version of this action. If $\alpha \in \Phi_N$ (resp. if $\alpha \in \Phi_U$) and if $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, then for $h = 1_M + px$ (resp. for $h = 1_M + x$), we have $\phi(h) = 1_M + \sigma(x)$ (resp. we have $\phi(h) = 1_M + p\sigma(x)$). In particular, the reduction mod p of each element of $\phi(U(W(k)))$ is $1_{\bar{M}}$ (i.e., we have $\phi(U(W(k))) \leqslant \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$).

We consider the product decomposition into simple, adjoint groups over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (cf. Subsection 3.1)

$$G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \prod_{j \in J} G^j_{\mathbb{Z}_p}. \tag{6}$$

If G is a torus, then as a convention $J = \emptyset$. Let $J^c := \{j \in J | \mu \text{ has a trivial image in } G^j_{W(k)}\}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose μ factors through $Z^0(G)$ (equivalently through $Z(G)$). Then $\mathbb{I}_\infty = \{< \mathcal{C}_{1_M} >\}$ i.e., for all $g \in G(W(k))$ we have $< \mathcal{C}_g > = < \mathcal{C}_{1_M} >$.*

Proof: We have $G = L = P$. Thus $\mathbb{L} = G(W(k))$ and for $h \in G(W(k))$ we have $\phi(h) = \sigma(h)$. Let $h \in G(W(k))$ be such that $g = h\sigma(h^{-1})$, cf. Lemma 3.1 applied to $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Therefore $g\phi h$ is equal to $h\sigma(h^{-1})\phi h = h\phi(h^{-1})\phi h = h\phi$. Thus h is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{1_M}$ and $\mathcal{C}_g$. □

Lemma 4.3. *If $j \in J \setminus J^c$, then each simple factor G^j_0 of $G^j_{W(k)}$ is of classical Lie type.*

Proof: Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\sigma^i(\mu_{B(k)})$ has a non-trivial image in $G^j_{0B(k)}$. Let $\tilde{G}^j_{0B(k)}$ be the reductive subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ that is generated by $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma^i(\mu_{B(k)}))$ and by the unique normal, semisimple subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ whose adjoint is $G^j_{0B(k)}$. The cocharacter $\sigma^i(\mu_{B(k)})$ factors through $\tilde{G}^j_{0B(k)}$; it is also a cocharacter of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ of weights $\{-1, 0\}$ whose $\tilde{G}^j_{0B(k)}(B(k))$-conjugates generate $\tilde{G}^j_{0B(k)}$. Therefore the simple, adjoint group $\tilde{G}^{j\,\mathrm{ad}}_{0B(k)} = G^j_{0B(k)}$ is of classical Lie type, cf. [30, Cor. 1, p. 182]. □

4.2.2. Epsilon strings and slopes

Let $w \in W_G$. Let $o \in O(w)$. Let $m^+_w(o)$ and $m^-_w(o)$ be the number of elements of the intersections $o \cap \Phi_U$ and $o \cap \Phi_N$ (respectively). We write $o = \{\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^{|o|}\}$, the numbering being such that $\pi_w(\alpha^i) = \alpha^{i+1}$ if $i \in \{1, \ldots, |o|\}$, where $\alpha^{|o|+1} := \alpha^1$. By the epsilon string of o with respect to w we mean the $|o|$-tuple

$$\varepsilon_w(o) := (\varepsilon(\alpha^1), \ldots, \varepsilon(\alpha^{|o|})) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{|o|};$$

it is uniquely determined by o and w up to a circular rearrangement.

As $\phi = \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})$, for $\alpha \in \Phi$ we have $g_w\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = \sigma_{g_w}(p^{\varepsilon(\alpha)}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = p^{\varepsilon(\alpha)}\mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w(\alpha)}$. Thus for $i \in \{1, \ldots, |o|\}$ we have $(g_w\phi)^{|o|}(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha^i}) = p^{m_w^+(o)-m_w^-(o)}\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha^i}$. Therefore all (Newton polygon) slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_o, g_w\phi)$ are equal to

$$\frac{m_w^+(o) - m_w^-(o)}{|o|}. \tag{7}$$

If $o \in O(w)^0$, then $m_w^+(o) = m_w^-(o) = 0$ and all slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_o, g_w\phi)$ are 0. As $\Phi_U = -\Phi_N$ and Φ_N have the same number of elements, we have

$$\sum_{o\in O(w)} [m_w^+(o) - m_w^-(o)] = 0. \tag{8}$$

4.3. On Kraft's work and D-truncations

A truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level 1 over k is a finite, flat, commutative group scheme $\bar{D}_1$ over k that is annihilated by p and such that the complex $\bar{D}_1 \overset{\bar{F}_1}{\to} \bar{D}_1 \times_{k\,\sigma} k \overset{\bar{V}_1}{\to} \bar{D}_1$ is exact, where $\bar{F}_1$ and $\bar{V}_1$ are the Frobenius and the Verschiebung (respectively) homomorphisms. Let D_1 be a Barsotti–Tate group over k such that $D_1[p] = \bar{D}_1$, cf. [15, Thm. 4.4 e)]. Let (M_1, ϕ_1) be the Dieudonné module of D_1. The association $D_1 \mapsto (M_1, \phi_1)$ induces an antiequivalence from the category of Barsotti–Tate groups over k and the category of Dieudonné modules over k (see [8, Ch. III, Prop. 6.1 iii)]). Let $\vartheta_1 : M_1 \to M_1$ be the Verschiebung map of (M_1, ϕ_1). Let $\bar{M}_1$, $\bar{F}_1$, and $\bar{\vartheta}_1$ be the reductions mod p of M_1, ϕ_1, and ϑ_1 (respectively). The classical Dieudonné theories (for instance, see [8, 5.1 of Ch. III and p. 160]) tell us that the triple $\mathbb{D}(\bar{D}_1) := (\bar{M}_1, \bar{\phi}_1, \bar{\vartheta}_1)$ depends only on $\bar{D}_1$ and we have $\mathrm{Ker}(\bar{\phi}_1) = \mathrm{Im}(\bar{\vartheta}_1)$ (and thus also $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\phi}_1) = \mathrm{Ker}(\bar{\vartheta}_1)$); moreover, the association $\bar{D}_1 \mapsto \mathbb{D}(\bar{D}_1)$ induces an antiequivalence of (the corresponding) categories. Thus for each $\bar{g} \in G(k)$, there exists a (unique up to isomorphism) truncated Barsotti–Tate group $\bar{D}_{\bar{g}}$ of level 1 over k such that we have $\mathbb{D}(\bar{D}_{\bar{g}}) = (\bar{M}, \bar{g}\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}^{-1})$.

Suppose $\mathrm{rk}(M_1) = r$ and $\dim_k(\mathrm{Ker}(\bar{\phi}_1)) = d$ i.e., $\bar{D}_1$ is a truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level 1 that has height r and dimension d. In [17] (see [26, Subsects. (2.3) and (2.4)] and [22, Subsect. 2.1]) it is shown that there exists a k-basis $\{\bar{b}_1, \ldots, \bar{b}_r\}$ for $\bar{M}_1$ and a permutation π of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ we have:

- $\bar{\phi}_1(\bar{b}_i) = 0$ if $i \leq d$, $\bar{\phi}_1(\bar{b}_i) = \bar{b}_{\pi(i)}$ if $i > d$, $\bar{\vartheta}_1(\bar{b}_{\pi(i)}) = 0$ if $i > d$, and $\bar{\vartheta}_1(\bar{b}_{\pi(i)}) = \bar{b}_i$ if $i \leq d$.

Let $g_w \in \boldsymbol{GL}_M(W(k))$ be such that $g_w\phi$ takes e_i to $p^{n_i}e_{\pi(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, where $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ is 1 if and only if $i \leq d$. If $G = \boldsymbol{GL}_M$, then based on Lemma 4.1 we can assume that g_w is as in Subsection 1.1. By mapping $\bar{b}_i \to \bar{e}_i$ we get an isomorphism $(\bar{M}_1, \bar{\phi}_1, \bar{\vartheta}_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{M}, \bar{g}_w\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}_w^{-1})$. Thus we got:

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose $G = \boldsymbol{GL}_M$. Each truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level 1 over k of height r and dimension d is isomorphic to the truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level 1 defined by $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_w\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}_w^{-1})$ for some $w \in W_G = W_{\boldsymbol{GL}_M}$. Thus for each $\bar{g} \in G(k)$, there exists $w \in W_G$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w}$ are (inner) isomorphic.*

Lemma 4.5. *Let g_1 and $g_2 \in G(W(k))$.*

(a) *We have an identity $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_1} = \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2}$ if and only if $\bar{g}_2^{-1}\bar{g}_1 \in \sigma(N)(k)$ (equivalently if and only if $\bar{g}_2\bar{g}_1^{-1} \in (g_1\sigma(N)g_1^{-1})(k) = \sigma_{g_1}(N)(k)$).*

(b) *We have* $<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_1}>=<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2}>$ *if and only if there exists* $h\in\mathbb{L}$ *such that* $h_{12}:=hg_1\phi(h^{-1})g_2^{-1}$ *is an element of* $Ker(G(W(k))\to G(k))$.

(c) *We have* $<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_1}>=<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2}>$ *if and only if there exists* $h_{12}\in Ker(G(W(k))\to G(k))$ *such that* $<\mathcal{C}_{g_1}>=<\mathcal{C}_{h_{12}g_2}>$.

(d) *Statement 1.4 (a) holds if and only if we have* $\mathbb{I}=\{<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w}>|w\in W_G\}$.

(e) *If statement 1.4 (a) holds, then statement 1.4 (b) holds if and only if the set* $\mathbb{I}$ *has* $[W_G:W_P]$ *elements.*

Proof: Let N^{big} be as in Subsubsection 3.3.3. As $N_k=N_k^{\text{big}}\cap G_k$ (see Subsubsection 3.3.3), it suffices to prove (a) under the extra assumption that $G=\boldsymbol{GL}_M$. Thus $N=N^{\text{big}}$. As $\bar{g}_2\bar{\phi}=\bar{g}_1\bar{\phi}$, we have $\bar{g}_2^{-1}\bar{g}_1\bar{\phi}=\bar{\phi}$ and thus $\bar{g}_2^{-1}\bar{g}_1$ fixes $\bar{\phi}(\bar{M})=\text{Ker}(\bar{\vartheta})$. Thus $\text{Ker}(\bar{\vartheta})=\text{Ker}(\bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}_2^{-1}\bar{g}_1)$. Due to this and the equality $\bar{\vartheta}=\bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}_2^{-1}\bar{g}_1$, we get that $\bar{g}_2^{-1}\bar{g}_1$ also fixes $\bar{M}/\text{Ker}(\bar{\vartheta})$. But we have $\text{Ker}(\bar{\vartheta})=\bar{\phi}(\bar{M})=\sigma(F^0/pF^0)$. Thus $\bar{g}_2^{-1}\bar{g}_1$ fixes $\sigma(F^0/pF^0)$ and $\bar{M}/\sigma(F^0/pF^0)$. This implies that $\bar{g}_2^{-1}\bar{g}_1\in\sigma(N^{\text{big}})(k)=\sigma(N)(k)$. This proves (a).

We prove (b). The if part is trivial. We check the only if part. Let $\bar{h}\in P(k)$ be an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2}$. By replacing g_1 with $h_1g_1\phi(h_1^{-1})$, where $h_1\in\mathbb{L}$ lifts $\bar{h}$, we can assume $\bar{h}=1_{\bar{M}}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_1}=\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2}$. The element $g_3:=\sigma_{g_1}^{-1}g_2g_1^{-1}\sigma_{g_1}\in G(W(k))$ is such that $\bar{g}_3\in N(k)$, cf. (a). By multiplying g_2 with an element of $\text{Ker}(G(W(k))\to G(k))$, we can also assume that $g_3\in N(W(k))$. Thus we can write $g_3=1_M+u$, where $u\in\text{Lie}(N)$ (see Subsubsection 3.3.3). Let $h:=1_M-pu\in\text{Ker}(N(W(k))\to N(k))$. We have $\phi=g_1^{-1}g_1\phi=g_1^{-1}\sigma_{g_1}\mu(\frac{1}{p})$ and $\mu(\frac{1}{p})h\mu(p)=1_M-u=g_3^{-1}$. We compute

$$g_2\phi(h)=g_2g_1^{-1}\sigma_{g_1}\mu(\frac{1}{p})h\mu(p)\sigma_{g_1}^{-1}g_1=g_2g_1^{-1}\sigma_{g_1}g_3^{-1}\sigma_{g_1}^{-1}g_1=g_2g_1^{-1}g_1g_2^{-1}g_1=g_1.$$

Thus $h_{12}=hg_1\phi(h^{-1})g_2^{-1}=hg_1g_1^{-1}=h\in\text{Ker}(G(W(k))\to G(k))$. Thus (b) holds.

The if part of (c) is obvious. The only if part of (c) follows from (b), as h is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{g_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{h_{12}g_2}$. Parts (d) and (e) follow from (c). $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *If* $G=\boldsymbol{GL}_M$, *then the statement 1.4 (a) holds i.e., the set* $R_{\boldsymbol{GL}_M}$ *exists.*

Proof: This is only the translation of Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 (d), cf. also Lemma 4.1.$\square$

4.4. Simple factors of (Lie$(G^{\text{ad}}),\phi$)

As ϕ normalizes $\text{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$, it also normalizes $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{der}}_{B(k)})=[\text{Lie}(G_{B(k)}),\text{Lie}(G_{B(k)})]$. We identify $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})$ with a $W(k)$-Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}_{B(k)})=\text{Lie}(G^{\text{der}}_{B(k)})$. We have a direct sum decomposition (cf. (6))

$$(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}),\phi)=\oplus_{j\in J}(\text{Lie}(G^j_{W(k)}),\phi).$$

As $\phi=\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})$, we have $\phi(\text{Lie}(G^j_{W(k)}))=\text{Lie}(G^j_{W(k)})$ if and only if $j\in J^c$. Accordingly, if $j\in J\setminus J^c$ (resp. if $j\in J^c$) we say $(\text{Lie}(G^j_{W(k)}),\phi)$ is a non-trivial (resp. is a trivial) simple factor of $(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}),\phi)$. Let now $j\in J\setminus J^c$. The Lie algebras of the simple factors of $G^j_{B(k)}$ are permuted transitively by σ and thus also by $\phi=\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})$. Therefore these factors have the same Lie type $\mathcal{X}_j$ which (cf. Lemma 4.3) is a classical Lie type.

Next we introduce types that extrapolate [5, Table 2.3.8]. For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we say $(\text{Lie}(G^j_{W(k)}),\phi)$ is of A_n, B_n, or C_n type if $\mathcal{X}_j$ is A_n, B_n, or C_n (respectively). Suppose $\mathcal{X}_j=D_n$ with $n\geq 4$. We say $(\text{Lie}(G^j_{W(k)}),\phi)$ is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type if the non-trivial images of $\sigma^i(\mu)$'s with $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ in each simple factor G_0^j of $G^j_{W(k)}$, are $G_0^j(W(k))$-conjugate and the centralizers of these images in G_0^j have adjoint group schemes of D_{n-1} Lie type (i.e., these images define $\varpi_1^\vee$ cocharacters of $\boldsymbol{SO}_{2n}^{\mathrm{ad}}$; see [28, Table 4.2]). We say $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^j_{W(k)}), \phi)$ is of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type if it is not of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type.

§5. Proof of Basic Theorem A

Subsection 5.1 shows that there exists a group action $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ as in Subsubsection 2.2.1 which is the mod p version of the action $\mathbb{T}_{G,\phi}$ of Subsubsection 4.2.1. The orbits of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ will parameterize the set $\mathbb{I}$. Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 are key ingredients in the proof of the long form (see Subsection 5.2) of Basic Theorem A. From Subsubsection 5.1.1 until Remark 5.5 we study group schemes of inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar g}$'s and stabilizer subgroup schemes of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$. The Section ends with two examples and a remark. Let $\sigma : W_G \overset{\sim}{\to} W_G$ be the automorphism defined by the automorphism σ of the pair (G,T) that fixes $(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, T_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$. We use the notations of Subsections 4.1, 4.1.1, 4.2, and 4.2.1. If $w \in W_G$, then $\sigma(w) \in W_G$ is the unique element such that the σ-linear automorphisms of $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ defined by $g_{\sigma(w)}\phi$ and ϕg_w coincide.

5.1. A group action

As the product $W(k)$-morphism $P \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} N \to G$ is an open embedding (see Subsubsection 3.3.4), each element $h \in \mathbb{L}$ (i.e., each $h \in G(W(k))$ such that $\bar h \in P(k)$) can be written uniquely as a product $h = h_0h_4$, where $h_0 \in P(W(k))$ and $h_4 \in \mathrm{Ker}(N(W(k)) \to N(k))$. We write $h_0 = h_1h_2$, where $h_1 \in U(W(k))$ and $h_2 \in L(W(k))$. Thus $h = h_1h_2h_4$. We recall that for $\tilde h \in \mathbb{L}$ we defined $\phi(\tilde h) = \phi\tilde h\phi^{-1} \in G(W(k))$ (see Subsubsection 4.2.1). Let $g \in G(W(k))$. Let $g_1 := hg\phi(h^{-1}) \in G(W(k))$. As $\phi = \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})$, we have

$$g_1 = hg\phi(h_4^{-1})\phi(h_2^{-1})\phi(h_1^{-1}) = hg\phi(h_4^{-1})\sigma(h_2^{-1})\phi(h_1^{-1}).$$

We have $\phi(h_1^{-1}) \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$ (see Subsubsection 4.2.1). As L normalizes N, we can rewrite

$$\phi(h_4^{-1})\sigma(h_2^{-1}) = \sigma(h_2^{-1})\phi(\tilde h_3^{-1}) = \sigma(h_2^{-1})\sigma(h_3^{-1}),$$

where $\tilde h_3 := h_2h_4h_2^{-1} \in \mathrm{Ker}(N(W(k)) \to N(k))$ is $1_M + pn_3$ for some element $n_3 \in \mathrm{Lie}(N)$ and where $h_3 := 1_M + n_3 \in N(W(k))$. We get

$$\bar g_1 = \bar h_1\bar h_2\bar g\sigma(\bar h_2^{-1})\sigma(\bar h_3^{-1}). \tag{9}$$

We introduce the group action that "defines" (9). We endow $H_k := U_k \times_k L_k \times_k N_k$ with the semidirect product group structure (see Subsubsection 2.2.1). Note that H_k is the special fibre of the Bruhat–Tits affine, smooth group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ whose generic fibre is $G_{B(k)}$ and whose group of $W(k)$-valued points is $\mathbb{L}$ (equivalently of the dilatation in the sense of [3, Ch. 3, Subsect. 3.2] of G centered on P_k). Let

$$\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma} := \mathbb{T}_{G_k,P_k,T_k,\sigma} : H_k \times_k G_k \to G_k$$

be a $\mathbb{T}$-action as in Subsubsection 2.2.1. At the level of k-valued points we have $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}((\bar h_1, \bar h_2, \bar h_3), \bar g) = \bar h_1\bar h_2\bar g\sigma(\bar h_2^{-1})\sigma(\bar h_3^{-1})$, cf. (5). Let $o_{\bar g}$ be the orbit of $\bar g \in G_k(k)$ under $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$. We consider the set of orbits

$$\mathbb{O} := \{o_{\bar g} | \bar g \in G_k(k)\}$$

of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$. We define an order relation $\leq$ on $\mathbb{O}$ as follows: for $\bar g_1, \bar g_2 \in G_k(k)$, we have $o_{\bar g_1} \leq o_{\bar g_2}$ if and only if the Zariski closure of $o_{\bar g_1}$ in G_k contains $o_{\bar g_2}$. This order defines a topology on $\mathbb{O}$

as follows. A subset $\mathbb{U}$ of $\mathbb{O}$ is open if and only if for all $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2 \in G_k(k)$ such that $o_{\bar{g}_1} \leq o_{\bar{g}_2}$ and $o_{\bar{g}_2} \in \mathbb{U}$, we have $o_{\bar{g}_1} \in \mathbb{U}$.

Lemma 5.1. (a) *The association $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} > \mapsto o_{\bar{g}}$ defines a bijection $b_{\mathrm{can}} : \mathbb{I} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{O}$.*

(b) *Conjecture 2.1 holds for the quadruple (G_k, P_k, T_k, σ) if and only if the statements 1.4 (a) and (b) hold.*

Proof: We prove (a). As Formula (9) says $\bar{g}_1 = \mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}((\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_3), \bar{g})$, we have $o_{\bar{g}} = o_{\bar{g}_1}$. Thus the fact that the map b_{can} is well defined follows from Lemma 4.5 (b). Let $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ be such that $o_{\bar{g}_2} = o_{\bar{g}}$. Each element $(\bar{h}'_1, \bar{h}'_2, \bar{h}'_3) \in H_k(k)$ is associated to an element $h' \in \mathbb{L}$ in the same way we associated $(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_3)$ to $h \in \mathbb{L}$. Thus if $(\bar{h}'_1, \bar{h}'_2, \bar{h}'_3)$ is such that $\bar{g}_2 = \mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}((\bar{h}'_1, \bar{h}'_2, \bar{h}'_3), \bar{g})$, then we have $h'_{12} g_2 = h' g \phi(h^{'-1})$ for some $h'_{12} \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$. Thus $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2} > = < \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >$, cf. Lemma 4.5 (b). Thus the map b_{can} is injective. As this map is obviously surjective, part (a) holds. Part (b) follows from (a) and Lemma 4.5 (d) and (e). $\square$

5.1.1. Some group schemes

Let Φ_R be the Frobenius endomorphism of a commutative k-algebra R. Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}$ be the subgroup scheme of G_k of inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}(R)$ is the subgroup of $G_k(R)$ formed by elements $\bar{h}_R \in G_k(R)$ that commute with the pulls back of $\bar{g}\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}^{-1}$ to R. For instance, in the case of $\bar{g}\bar{\phi}$ by this commutation we mean that we have an identity $(\bar{g}\bar{\phi} \otimes 1_R) \circ (\Phi_R^*(\bar{h}_R)) = \bar{h}_R \circ (\bar{g}\bar{\phi} \otimes 1_R) : \bar{M} \otimes_\sigma R \to \bar{M} \otimes_k R$ of R-linear maps. Here we identify $\bar{M} \otimes_{k\,\sigma} R = \bar{M} \otimes_{k\,\sigma} k \otimes_k R = \bar{M} \otimes_k R \otimes_{R\,\Phi_R} R$. As the mentioned commutations can be described by polynomial equations, we get that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}$ is indeed a subgroup scheme of G_k.

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}} \leqslant H_k$ be the stabilizer subgroup scheme of $\bar{g} \in G(k)$ under $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$. Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ be the reduced subgroup schemes of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}$. Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ be the identity components of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}$.

Lemma 5.2. (a) *If $\bar{h} = (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_3) \in \mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}(k)$ is such that $\bar{h}_1$ and $\bar{h}_2$ are identity elements, then $\bar{h}$ is the identity element.*

(b) *The group scheme $Aut_{\bar{g}} \cap L_k$ is finite.*

Proof: As $\bar{h}_1$ and $\bar{h}_2$ are identity elements, we have $\bar{g} = \mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}(\bar{h}, \bar{g}) = \bar{g}\sigma(\bar{h}_3^{-1})$. Thus $\sigma(\bar{h}_3^{-1})$ is the identity element $1_{\bar{M}}$ and therefore $\bar{h}_3$ is the identity element $1_{\bar{M}}$ of $N(k)$. Thus (a) holds.

It suffices to prove (b) under the extra assumption that $G = \boldsymbol{GL}_M$. Not to introduce extra notations (by always replacing $g \in G(W(k))$ with some $g\tilde{g} \in G(W(k))$), to prove (b) we can also assume that σ normalizes both F^1 and F^0. This implies that $\sigma(N) = N$. Let $\bar{h}_2 \in (\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}} \cap L_k)(k)$. Let $h_2 \in L(W(k))$ be a lift of $\bar{h}_2$. If $g_1 := h_2 g \phi(h_2^{-1})$, then $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_1} = \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$. Thus $\bar{h}_5 := \bar{g}^{-1}\bar{g}_1 \in \sigma(N)(k) = N(k)$ (cf. Lemma 4.5 (a); referring to (9) with $\bar{h}_1 = 1_{\bar{M}}$, we have $\bar{h}_5 = \sigma(\bar{h}_3)$). As $\bar{h}_5 = \bar{g}^{-1}\bar{h}_2\bar{g}\sigma(\bar{h}_2^{-1})$, we have

$$\bar{g}^{-1}\bar{h}_2\bar{g} = \bar{h}_5\sigma(\bar{h}_2). \tag{10}$$

Let $I_0 := \{1, \ldots, d\}^2 \cup \{d+1, \ldots, r\}^2$. We write $\bar{h}_2 = 1_{\bar{M}} + \sum_{(i_1,i_2) \in I_0} \bar{x}_{i_1,i_2}\bar{e}_{i_1,i_2}$ with $\bar{x}_{i_1,i_2} \in k$, cf. Subsection 4.1 for notations. Let $\bar{X}$ be the column vector formed by $\bar{x}_{i_1,i_2}$'s listed is some order. Let $\bar{X}^{[p]}$ be the column vector obtained from $\bar{X}$ by raising each entry to power p.

Every element of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M(k)$ can be uniquely written in the form $1_{\bar{M}} + \sum_{i_3,i_4 \in \{1,\ldots,r\}} \bar{y}_{i_3,i_4}\sigma(\bar{e}_{i_3,i_4})$ with $\bar{y}_{i_3,i_4} \in k$. Next for $(i_1, i_2) \in I_0$ we will identify the coefficients of $\sigma(\bar{e}_{i_1,i_2})$'s in Formula (10).

As $\bar{h}_5 \in \sigma(N)(k) = N(k)$ and as we have a short exact sequence $1 \to N \to NL \to L \to 1$, the coefficient of $\sigma(\bar{e}_{i_1,i_2})$ for the right hand side of (10) is $1 + \bar{x}_{i_1,i_2}^p$ if $i_1 = i_2$ and is $\bar{x}_{i_1,i_2}^p$ if

$i_1 \neq i_2$. If $i_1 = i_2$ (resp. $i_1 \neq i_2$), then the coefficient of $\sigma(\bar{e}_{i_1,i_2})$ for the left hand side of (10) is 1 plus a k-linear combination of the $\bar{x}_{i'_1,i'_2}$'s with $(i'_1,i'_2) \in I_0$ (resp. is such a k-linear combination). Thus there exists a square matrix $\bar{A}$ with coefficients in k such that we have $\bar{A}\bar{X} = \bar{X}^{[p]}$. The system $\bar{A}\bar{X} = \bar{X}^{[p]}$ defines a $p^{d^2+(r-d)^2}$ dimensional k-algebra and thus has a finite number of solutions. Thus $(\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}} \cap L_k)(k)$ is finite. Therefore the group scheme $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}} \cap L_k$ is finite. □

For $\alpha \in \Phi_N$ let $V_{a,\alpha}$ be the vector group scheme defined by $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$; thus $V_{a,\alpha}(W(k)) = \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. The next two Propositions show that for each element $w \in W_G$, $\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ and $o_{\bar{g}_w}$ are "controlled" by Φ_N^{+w} and Φ_N^{-w} (respectively).

Proposition 5.3. *Let $w \in W_G$. Let $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}$. Then there exists a curve of $\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ which passes through the identity element and whose tangent space at this element is $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$.*

Proof: Let $y_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. For $i \in \{0, \ldots, 1 + w_\alpha\}$ let

$$x_i := (g_w\phi)^i(py_0).$$

We have $x_0 = py_0$. As $y_0^2 = 0$, for $i \in \{0, \ldots, 1 + w_\alpha\}$ we have $x_i^2 = 0$. Thus $(1_M + x_i)(1_M - x_i) = 1_M$. As $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}$ we have $x_1, \ldots, x_{w_\alpha - 1} \in \mathrm{Lie}(L)$ and $x_{w_\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(U)$. Also $x_{w_\alpha+1} = (g_w\phi)(x_{w_\alpha}) \in p\mathrm{Lie}(G)$; thus $\bar{x}_{w_\alpha+1} = 0$. Let $h_0 := \prod_{i=w_\alpha}^{0}(1_M + x_i)$ be the product obtained using a decreasing order.

We have $h_0^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{w_\alpha}(1_M + x_i)^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{w_\alpha}(1_M - x_i)$. Thus we can write $h_0 g_w \phi(h_0^{-1}) = g_1 g_w$, where $g_1 := \prod_{i=w_\alpha}^{0}(1_M + x_i)\prod_{i=1}^{1+w_\alpha}(1_M - x_i) \in G(W(k))$. As $\bar{x}_0 = \bar{x}_{w_\alpha+1} = 0$, we have

$$\bar{g}_1 = \Big(\prod_{i=w_\alpha}^{1}(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{x}_i)\Big)(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{x}_0)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{w_\alpha}(1_{\bar{M}} - \bar{x}_i)\Big)(1_{\bar{M}} - \bar{x}_{w_\alpha+1}) = 1_{\bar{M}}.$$

Let $h_1 := 1_M + x_{w_\alpha} \in U(W(k))$, $h_2 := \prod_{i=w_\alpha-1}^{1}(1_M + x_i) \in L(W(k))$, and $h_3 := h_2(1_M + y_0)h_2^{-1} \in N(W(k))$. We have $h_0 = h_1h_2(1_M + x_0)$. Thus $h_0 g_w \phi(h_0^{-1}) \bmod p$ is on one hand $\bar{g}_w$ (as $\bar{g}_1 = 1_{\bar{M}}$) and on the other hand it is $\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\bar{g}_w\sigma((1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{y}_0)^{-1})\sigma(\bar{h}_2^{-1}) = \bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\bar{g}_w\sigma(\bar{h}_2^{-1})\sigma(\bar{h}_3^{-1}) = \mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}((\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_3), \bar{g}_w)$. Thus $(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_3) \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}(k)$. Therefore the k-morphism

$$c_\alpha : V_{a,\alpha_k} \to H_k$$

that maps $\bar{y}_0 \in V_{a,\alpha_k}(k)$ to the element (defined using decreasing order products)

(11)

$$(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_3) = \Big(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{x}_{w_\alpha}, \prod_{i=w_\alpha-1}^{1}(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{x}_i), \Big(\prod_{i=w_\alpha-1}^{1}(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{x}_i)\Big)(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{y}_0)\Big(\prod_{i=w_\alpha-1}^{1}(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{x}_i)\Big)^{-1}\Big) \in H_k(k),$$

factors through $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ and thus (as V_{a,α_k} is connected) through $\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$. If $\bar{y}_0$ is multiplied by $\bar{\gamma} \in \mathbb{G}_m(k)$, then $\bar{x}_i$ gets multiplied by $\bar{\gamma}^{p^i}$. Thus $\mathrm{Im}(c_\alpha)$ is a curve of $\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ whose tangent space at $1_{\bar{M}}$ is $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let $w \in W_G$. Then $\dim(o_{\bar{g}_w}) \geq \dim(G_k) - \mathbb{S}(w)$ (see Subsubsection 4.1.1 for $\mathbb{S}(w)$).*

Proof: Let $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{-w}$. Let $\tilde{\alpha} := \pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha)$. Let $y_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Let $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{w_\alpha}$ be obtained from y_0 as in the proof of Proposition 5.3. As $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{-w}$, we have $x_1, \ldots, x_{w_\alpha - 1} \in \mathrm{Lie}(L)$ and $x_{w_\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(N)$. Let $r_{\bar{g}_w} : P_k \to o_{\bar{g}_w}\bar{g}_w^{-1}$ be the k-morphism that takes $\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2 \in U(k)L(k) =$

$P(k) = P_k(k)$ to $\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\bar{g}_w\sigma(\bar{h}_2^{-1})\bar{g}_w^{-1}$. The tangent map of $r_{\bar{g}_w}$ at the identity element has image $\mathrm{Lie}(P_k)$. Thus $\mathrm{Im}(r_{\bar{g}_w})$ has dimension $\dim(P_k)$ and its tangent space at the identity element is $\mathrm{Lie}(P_k)$. The following identity

$$(\prod_{i=0}^{w_\alpha-1}(1_M+x_i))(1_M+x_{w_\alpha})(g_w\phi)(\prod_{i=0}^{w_\alpha-1}(1_M+x_i))^{-1}=(1_M+x_0)g_w\phi=(1_M+py_0)g_w\phi$$

implies $<\mathcal{C}_{(1_{\bar{M}}+\bar{x}_{w_\alpha})\bar{g}_w}>=<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w}>\in\mathbb{I}$. From this and Lemma 5.1 (a) we get $(1_{\bar{M}}+\bar{x}_{w_\alpha})\bar{g}_w\in o_{\bar{g}_w}$. Thus we can speak about the k-morphism

$$c_\alpha : V_{a,\tilde{\alpha}_k}\to o_{\bar{g}_w}\bar{g}_w^{-1}$$

that takes $\bar{x}_{w_\alpha}\in V_{a,\tilde{\alpha}_k}(k)$ to $1_{\bar{M}}+\bar{x}_{w_\alpha}$. The image of the tangent map of c_α at the identity element is $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\tilde{\alpha}}$. As $o_{\bar{g}_w}\bar{g}_w^{-1}$ is smooth, its dimension is the dimension of its tangent space at the identity element. As this tangent space contains $\mathrm{Lie}(P_k)\oplus\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Phi_N^{-w}}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and as $\Phi_N^{-w}=\Phi_N\setminus\Phi_N^{+w}$ has $\dim(N_k)-\mathbb{S}(w)$ elements, we have the following inequality $\dim(o_{\bar{g}_w})\geq\dim(P_k)+\dim(N_k)-\mathbb{S}(w)=\dim(G_k)-\mathbb{S}(w)$. □

5.2. Basic Theorem A (long form)

(a) *There exists a natural finite epimorphism* $a_{\bar{g}}:\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}\twoheadrightarrow Aut_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ *that induces an isomorphism at the level of* k*-valued points. Thus the four groups* $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}$, $Aut_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$, *and* $Aut_{\bar{g}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ *have the same dimension.*

(b) *The group scheme* $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ *is unipotent and its Lie algebra is commutative.*

(c) *For* $w\in W_G$ *we have* $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_w}^{0\mathrm{red}})=\mathbb{S}(w)$ *and thus*

$$\dim(o_{\bar{g}_w})=\dim(H_k)-\mathbb{S}(w)=\dim(G_k)-\mathbb{S}(w).\tag{12}$$

(d) *The group* $Aut_{\bar{g}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ *is unipotent and for* $w\in W_G$ *we have* $\dim(Aut_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathrm{red}})=\mathbb{S}(w)$.

Proof: Let $\bar{h}=(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2,\bar{h}_3)\in\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}(k)$. Let $h_{12}\in P(W(k))$ be a lift of $\bar{h}_{12}:=\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\in P(k)$. Let $g_2:=h_{12}g\phi(h_{12}^{-1})\in G(W(k))$. As $\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\bar{g}\sigma(\bar{h}_2^{-1})\sigma(\bar{h}_3^{-1})=\bar{g}$, from (9) we get that $\bar{g}_2=\bar{g}\sigma(\bar{h}_3)$. Thus $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}=\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2}$, cf. Lemma 4.5 (a) and the relation $\bar{h}_3\in N(k)$. Thus $\bar{h}_{12}\in\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}(k)$. Due to Formula (3), the rule

$$\bar{h}=(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2,\bar{h}_3)\mapsto\bar{h}_{12}=\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\ \text{ defines a homomorphism }\ a_{\bar{g}}:\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}\to\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}.$$

As $\mathrm{Ker}(a_{\bar{g}})(k)$ is trivial (cf. Lemma 5.2 (a)), $\mathrm{Ker}(a_{\bar{g}})$ is a finite, flat group scheme. Thus $a_{\bar{g}}$ is finite.

Next we work conversely. If $\bar{h}_{12}=\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\in\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}(k)\leqslant P(k)$ and if h_{12} and g_2 are as above, then $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}=\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2}$ and thus $\bar{h}_3:=\sigma^{-1}(\bar{g}^{-1}\bar{g}_2)\in N(k)$ (cf. Lemma 4.5 (a)). As $\bar{g}=\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\bar{g}\sigma(\bar{h}_2^{-1})\sigma(\bar{h}_3^{-1})$, we have $(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2,\bar{h}_3)\in\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}(k)$ and thus $a_{\bar{g}}(k)$ maps $(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2,\bar{h}_3)$ to $\bar{h}_{12}$. Thus the homomorphism $a_{\bar{g}}(k):\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}(k)\to\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}}^{\mathrm{red}}(k)$ is onto. Thus $a_{\bar{g}}$ is an epimorphism. As $a_{\bar{g}}(k)$ is also injective, it is an isomorphism. Thus (a) holds.

As $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ is a restriction of the analogue action $\mathbb{T}_{\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}},\sigma}$ associated to the triple $(M,\phi,\mu:\mathbb{G}_m\to\boldsymbol{GL}_M)$, it suffices to prove (b) under the extra assumption $G=\boldsymbol{GL}_M$. Based on Corollary 4.6, we can assume g is a g_w element for some $w\in W_G$. Thus for the rest of the proofs of (b) and (c) we will work with a g_w element and we will not assume anymore that G is $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$. We recall $\mathbb{S}(w)$ is the number of elements of Φ_N^{+w}.

We have $\oplus_{\alpha\in\Phi_N^{+w}}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w})$, cf. Proposition 5.3. Thus $\dim_k(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w})) \geq \mathbb{S}(w)$. As the reduced group $\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ is smooth, we have $\dim(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}) = \dim_k(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w})) \geq \mathbb{S}(w)$. As we have

$$\dim(o_{\bar{g}_w}) = \dim(H_k) - \dim(\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}}) = \dim(H_k) - \dim(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}) = \dim(G_k) - \dim(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}),$$

from Proposition 5.4 we get $\dim(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}) \leq \mathbb{S}(w)$. Thus (12) holds. By reasons of dimensions we also get

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}) = \oplus_{\alpha\in\Phi_N^{+w}}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(N_k). \tag{13}$$

Thus the Lie subalgebra $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w})$ of $\mathrm{End}_k(\bar{M})$ is abelian and all its elements have square 0. In particular, $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w})$ contains no non-zero semisimple element of $\mathrm{End}_k(\bar{M})$. Thus $\mathcal{S}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ contains no non-trivial torus and therefore it is a unipotent group. Thus (b) and (c) hold. Part (d) is a direct consequence of (a), (b), and (c). $\square$

Remark 5.5. If $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}$, then the tangent map of $a_{\bar{g}_w} \circ c_\alpha$ at the identity element is trivial. From this and (13) we get that $a_{\bar{g}_w}$ is the composite of the Frobenius endomorphism of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ with a finite homomorphism $a'_{\bar{g}_w} : \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w} \to \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ which at the level of k-valued points induces an isomorphism. It is easy to see that $a'_{\bar{g}_w}$ induces at the level of Lie algebras an isomorphism. The last two sentences imply that $a'_{\bar{g}_w}$ is an isomorphism.

Example 5.6. See Subsection 4.1 for B and B^{opp}. As $\sigma(B)$ is a Borel subgroup scheme of G that contains T, there exists a unique element $w \in W_G$ such that $g_w\sigma(B)g_w^{-1} = B$. Thus $g_w\phi$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(B)[\frac{1}{p}]$. As $g_w\phi$ also normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$, we get that $g_w\phi$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(B^{\mathrm{opp}})[\frac{1}{p}]$ as well. Thus, as $N \leqslant B^{\mathrm{opp}}$ and as $B^{\mathrm{opp}} \cap U$ is the trivial group scheme, we conclude that the set Φ_N^{+w} is empty. Therefore $\mathbb{S}(w) = 0$. This implies that $\dim(o_{\bar{g}_w}) = \dim(G_k)$, cf. (12). Thus $o_{\bar{g}_w}$ is a dense, open subscheme of G_k.

Example 5.7. Let w be as in Example 5.6. Let G_0 be a simple factor of G^{ad} such that the image of μ in it is non-trivial. Let $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that the intersection $\sigma^{i_0}_{g_w}(N) \cap G_0$ is non-trivial (this intersection makes sense as N is also a unipotent subgroup scheme of G^{ad}). We assume that for each such simple factor G_0 of G^{ad}, there exists $w_{00} \in W_{G_0} \lhd W_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}} = W_G$ such that $g_{w_{00}}(\sigma^{i_0}_{g_w}(N) \cap G_0) = U \cap G_0$. Let $w_0 \in W_G$ be the product of all these w_{00} elements. Let $w_1 := w_0 w \in W_G$. We have $\sigma^{i_0}_{g_{w_1}}(N) \cap G_0 = U \cap G_0$. Due to the minimal property of i_0, we have $\sigma^{i_1}_{g_{w_1}}(N) \cap G_0 \leqslant L$ for $i_1 \in \{1, \ldots, i_0 - 1\}$. From the last two sentences we get $\Phi_N^{+w_1} = \Phi_N$. Thus $\mathbb{S}(w_1) = \dim(N_k)$. From (12) we get

$$\dim(o_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}) = \dim(G_k) - \dim(N_k) = \dim(P_k).$$

One can check that the Weyl elements w_{00} exist if all non-trivial simple factors of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \phi)$ are of B_n, C_n, or $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type (see [2, plates II to IV, element w_0 of item (VIII)]).

Remark 5.8. Let $o'_{\bar{g}_w}$ be the integral, locally closed subscheme of G_k whose k-valued points are of the form

$$\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\bar{h}^{-w}\bar{g}_w\sigma(\bar{h}_2^{-1}), \text{ where } \bar{h}_1 \in U_k(k), \;\; \bar{h}_2 \in L_k(k), \text{ and } \bar{h}^{-w} \in N_k^{-w}(k);$$

here $N^{-w} := \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi_N^{-w}} \mathbb{G}_{a,\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha)} \leqslant N$. The proof of Proposition 5.4 can be easily adapted to get that $o'_{\bar{g}_w}$ is a subscheme of $o_{\bar{g}_w}$ which has dimension $\dim(G_k) - \mathbb{S}(w)$. Thus $o'_{\bar{g}_w}$ is an open subscheme of $o_{\bar{g}_w}$, cf. (12).

§6. On the number and the dimensions of orbits: a classical approach

Subsection 6.1 reviews the classical analogue $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$. Theorem 6.1 presents two results on the number of orbits of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ and of their dimensions. The Section ends with an example and three remarks. We use the notations of Subsections 4.1 and 5.1. In particular, we have $T \leqslant B \leqslant P \leqslant G$.

6.1. The classical context

For the Bruhat decompositions to be recalled here in the context of G_k, we refer to Subsection 2.1, [1, Ch. IV, Sect. 14], and to their axiomatized and abstract generalization formalized in [2, Ch. IV, Sect. 2]. Let U^B be the unipotent radical of B; it contains the unipotent radical U of P. Let $\Phi_{U^B} := \{\alpha \in \Phi | \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(U^B)\}$. Let $H_k^{\mathrm{cl}} := P_k \times_k U_k^B$. Next we recall properties of the classical action

$$\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}} : H_k^{\mathrm{cl}} \times_k G_k \to G_k$$

defined by the rule: if $\bar{h}_{12} \in P_k(k)$ and $\bar{h}_3 \in U_k^B(k)$, then $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}((\bar{h}_{12}, \bar{h}_3), \bar{g}) := \bar{h}_{12}\bar{g}\bar{h}_3^{-1}$.

(i) The orbits of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ are parameterized by elements of $W_P \backslash W_G$ (cf. [2, Ch. IV, Sect. 2, Subsect. 6, Rm. of p. 22] or [6, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Subsubsect. 4.5.5]). Let $w \in W_G$. Let $U_k^{B,w,P} := U_k^B \cap \bar{g}_w^{-1} P_k \bar{g}_w$ and $U_k^{B,w,N} := U_k^B \cap \bar{g}_w^{-1} N_k \bar{g}_w$. These two group schemes are normalized by T_k, are directly span by the $\mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha_k}$'s with $\alpha \in S(w)^{\mathrm{cl}} := \Phi_{U^B} \cap w^{-1}\Phi_P w$ and with $\alpha \in \Phi_{U^B} \cap w^{-1}\Phi_N w$ (respectively), and their product k-morphism $U_k^{B,w,P} \times_k U_k^{B,w,N} \to U_k^B$ is an isomorphism (cf. [1, Ch. IV, Prop. 14.4 (2)]). In particular, the groups $U_k^{B,w,P}$ and $U_k^{B,w,N}$ are smooth and connected. The orbit of $\bar{g}_w$ under $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ is the affine variety

$$P_k \bar{g}_w U_k^B = P_k(\bar{g}_w U_k^B \bar{g}_w^{-1})\bar{g}_w = (P_k \bar{g}_w U_k^{B,w,N} \bar{g}_w^{-1})\bar{g}_w. \tag{14}$$

(ii) The smooth group $\bar{g}_w U_k^{B,w,P} \bar{g}_w^{-1}$ is the stabilizer subgroup of $\bar{g}_w$ under $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$. The classical analogue $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{cl}} : W_G \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ of the function $\mathbb{S} : W_G \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, is defined by:

$$\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{cl}}(w) := \dim(\bar{g}_w U_k^{B,w,P} \bar{g}_w^{-1}) = \text{the number of elements of the set } S(w)^{\mathrm{cl}}.$$

(iii) We have $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{cl}}(w) = \dim(U_k^B)$ if and only if $[w] \in W_P \backslash W_G$ is the class of the identity element of W_G. Also, we have $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{cl}}(w) = \dim(U_k^B)$ if and only if the orbit of $\bar{g}_w$ under $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ has dimension equal to (equivalently at most equal to) $\dim(H_k^{\mathrm{cl}}) - \dim(U_k^B) = \dim(P_k)$.

(iv) Let $w_0 \in W_G$ be such that $B^{\mathrm{opp}} = g_{w_0} B g_{w_0}^{-1} \leqslant Q$. Let

$$d_u := \dim(U_k^B) - \dim(N_k) = \dim(U_k^B) - \dim(U_k).$$

We have $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{cl}}(w) \geq d_u$. The equality holds if and only if $N_k \leqslant \bar{g}_w U_k^B \bar{g}_w^{-1}$ and thus if and only if the intersection $Q_k \cap \bar{g}_w B_k \bar{g}_w^{-1}$ is a Borel subgroup of G_k (cf. [1, Ch. IV, Prop. 14.22 (i)]) and thus if and only if $\bar{g}_w B_k \bar{g}_w^{-1} \leqslant Q_k$. Therefore we have $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{cl}}(w) = d_u$ if and only if $[w] = [w_0] \in W_P \backslash W_G$.

(v) Let $o_1^{\mathrm{cl}}, \ldots, o_{[W_G:W_P]}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ be the orbits of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, [W_G : W_P]\}$ let d_i^{cl} be the dimension of the stabilizer subgroup of any k-valued point of o_i^{cl} under $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$.

Theorem 6.1. **(a)** *Suppose $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ has a finite number of orbits $o_1, \ldots, o_s$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ we fix a point $\bar{g}_i \in o_i(k)$; let $d_i := \dim(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i})$. Then $s = [W_G : W_P]$ and up to a reindexing of the orbits $o_1, \ldots, o_s$, we have*

$$d_i = d_i^{\mathrm{cl}} - d_u \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}. \tag{15}$$

Equality (15) is equivalent to the fact that for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ *we have* $\dim(o_i) = \dim(o_i^{\mathrm{cl}})$*.*

(b) *Suppose there exist distinct orbits* $o_1, \ldots, o_{[W_G:W_P]}$ *of* $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ *such that for each* $i \in \{1, \ldots, [W_G : W_P]\}$ *we have* $d_i = d_i^{\mathrm{cl}} - d_u$*. Then* $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ *has precisely* $[W_G : W_P]$ *orbits.*

Proof: We prove (a). We recall that the pair (G, T) has a natural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, T_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$, cf. Subsubsection 4.2.1. Let $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the torus $T_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_0}})}$ is split. The cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$ is definable over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_0}}))$. Thus P, U, Q, and N are definable over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_0}}))$. As $T_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_0}})}$ is split and as B contains T, B is also definable over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_0}}))$. Thus both groups H_k^{cl} and H_k are definable over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_0}}$. This implies that the two actions $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ are definable over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_0}}$; the resulting two actions over the algebraic closure $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_0}}$ in k will have also $[W_G : W_P]$ and s (respectively) number of orbits.

Thus there exists $q \in q_0\mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ and all their orbits $o_1^{\mathrm{cl}}, \ldots, o_{[W_G:W_P]}^{\mathrm{cl}}$, $o_1, \ldots, o_s$, are defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$. By enlarging q we can assume that $\bar{g}_1, \ldots, \bar{g}_s$ are also defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$. Let $H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{\mathrm{red}}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$, and $o_{i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$ be the natural $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$-structures of H_k, $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i}^{\mathrm{red}}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i}^{0\mathrm{red}}$, and o_i (respectively).

Let $q_1 \in q\mathbb{N}$. We have a finite epimorphism $H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}/\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{\mathrm{red}} \twoheadrightarrow o_{i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$ that is radicial (i.e., universal injective on geometric points). Thus the map $(H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}/\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{\mathrm{red}})(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}}) \to o_{i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$ is a bijection. As $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ is a unipotent group (cf. (b) of Subsection 5.2), from Lemma 2.5 we get that $o_{i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$ has the same number of elements $e_i(q_1)$ as $(H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}/\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{0\mathrm{red}})(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$ an thus (cf. Lang theorem) as the quotient set $H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})/\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{0\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$.

Let $g(q_1)$, $h(q_1)$, and $h^{\mathrm{cl}}(q_1)$ be the orders of the groups $G_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$, $H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$, and $H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$ (respectively). As the unipotent group $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ has a normal series whose factors are $\mathbb{G}_a$ groups (cf. [6, Vol. II, Exp. XVII, Cor. 4.1.3]), the scheme $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ is the spectrum of a polynomial $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$-algebra in d_i variables; thus the order of $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}^{0\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$ is $p^{q_1 d_i}$. Therefore $e_i(q_1) = h(q_1)p^{-q_1 d_i}$. As $G_{i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$ is a disjoint union $\sqcup_{i=1}^s o_{i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$, we get

$$g(q_1) = h(q_1) \sum_{i=1}^{s} p^{-q_1 d_i}. \tag{16}$$

The group H_k (resp. H_k^{cl}) is the extension of L_k by $U_k \times_k N_k$ (resp. by $U_k \times_k U_k^B$), cf. (4) (resp. cf. Subsection 6.1). As U_k^B and N_k are unipotent groups and as we have $\dim(U_k^B) = \dim(N_k) + d_u$, a simple computation shows that $h^{\mathrm{cl}}(q_1) = p^{q_1 d_u} h(q_1)$. Working with $\mathbb{T}_{G_k}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ instead of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$, we get the classical analogue of (16)

$$g(q_1) = h^{\mathrm{cl}}(q_1) \sum_{i=1}^{[W_G:W_P]} p^{-q_1 d_i^{\mathrm{cl}}}. \tag{17}$$

As $h^{\mathrm{cl}}(q_1) = p^{q_1 d_u} h(q_1)$, from (16) and (17) we get that

$$p^{q_1 d_{\mathrm{m}}} g(q_1)/h^{\mathrm{cl}}(q_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{[W_G:W_P]} p^{q_1(d_{\mathrm{m}} - d_i^{\mathrm{cl}})} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p^{q_1(d_{\mathrm{m}} - d_i - d_u)}, \tag{18}$$

where $d_{\mathrm{m}} := \max\{d_u + d_i, d_j^{\mathrm{cl}} | 1 \le i \le s, 1 \le j \le [W_G : W_P]\}$. We take $q_1 > \max\{s, [W_G : W_P]\}$. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $p^{q_1 a}$ is greater than each sum of $q_1 - 1$ terms of the form $p^{q_1 b}$ with $b \in \{0, \ldots, a-1\}$.

From this and (18), we get that $s = [W_G : W_P]$ and that (15) holds after a reindexing of the orbits $o_1, \ldots, o_s$. We compute

$$\dim(o_i) = \dim(G_k) - d_i = \dim(P_k) + \dim(N_k) + d_u - d_i^{\mathrm{cl}} = \dim(P_k) + \dim(U_k^B) - d_i^{\mathrm{cl}} =$$

$$\dim(H_k^{\mathrm{cl}}) - d_i^{\mathrm{cl}} = \dim(o_i^{\mathrm{cl}}).$$

Thus (a) holds. We prove (b). Our hypotheses imply that by taking $s = [W_G : W_P]$, the right hand sides of (16) and (17) are equal. Thus identity (16) holds. Therefore for each $q_1 \in q\mathbb{N}$ we have a disjoint union $G_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}}) = \sqcup_{i=1}^{[W_G:W_P]} o_{i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}})$. This implies that $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ has precisely $[W_G : W_P]$ orbits. $\square$

Corollary 6.2. *If the statement 1.4 (a) holds, then statement 1.4 (b) also holds.*

Proof: We have $\mathbb{I} = \{< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} > | w \in W_G\}$, cf. Lemma 4.5 (d). Thus the set $\mathbb{I}$ is finite. Therefore the set $\mathbb{O}$ is also finite, cf. Lemma 5.1 (a). Thus $\mathbb{O}$ has $[W_G : W_P]$ elements, cf. Theorem 6.1 (a). Therefore $\mathbb{I}$ also has $[W_G : W_P]$ elements, cf. Lemma 5.1 (a). Thus the statement 1.4 (b) holds, cf. Lemma 4.5 (e). $\square$

Example 6.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let G be such that G^{ad} is absolutely simple of B_n Lie type. Thus $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the ***SO***-group of the quadratic form $x_0^2 + x_1x_{1+n} + x_2x_{2+n} + \cdots + x_nx_{2n}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_p^{2n+1}$ (if $p = 2$, then $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is semisimple cf. [1, Ch. V, Subsect. 23.6]). Let $\{b_0, \ldots, b_{2n}\}$ be the standard $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis for $\mathbb{Z}_p^{2n+1}$. To ease notations we will assume that: (i) $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is split, (ii) the image $T'_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ normalizes each $\mathbb{Z}_pb_i$ with $i \in \{1, \ldots, 2n\}$ and fixes $\mathbb{Z}_pb_0$, and (iii) the cocharacter of $T'_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ defined by μ fixes $\{b_i | 2 \leq i \leq 2n, i \neq n+1\}$, acts as the inverse of the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathbb{Z}_pb_{n+1}$, and acts as the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathbb{Z}_pb_1$. For $w \in W_G$ we denote also by w the permutation of $\{1, \ldots, 2n\}$ such that $g_w(b_i) \in W(k)b_{w(i)}$. The resulting map $W_G \to S_{2n}$ is a monomorphism that identifies W_G with the subgroup of the symmetric group S_{2n} of $\{1, \ldots, 2n\}$ which permutes the subsets $\{1, n+1\}$, $\{2, n+2\}, \ldots, \{n, 2n\}$ (see [2, plate II]). Thus W_G has order $2^nn!$. Moreover W_P is the subgroup of W_G that fixes 1 and $n+1$ and thus its order is $2^{n-1}(n-1)!$. Thus $[W_G : W_P] = 2n$.

Let $\{b_{i_1,i_2} | 0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq 2n\}$ be the standard $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis for $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{2n+1})$. It is known (cf. [18, Ch. VI, Sect. 25, Type B of p. 358]) that $\mathrm{Lie}(N)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(U)$ are $W(k)$-generated by

$$\{b_{1,i} - b_{n+i,n+1}, b_{1,n+i} - b_{i,n+1} | 2 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{b_{0,n+1} - 2b_{1,0}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\{b_{n+1,i} - b_{i+n,1}, b_{n+1,n+i} - b_{i,1} | 2 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{b_{0,1} - 2b_{n+1,0}\}$$

(respectively) and thus have ranks $2n-1$. To each non-zero element $b_{i_1,j_1} - \eta b_{i_2,j_2}$ (with $\eta \in \{1, 2\}$) we associate the pair (i_1, j_1) and its attached quadruple (i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2). Accordingly we define

$$I_N := \{(1, i), (1, n+i) | 2 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{(0, n+1)\} \text{ and } I_U := \{(n+1, i), (n+1, n+i) | 2 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{(0, 1)\}.$$

For $w \in W_G$, $\mathbb{S}(w)$ is the number of elements of the subset $S(w)$ of I_N formed by pairs $(a, b) \in I_N$ whose attached quadruples (a, b, c, d) have the property that the smallest number $l \in \mathbb{N}$ for which the intersection

$$\{(w^l(a), w^l(b)), (w^l(c), w^l(d))\} \cap (I_N \cup I_U)$$

is non-empty, is such that $\{(w^l(a), w^l(b)), (w^l(c), w^l(d))\} \cap I_U \neq \emptyset$.

For $(j,\varepsilon)\in\{1,\ldots,n\}\times\{-1,1\}$, let $w_{j,\varepsilon}\in W_G\subset S_{2n}$ be such that: it fixes $j+1,\ldots,n$, $n+j+1,\ldots,2n$, maps s to $s+1$ if $1\le s\le j-1$, and $(j,n+j)$ to $(1,n+1)$ (resp. $(n+1,1)$) if $\varepsilon=1$ (resp. $\varepsilon=-1$). We have

$$S(w_{j,1})=\{(1,n+i)|2\le i\le j\}\ \text{ and }\ S(w_{j,-1})=\{(1,i)|2\le i\le n\}\cup\{(1,n+i)|2\le i\le j\}\cup\{(0,n+1)\}.$$

Thus $\mathbb{S}(w_{j,1})=j-1$ and $\mathbb{S}(w_{j,-1})=(n-1)+(j-1)+1=n+j-1$.

The reduced stabilizer subgroup of a point of $o_{\bar g_{w_{j,\varepsilon}}}$ under $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ has dimension $\mathbb{S}(w_{j,\varepsilon})$ (cf. (12)); this dimension $\mathbb{S}(w_{j,\varepsilon})$ determines uniquely $w_{j,\varepsilon}$. Thus the orbits $o_{\bar g_{w_{j,\varepsilon}}}$'s are distinct and we can index them $o_1,\ldots,o_{2n}$ in such a way that for $i\in\{1,\ldots,2n\}$ we have $d_i=i-1$. As Φ has n^2 positive roots (cf. [2, plate II]), we have $d_u=n^2-(2n-1)=(n-1)^2$ and $\dim(G_k)=2n^2+n$. It is well known that we can assume that for $i\in\{1,\ldots,2n\}$ we have $d_i^{\mathrm{cl}}=d_u+d_i=(n-1)^2+i-1$ (i.e., $\dim(G_k)-\dim(o_i^{\mathrm{cl}})=i-1$ and thus that $\dim(o_i^{\mathrm{cl}})=\dim(o_i)=2n^2+n-i+1$; this is implicitly reproved in this paper once Basic Theorem C is proved, cf. Theorem 6.1 (a)). Thus $o_1,\ldots,o_{2n}$ are the only orbits of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$, cf. Theorem 6.1 (b).

Remark 6.4. (a) See Remark 5.8 for $o'_{\bar g_w}$. For w_1, $w_2\in W_G$, we have $o_{\bar g_{w_1}}\le o_{\bar g_{w_2}}$ if and only if the Zariski closure of $o'_{\bar g_{w_1}}$ in G_k contains $o'_{\bar g_{w_2}}$. Even more, it seems to us that the counting arguments of the proof of Theorem 6.1 can be adapted to show that the following presumed analogue $o'_{\bar g_w}=o_{\bar g_w}$ of (14) holds.

(b) We assume that: (i) $\mathbb{O}=\{o_{\bar g_w}|w\in W_G\}$ and (ii) the association $w\mapsto o_{\bar g_w}$ defines a surjective map $W_G\twoheadrightarrow\mathbb{O}$ whose pulls back of elements of $\mathbb{O}$, have a number of elements equal to the order of W_P (in Corollary 11.1 (b) and Lemma 9.4 we check that these two assumptions always hold). Then Formula (15) says: there exists a natural bijection $\pi_G: W_G\xrightarrow{\sim}W_G$ such that the difference of functions $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{cl}}\circ\pi_G-\mathbb{S}$ is the constant function d_u on W_G. From this we get that $\mathbb{S}(w)=\dim(N_k)-l([\pi_G(w)])$. Here $l([w]):=\min\{l(\tilde w)|\tilde w\in[w]\}$, where $l(\tilde w)$ is the length of $\tilde w\in W_G$ (with respect to the bases of Φ associated to B) as defined in the theory of Coxeter groups (see [2, Ch. IV, Sect. 1]); we have $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{cl}}(w)=\dim(U_k^B)-l([w])$.

(c) Suppose $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ has a finite number of orbits. From the property 6.1 (iii) and Theorem 6.1 (a) we get that $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ has a unique orbit of dimension $\dim(P_k)$ (equivalently of dimension at most $\dim(P_k)$).

§7. Zero spaces

In Subsection 7.1 we define the zero space of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar g}$; examples and elementary properties of them are listed until Theorem 7.5. Theorem 7.5 lists formulas that pertains to the zero spaces of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar g_w}$'s. Theorems 7.6 and 7.8 present two results on the existence of connected, smooth subgroups of G_k whose Lie algebras are related to zero spaces of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar g_w}$'s. We will use the notations of Subsections 4.1, 4.1.1, (beginning of) 4.2, and 4.2.1.

7.1. Basic constructions

Let $g\in G(W(k))$. Though F^1 and P depend on $\mu:\mathbb{G}_m\to G$, the direct summand F^1/pF^1 of $\bar M$ is equal to $(g\phi)^{-1}(pM)/pM$ and therefore both it and P_k are uniquely determined by $\bar\phi$. Thus the following Lie subalgebra $\mathrm{Lie}(P)+p\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ does not depend on either μ or g. We consider the σ-linear Lie monomorphism

$$L_g:\mathrm{Lie}(P)+p\mathrm{Lie}(G)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Lie}(G)$$

that maps $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(P) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ to $(g\phi)(x) = g \circ \phi \circ x \circ \phi^{-1} \circ g^{-1} \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Let

$$I_G : \mathrm{Lie}(P) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)$$

be the natural inclusion. Let $\bar{L}_g$ and $\bar{I}_G$ be the reductions mod p of L_g and I_G. Let

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{\bar{g}} := \{x \in (\mathrm{Lie}(P) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G))/p(\mathrm{Lie}(P) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G)) | \bar{L}_g(x) = \bar{I}_G(x)\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}} := \mathrm{Im}(\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{\bar{g}} \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(P_k).$$

Let $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$ be the k-span of $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ inside $\mathrm{Lie}(P_k)$. Let $\mathfrak{y}^0_{\bar{g}}$ be the maximal k-linear subspace of $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$. We have inclusions

$$\mathfrak{y}^0_{\bar{g}} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}} \subseteq \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}} \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(P_k).$$

Lemma 7.1. **(a)** *When g is replaced by $hg\phi(h^{-1})$ with $h \in \mathbb{L}$, $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ is replaced by its inner conjugate under $\bar{h}$.*

(b) *If $g_1 \in G(W(k))$ is such that $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} = \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_1}$, then $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}} = \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_1}$.*

(c) *The group $Aut_{\bar{g}}(k)$ normalizes $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ (and thus also $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{y}^0_{\bar{g}}$).*

Proof: Part (a) is obvious as $L_{hg\phi(h^{-1})} = hL_gh^{-1}$. We check (b). The subset $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(P_k)$ depends only on $\bar{g}$ as $L_{\bar{g}}$ does. Up to a multiplication of g_1 by an element in $\mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$, we can assume g_1 is $hg\phi(h^{-1})$, where $h \in \mathbb{L}$ is such that $\bar{h} = 1_{\bar{M}}$ (cf. proof of Lemma 4.5 (b)). Thus $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}} = \bar{h}(\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}) = \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_1}$, cf. (a) for the last identity. Part (c) follows from (a) and (b). $\square$

The definition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{\bar{g}}$ and Lemma 7.1 (b) explain the following definition.

Definition 7.2. We call $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$, $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$, and $\mathfrak{y}^0_{\bar{g}}$ the *zero space*, the *spanned zero space*, and the *linear zero space* (respectively) of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}}$.

Lemma 7.3. **(a)** *The subset $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ of Lie(P_k) is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-Lie subalgebra.*

(b) *The subsets $\mathfrak{y}^0_{\bar{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$ of Lie(P_k) are Lie subalgebras.*

(c) *The natural map $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{\bar{g}} \to \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ is a bijection.*

(d) *The set $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ is the image in Lie(P_k) of the set $\{x \in Lie(P) | \exists y \in Lie(N)\ with\, g\phi(x+py) - x \in pLie(G)\}$.*

Proof: As L_g and I_G are Lie homomorphisms, part (a) follows. Part (b) follows from (a). To prove (c) it suffices to show that there exists no $y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{\bar{g}} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\bar{I}_G(y) = 0$. Each such y is a non-zero element of the image of $p\mathrm{Lie}(N)$ in $(\mathrm{Lie}(P) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G))/p(\mathrm{Lie}(P) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G))$. Thus $\bar{L}_g(y) = \bar{g}(\overline{\phi(y)}) \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_k) \setminus \{0\}$ and therefore $\bar{I}_G(y) = 0 \neq \bar{L}_g(y)$. Thus $y \notin \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{\bar{g}}$ and therefore such an element y does not exist. Thus (c) holds.

Part (d) is only a translation of the definition of $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ in terms of elements of Lie(G). $\square$

Example 7.4. Suppose $(r, d) = (2, 1)$, $G = \boldsymbol{GL}_M$, $\phi(e_1) = pe_1$, and $\phi(e_2) = e_2$. Then $\{\bar{e}_{1,1}, \bar{e}_{2,2}\}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis for $\mathfrak{z}_{1_{\bar{M}}}$. Let $w \in W_{\boldsymbol{GL}_M}$ be the non-identity element. We can assume g_w permutes e_1 and e_2. Then $g_w\phi$ permutes $e_{1,1}$ and $e_{2,2}$ and maps $e_{1,2}$ to $pe_{2,1}$. If $\gamma \in W(k)$, then $g_w\phi(p\sigma^{-1}(\gamma)e_{2,1} + \gamma e_{1,2}) - \gamma e_{1,2} \in p\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Thus $k\bar{e}_{1,2} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w}$. Also $\mathfrak{x}_{\bar{g}_w} := \{\bar{\gamma}\bar{e}_{1,1} + \sigma(\bar{\gamma})\bar{e}_{2,2} | \bar{\gamma} \in k, \bar{\gamma}^{p^2} = \bar{\gamma}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w}$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} = \mathfrak{x}_{\bar{g}_w} \oplus k\bar{e}_{1,2}$. Thus $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} = \mathrm{Lie}(P_k)$ and $\mathfrak{y}^0_{\bar{g}_w} = \mathrm{Lie}(U_k) = k\bar{e}_{1,2}$.

Theorem 7.5 *Let $w \in W_G$ be fixed. We use the notations of Subsubsection 4.1.1. We consider the direct sum $\mathfrak{y}_{\bar{g}_w} := \oplus_{o \in O(w)^+}(\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o)$. Then the following eight properties hold:*

(a) *We have* $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} = \mathit{Lie}(T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \oplus \bigoplus_{o \in O(w)} \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o$.

(b) *Suppose* $o \in O(w)^0$. *Then* $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p}$, *where* $\mathfrak{g}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p} := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_o | g_w\phi(x) = x\}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}_p$*-structure of* $\mathfrak{g}_o$ *and where* $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p} := \mathfrak{g}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p}/p\mathfrak{g}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p}$. *Thus* $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o$ *is an* $\mathbb{F}_p$*-vector space of dimension* $\dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o)$.

(c) *If* $o \notin (O(w)^+ \cup O(w)^0)$, *then* $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o = 0$. *Also the restriction to* $\mathfrak{y}_{\bar{g}_w}$ *of the projection of* $\mathit{Lie}(G_k)$ *on* $\oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha)}$ *along* $\mathit{Lie}(T_k) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi \setminus \{\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha) | \alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}\}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$ *is a bijection and thus* $\mathfrak{y}_{\bar{g}_w}$ *has a natural structure of a* k*-vector space of dimension* $\mathbb{S}(w)$ *but which in general does not define a* k*-vector subspace of* $\mathit{Lie}(G_k)$.

(d) *If* $o \in O(w)^+$, *then* $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o = \oplus_{\beta \in \tilde{o}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta$. *Thus* $\dim_k(\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o) = \sum_{\alpha \in o^+} w_\alpha$.

(e) *We have* $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} = \mathfrak{x}^{\mathbb{F}_p}_{\bar{g}_w} \oplus \mathfrak{y}_{\bar{g}_w}$, *where* $\mathfrak{x}^{\mathbb{F}_p}_{\bar{g}_w} := \mathit{Lie}(T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \oplus \bigoplus_{o \in O(w)^0} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p}$. *Therefore we have identities* $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} = (\mathfrak{x}^{\mathbb{F}_p}_{\bar{g}_w} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k) \oplus \bigoplus_{o \in O(w)^+,\, \beta \in \tilde{o}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta = \mathit{Lie}(T_k) \oplus \bigoplus_{o \in O(w)^0} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o \oplus \bigoplus_{o \in O(w)^+,\, \beta \in \tilde{o}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta$.

(f) *We have* $\mathfrak{y}^0_{\bar{g}_w} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w},\, w_\alpha = 1} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pi_w(\alpha)} = \mathit{Lie}(U_k) \cap \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w}$.

(g) *We have* $\mathfrak{x}^{\mathbb{F}_p}_{\bar{g}_w} = \mathit{Lie}(L_k) \cap \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w}$.

(h) *We have* $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \mathit{Lie}(U_k) = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha)}$.

Proof: For $z \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ we write $z = z_t + \sum_{o \in O(w)} z_o = z_t + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} z_\alpha$, where $z_t \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)$, $z_o \in \mathfrak{g}_o$, and $z_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Let $\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w}$. Let $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(P)$ lifting $\bar{x}$ and $y \in \mathrm{Lie}(N)$ be such that $g_w\phi(x + py) - x \in p\mathrm{Lie}(G)$, cf. Lemma 7.3 (d). As the decomposition $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_{B(k)}) \oplus \bigoplus_{o \in O(w)} \mathfrak{g}_o[\frac{1}{p}]$ is normalized by $g_w\phi$, we get

$$g_w\phi(x_t + py_t) - x_t \in p\mathrm{Lie}(T) \text{ and } g_w\phi(x_o + py_o) - x_o \in p\mathfrak{g}_o \ \ \forall o \in O(w).$$

From Lemma 7.3 (d) and the fact that $y_t = 0$, we get that $\bar{x}_t \in \mathrm{Lie}(T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ and $\bar{x}_o \in \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o$. Thus $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \oplus \bigoplus_{o \in O(w)} \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o$. As $\mathrm{Lie}(T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ is fixed by $g_w\phi$, $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w}$ contains $\mathrm{Lie}(T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$. Therefore (a) holds.

If $o \in O(w)^0$, then $y_o = 0$ and thus the relation $g_w\phi(x_o) - x_o \in p\mathfrak{g}_o$ is equivalent to the relation $\bar{x}_o \in \mathfrak{g}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p}/p\mathfrak{g}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Therefore (b) holds.

Let $o \notin O(w)^0$. We list $o = \{\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^{|o|}\}$, where $\pi_w(\alpha^i) = \alpha^{i+1}$ with $\alpha^{|o|+j} := \alpha^j$. If $o^+ \neq \emptyset$ we assume $\alpha^{|o|} \in \Phi_N^{+w}$. As $g_w\phi(x_o + py_o) - x_o \in p\mathfrak{g}_o$, we have $g_w\phi(x_{\alpha^i} + py_{\alpha^i}) - x_{\alpha^{i+1}} \in p\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha^{i+1}}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, |o|\}$. But $g_w\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = p^{\varepsilon(\alpha)}\mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w(\alpha)}$ (see Subsubsection 4.2.2). As $y \in \mathrm{Lie}(N)$ and $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(P)$, we have $y_{\alpha^i} = 0$ if $\alpha^i \notin \Phi_N$ and $x_{\alpha^i} = 0$ if $\alpha^i \in \Phi_N$. From the last three sentences we easily get that:

(i) if $\alpha^i \notin \tilde{o}$, then $x_{\alpha^i} \in p\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha^i}$;

(ii) if $\alpha^i \in \tilde{o}$ is of the form $\pi_w^j(\alpha^{i_0})$ with $\alpha^{i_0} \in \Phi_N^{+w}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, w_{\alpha^{i_0}}\}$, then $\bar{x}_{\alpha^i}$ can be any element of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha^i}$ and it is uniquely determined by $\bar{y}_{\alpha^{i_0}}$ in a σ^j-linear way.

Thus (c) follows from properties (i) and (ii).

We check (d). We have $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o \subseteq \oplus_{\beta \in \tilde{o}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta$, cf. properties (i) and (ii). Referring to (ii), if we multiply $\bar{y}_{\alpha^{i_0}}$ by $\bar{\gamma} \in \mathbb{G}_m(k)$, then for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, w_{\alpha^{i_0}}\}$ the element $\bar{x}_{\alpha^{i_0+j}}$ gets multiplied by $\bar{\gamma}^{p^j}$. Let $\bar{\gamma}_1, \ldots, \bar{\gamma}_{w_{\alpha^{i_0}}}$ be elements of k such that their p-th powers are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_p$. The Moore determinant of the $w_{\alpha^{i_0}} \times w_{\alpha^{i_0}}$ matrix whose rows indexed by $s \in \{1, \ldots, w_{\alpha^{i_0}}\}$ are $(\bar{\gamma}_s^p, \ldots, \bar{\gamma}_s^{p^{w_{\alpha^{i_0}}}})$ is invertible, cf. [10, Def. 1.3.2 and Lemma 1.3.3]. Thus if for $s \in \{1, \ldots, w_{\alpha^{i_0}}\}$ we consider an element $\bar{x}_s \in \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o$ such that its component in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha^{i_0+1}}$ is $\bar{\gamma}_s^p$ times a fixed generator of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha^{i_0+1}}$ and its component in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha^j}$ is 0 if j mod $|o|$ does not belong to $\{i_0+1,\ldots,i_0+w_{\alpha^{i_0}}\}$ mod $|o|$, then the k-span of $x_1,\ldots,x_{w_{\alpha^{i_0}}}$ is on one hand $\oplus_{j=1}^{w_{\alpha^{i_0}}}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha^{i_0+j}}$ (cf. the mentioned invertibility) and on the other hand it is included in $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$. As $\oplus_{\beta\in\tilde{o}}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta$ is a direct sum of k-vector spaces of the form $\oplus_{j=1}^{w_{\alpha^{i_0}}}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha^{i_0+j}}$, we have $\oplus_{\beta\in\tilde{o}}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta\subseteq\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}\cap\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o$. Thus $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}\cap\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_o=\oplus_{\beta\in\tilde{o}}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta$ i.e., (d) holds.

Parts (e) to (h) are left as an easy exercise to the reader. $\square$

Theorem 7.6. *Let $w\in W_G$. There exists a unique connected, smooth subgroup $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ of G_k whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{x}_{\bar{g}_w}:=\mathfrak{x}_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathbb{F}_p}\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p}k$ and which contains a maximal torus of G_k. The group $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ has a natural $\mathbb{F}_p$-structure $X_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathbb{F}_p}$ such that Lie$(X_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathbb{F}_p})=\mathfrak{x}_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $T_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{g_w}$ is a maximal torus of $X_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Moreover, the group $X_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is reductive.*

Proof: For $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ let $\mathfrak{l}_i:=\mathrm{Lie}(T_k)\oplus\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Phi_L}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pi_w^i(\alpha)}$. Thus $\mathfrak{l}_i$ is the Lie algebra of the centralizer of $\sigma_{g_w}^i(\mu_k)$ in G_k. But $\mathfrak{x}_{\bar{g}_w}=\cap_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{l}_i$. Therefore $\mathfrak{x}_{\bar{g}_w}$ is the Lie algebra of the centralizer $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ in G_k of the subtorus $T_k^{1\bar{g}_w}$ of T_k generated by the images of $\sigma_{g_w}^i(\mu_k)$'s, where $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. The group $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ is reductive (cf. Proposition 3.3 (a)) and we have $T_k\leqslant X_{\bar{g}_w}$. The torus $T_k^{1\bar{g}_w}$ is normalized by σ_{g_w} and thus it is the pull back to Spec(k) of a subtorus $T_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{1\bar{g}_w}$ of $T_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\bar{g}_w}$. Let $X_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the centralizer of $T_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{1\bar{g}_w}$ in $G_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\bar{g}_w}$; it is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-structure of $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ and thus it is reductive.

We define an isogeny decomposition of $X_{\bar{g}_w}$. Let A'_k and A''_k be reductive subgroups of G_k which are normal and for which the following two properties hold: (i) A'_k is the subgroup of G_k generated by cocharacters of G_k that are cocharacters of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ of weights $\{-1,0\}$, and (ii) we have a natural central isogeny $A'_k\times_k A''_k\to G_k$. As $T_k^{1\bar{g}_w}\leqslant A'_k$, we have $A''_k\lhd X_{\bar{g}_w}$. Let $Y_{\bar{g}_w}:=X_{\bar{g}_w}\cap A'_k$. As $T_k\leqslant X_{\bar{g}_w}$, the inverse image $X'_{\bar{g}_w}$ of $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ through the isogeny $A'_k\times_k A''_k\to G_k$ contains a maximal torus of $A'_k\times_k A''_k$. As Ker$(A'_k\times_k A''_k\to G_k)$ is contained in each maximal torus of $A'_k\times_k A''_k$, we get that $X'_{\bar{g}_w}$ is generated by a smooth, connected group and by a maximal torus of $A'_k\times_k A''_k$ and therefore it is smooth and connected. As $A''_k\lhd X_{\bar{g}_w}$, $X'_{\bar{g}_w}$ is isogenous to $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ and is equal to $Y_{\bar{g}_w}\times_k A''_k$. Thus $Y_{\bar{g}_w}$ is a connected, smooth group that contains a maximal torus of A'_k.

Let $\tilde{X}_{\bar{g}_w}$ be a connected, smooth subgroup of G_k that contains a maximal torus of G_k and we have Lie$(\tilde{X}_{\bar{g}_w})=$ Lie$(X_{\bar{g}_w})$. As above, the inverse image of $\tilde{X}_{\bar{g}_w}$ in $A'_k\times_k A''_k$ is a smooth, connected group that contains a maximal torus $S'_k\times_k S''_k$ of $A'_k\times_k A''_k$; here $S'_k\leqslant A'_k$ and $S''_k\leqslant A''_k$. We check that $\tilde{X}_{\bar{g}_w}=X_{\bar{g}_w}$ i.e., $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ is unique.

Let $\tilde{S}'_k$ be the subtorus of S'_k generated by cocharacters of S'_k that are cocharacters of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ of weights $\{-1,0\}$. We claim that $S'_k=\tilde{S}'_k$. As $\tilde{S}'_k$ is a normal subgroup of the normalizer of S'_k in A'_k (i.e., it is normalized by the Weyl group of A'_k), to prove this claim it suffices to show that $\tilde{S}'_k$ has a non-trivial image in each simple factor of $A_k^{'\mathrm{ad}}$ and surjects onto $A_k^{'\mathrm{ab}}$. But these two properties follow from the definition of A'_k.

As Lie$(S'_k)\subseteq$ Lie$(A'_k)\cap$Lie$(X^0_{\bar{g}_w})=$ Lie$(A'_k)\cap$Lie$(X_{\bar{g}_w})=$ Lie$(Y_{\bar{g}_w})$, the torus S'_k centralizes a maximal torus of $Y_{\bar{g}_w}$ (cf. Proposition 2.4 (b) applied with $\mathcal{G}=A'_k$ based on the previous paragraph). Thus S'_k is this maximal torus of $Y_{\bar{g}_w}$. Therefore Im$(S'_k\times_k S''_k\to G_k)$ is a maximal torus of both $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ and $\tilde{X}_{\bar{g}_w}$. Thus we have $\tilde{X}_{\bar{g}_w}=X_{\bar{g}_w}$, cf. Proposition 3.3 (c). $\square$

Remark 7.7. One checks that Lie(S'_k) is a Cartan Lie subalgebra of Lie(A'_k) in the sense of [6, Vol. II, Exp. XIII, Sect. 4]. Thus the uniqueness of $Y_{\bar{g}_w}$ (and thus of $X_{\bar{g}_w}$) also follows from [6, Vol. II, Exp. XIII, Cor. 5.3]. This approach to prove the uniqueness part of Theorem 7.6, is more suited in connection to Subsection 1.8.

Theorem 7.8 *Let $w\in W_G$. With the notations of Subsubsections 4.1.1 and 7.1 we have:*

(a) *Let* $\Phi_w := \{\alpha \in \Phi | \alpha \in O(w)^0 \cup \bigcup_{o\in O(w)^+} \tilde{o}\}$*; thus* $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} = Lie(T_k) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Phi_w} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$ *(cf. Theorem 7.5 (e)). Then* Φ_w *is a closed subset of* Φ*.*

(b) *There exists a unique connected, smooth subgroup* $W_{\bar{g}_w}$ *of* G_k *whose Lie algebra is* $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$ *and which contains a maximal torus of* G_k*. We have* $T_k \leqslant X_{\bar{g}_w} \leqslant W_{\bar{g}_w} \leqslant P_k$*.*

(c) *The group* $Aut^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ *normalizes* $W_{\bar{g}_w}$*.*

Proof: Let $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Phi_w$ be such that $\beta_3 := \beta_1 + \beta_2 \in \Phi$. To prove (a) we need to check that $\beta_3 \in \Phi_w$. For $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ let $o_i \in O(w)$ be such that $\beta_i \in o_i$. We consider three cases: (i) $o_1, o_2 \in O(w)^0$, (ii) $o_1 \in O(w)^+$ and $o_2 \in O(w)^0$, and (iii) $o_1, o_2 \in O(w)^+$. If $o_1, o_2 \in O(w)^0$, then $o_3 \in O(w)^0 \subseteq \Phi_w$.

If $o_1 \in O(w)^+$ and $o_2 \in O(w)^0$, then let $\alpha_1 \in \Phi_N^{+w}$ be such that there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, w_{\alpha_1}\}$ with the property that $\beta_1 = \pi_w^i(\alpha_1) \in \tilde{o}_1$. As $o_2 \in O(w)^0$, we have $\pi_w^{-j}(\beta_2) \in \Phi_L$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$\alpha_3 := \pi_w^{-i}(\beta_3) = \alpha_1 + \pi_w^{-i}(\beta_2) \in (\Phi_N + \Phi_L) \cap \Phi \subseteq \Phi_N.$$

Moreover $\pi_w^j(\alpha_3) \in (\Phi_L + \Phi_L) \cap \Phi \subseteq \Phi_L$ if $j \in \{1, \ldots, w_{\alpha_1} - 1\}$ and $\pi_w^{w_{\alpha_1}}(\alpha_3) \in (\Phi_L + \Phi_U) \cap \Phi \subseteq \Phi_U$. Thus $\alpha_3 \in \Phi_N^{+w}$ and $w_{\alpha_3} = w_{\alpha_1}$. As $\beta_3 = \pi_w^i(\alpha_3)$ and as $1 \leq i \leq w_{\alpha_1} = w_{\alpha_3}$, we get $\beta_3 \in \tilde{o}_3 \subseteq \Phi_w$.

Let now $o_1, o_2 \in O(w)^+$. Thus $\beta_1 \in \tilde{o}_1$ and $\beta_2 \in \tilde{o}_2$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Phi_N^{+w}$ be such that $\beta_1 = \pi_w^{i_1}(\alpha_1)$ and $\beta_2 = \pi_w^{i_2}(\alpha_2)$, where $i_1 \in \{1, \ldots, w_{\alpha_1}\}$ and $i_2 \in \{1, \ldots, w_{\alpha_2}\}$. We can assume that $i_1 \leq i_2$. Let $\alpha_3 := \alpha_1 + \pi_w^{i_2 - i_1}(\alpha_2) = \pi_w^{-i_1}(\beta_3) \in \Phi$. As in the previous case we argue that we have $\alpha_3 \in \Phi_N^{+w}$ (with $w_{\alpha_3} = \min\{w_{\alpha_1}, w_{\alpha_2} + i_1 - i_2\}$) and that $\beta_3 \in \tilde{o}_3 \subseteq \Phi_w$. Thus (a) holds.

We prove (b). Due to (a), from the end of Subsubsection 3.3.1 we get that there exists a unique connected, smooth subgroup $W_{\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}}$ of G_k which contains T_k and whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$. As $\cup_{o\in O(w)^0} o \subseteq \Phi_w \subseteq \Phi_P$, we have $T_k \leqslant X_{\bar{g}_w} \leqslant W_{\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}} \leqslant P_k$. Thus $W_{\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}}$ contains the subgroup A_k'' of $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ of the proof of Theorem 7.6. Thus the uniqueness of $W_{\bar{g}_w}$ is proved similarly to the uniqueness of $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ of Theorem 7.6. Thus (b) holds.

As $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ normalizes $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$ (cf. Lemma 7.1 (c)), from the uniqueness part of (b) we get that each $\bar{h} \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}(k)$ normalizes $W_{\bar{g}_w}$. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ normalizes $W_{\bar{g}_w}$. Therefore (c) holds. $\square$

§8. Two applications

In this Section we include two applications. In Subsection 8.1 we include a complement to Proposition 5.4 that pertains to rational inner isomorphisms. Proposition 8.1 and Theorem 8.3 generalize the well known fact that the Barsotti–Tate group of a finite product of supersingular elliptic curves over k is uniquely determined by its truncation of level 1. We use the notations of Subsections 4.1, 4.1.1, and 4.2.2.

8.1. A complement to Proposition 5.4

We assume that the cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ does not factor through $Z(G)$; thus the sets Φ_N and Φ_U are non-empty. Let $w \in W_G$ be such that not all slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), g_w\phi)$ are 0. Let $o \in O(w)$ be such that $m_w^+(o) \neq m_w^-(o)$, cf. (7). Based on this and (8), we can choose $o \in O(w)$ such that $m_w^+(o) < m_w^-(o)$; thus all slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_o, g_w\phi)$ are negative (cf. (7)). As the set $o \cap \Phi_N$ has more elements than the set $o \cap \Phi_U$, there exists $\alpha \in o$ such that $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{-w}$. Let $x_{w_\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(N)$ be such that $x_{w_\alpha} \notin p\mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha)}$. We have $< \mathcal{C}_{(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{x}_{w_\alpha})\bar{g}_w} >=< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} >$, cf. proof of Proposition 5.4.

Next we check that $\mathcal{C}_{(1_M + x_{w_\alpha})g_w}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g_w}$ are rational inner isomorphic i.e., there exists $h \in G(B(k))$ such that $h(1_M + x_{w_\alpha})g_w\phi = g_w\phi h$ (equivalently such that $h(1_M + x_{w_\alpha})[(g_w\phi)(h^{-1})] = 1_M$).

For $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ let $x_{w_\alpha}(i) := (g_w\phi)^{-i}(x_{w_\alpha})$; thus $x_{w_\alpha}(0) = x_{w_\alpha}$. As all slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_o, (g_w\phi)^{-1})$ are positive, the elements $x_{w_\alpha}(i) \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ converge to 0 in the p-adic topology. As $x_{w_\alpha}(i)^2 = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $h^{-1} := \lim_{j\to\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{j}(1_M - x_{w_\alpha}(i)) \in \boldsymbol{GL}_M(B(k))$ is well defined and belongs to $G(B(k))$. We have

$$h(1_M + x_{w_\alpha})[(g_w\phi)(h^{-1})] = [\lim_{j\to\infty} \prod_{i=j}^{1}(1_M + x_{w_\alpha}(i))](1_M + x_{w_\alpha}) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1}(1_M - x_{w_\alpha}(i)) =$$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} 1_M + x_{w_\alpha}(j) = 1_M.$$

Proposition 8.1. *Let $w \in W_G$. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

(i) *we have $\dim(o_{\bar{g}_w}) = \dim(P_k)$;*

(ii) *we have $\mathbb{S}(w) = \dim(N_k)$;*

(iii) *if $\mathfrak{d} := \{x \in Lie(G) | g_w(\phi(x)) = x\}$, then we have*

$$pLie(G) \subseteq \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k) \subseteq Lie(P) + pLie(G). \tag{19}$$

Moreover if statements (i) to (iii) hold, then all slopes of $(Lie(G_{B(k)}), g_w\phi)$ are 0.

Proof: As $\dim(G_k) = \dim(P_k) + \dim(N_k)$, from (12) we get that statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent. We check that the statement (ii) implies the statement (iii). As $\mathbb{S}(w) = \dim(N_k)$, we have $\Phi_N = \Phi_N^{+w}$. As $\Phi_N = \Phi_N^{+w}$, for each orbit $o \in O(w) \backslash O(w)^0$, the epsilon string $\varepsilon_w(o)$ is special in the sense that after disregarding the 0's, each -1 is followed by $+1$ (in a circular reading). Thus $m_w^+(o) \geq m_w^-(o)$ for all $o \in O(w) \setminus O(w)^0$. If $o \in O(w)^0$, then we have $m_w^+(o) = 0 \geq 0 = m_w^-(o)$ (cf. Subsubsection 4.2.2). Thus we have $m_w^+(o) \geq m_w^-(o)$ for all $o \in O(w)$. From this and (8) we get that we have

$$m_w^+(o) = m_w^-(o) \;\; \forall o \in O(w).$$

Thus all slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_o, g_w\phi)$ are 0, cf. (7). As all slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(T), g_w\phi)$ are also 0, we get that all slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), g_w\phi)$ are 0. Thus $\mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B(k) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$.

If $o \in O(w) \setminus O(w)^0$, then $m_w^+(o) = m_w^-(o) > 0$. From this and the identity $\Phi_N = \Phi_N^{+w}$ we get that $\tilde{o} \neq \emptyset$ and $(o \setminus \tilde{o}) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, we have $O(w)^+ = O(w) \setminus O(w)^0$.

As $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{o\in O(w)} \mathfrak{g}_o$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_w}) \subseteq \mathfrak{d} \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G) \cap (g_w\phi)^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) = \mathrm{Lie}(P) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G)$, to prove (19) it suffices to show for all $o \in O(w)$ we have $p\mathfrak{g}_o \subseteq \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. If $o \in O(w)^0$, then $\mathfrak{g}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p} \subseteq \mathfrak{d}$ (see Theorem 7.5 (b) for $\mathfrak{g}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p}$) and thus $\mathfrak{g}_o = \mathfrak{g}_{o\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k) \subseteq \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. For $o \in O(w)^+ = O(w) \setminus O(w)^0$ let

$$\mathfrak{g}_o^- := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\tilde{o}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in o\setminus\tilde{o}} p\mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

As $\Phi_N^{+w} = \Phi_N$ and $m_w^+(o) = m_w^-(o)$, the epsilon string $\varepsilon_w(o)$ is very special in the sense that after disregarding the 0's, the -1 and $+1$ are alternating each others (in a circular reading). For $\alpha \in o$ we have $g_w\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = p^{\varepsilon(\alpha)}\mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w(\alpha)}$, cf. Subsubsection 4.2.2. From the last two sentences we get the identities of the below four cases:

- if $\alpha, \pi_w(\alpha) \in \tilde{o}$, then $\alpha \in \Phi_L$ and thus $g_w\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w(\alpha)}$;
- if $(\alpha, \pi_w(\alpha)) \in \tilde{o} \times (o \setminus \tilde{o})$, then $\alpha \in \Phi_U$ and thus $g_w\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = p\mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w(\alpha)}$;
- if $\alpha, \pi_w(\alpha) \in o \setminus \tilde{o}$, then $\alpha \in \Phi_L$ and thus $g_w\phi(p\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = p\mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w(\alpha)}$;

– if $(\alpha, \pi_w(\alpha)) \in (o \setminus \tilde{o}) \times \tilde{o}$, then $\alpha \in \Phi_N$ and thus $g_w\phi(p\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w(\alpha)}$.

These cases imply $g_w\phi(\mathfrak{g}_o^-) = \mathfrak{g}_o^-$. Thus $\mathfrak{g}_o^-$ is $W(k)$-generated by elements of $\mathfrak{d}$; therefore $\mathfrak{g}_o^- \subseteq \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. As $p\mathfrak{g}_o \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_o^-$ we get $p\mathfrak{g}_o \subseteq \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. Thus (19) holds. Thus the statement (ii) implies the statement (iii).

As for $o \in O(w)^+$ we have $\tilde{o} \neq \emptyset$ and $o \setminus \tilde{o} \neq \emptyset$, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{g}_o^-$ is a direct summand of $\mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. Thus there exists a function $\eta : \Phi \to \{0,1\}$ such that we have an identity

$$\mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k) = \mathrm{Lie}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} p^{\eta(\alpha)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha. \tag{20}$$

We have $\eta(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Phi_w = \bigcup_{o \in O(w)^0} o \cup \bigcup_{o \in O(w)^+} \tilde{o}$.

We check that the statement (iii) implies the statement (ii); thus (19) holds. As $g_w\phi$ normalizes $T(W(k))$ and $\mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$, it also normalizes the $W(k)$-submodule $\sum_{t \in T(W(k))} t(\mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k))$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Thus this $W(k)$-submodule is $W(k)$-generated by $\mathfrak{d}$ and therefore $T(W(k))$ normalizes $\mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$. From this and (19) we get that there exists a function $\eta : \Phi \to \{0,1\}$ such that Formula (20) holds. As $\mathrm{Im}(\eta) \subseteq \{0,1\}$, for $o \in O(w)$ the epsilon string $\varepsilon_w(o)$ is special. Therefore $\Phi_N^{+w} = \Phi_N$. Thus $\mathbb{S}(w) = \dim(N_k)$ i.e., the statement (ii) holds. □

Definition 8.2. Let $w \in W_G$. We say $\mathcal{C}_{g_w}$ is *pivotal* if statements (i) to (iii) of Proposition 8.1 hold.

Theorem 8.3. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}_{g_w}$ is pivotal. Let $g \in G(W(k))$. If $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} > = < \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} >$, then $< \mathcal{C}_g > = < \mathcal{C}_{g_w} >$.*

Proof: Let the function $\eta : \Phi \to \{0,1\}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 8.1. For $\alpha \in \Phi$ we have $\eta(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Phi_w$. This implies that (see Theorem 7.8 (a) and (20))

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} k \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)) = \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}. \tag{21}$$

For the sake of clarity, we divide the proof into numbered and bold-faced paragraphs.

(i) A dilatation. Let $W_{\bar{g}_w} \leqslant P_k$ be as in Theorem 7.8 (b). Let D be the group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ that is the dilatation of $W_{\bar{g}_w}$ centered on G_k. If $G = \mathrm{Spec}(O_G)$ and $I_{W_{\bar{g}_w}}$ is the ideal of O_G that defines $W_{\bar{g}_w}$, then $D = \mathrm{Spec}(O_D)$ is defined by the O_G-algebra O_D generated by all $\frac{x}{p}$ with $x \in I_{W_{\bar{g}_w}}$. It is known that D is smooth (see [3, Ch. 3, Sect. 3.2, Prop. 3]) and that we have a natural homomorphism $D \to G$ defined by the $W(k)$-monomorphism $O_G \hookrightarrow O_D$ (see [3, Ch. 3, Sect. 3.2, Prop. 2 (d)]). Obviously $D_{B(k)} = G_{B(k)}$ and

$$D(W(k)) = \{h \in G(W(k)) | \bar{h} \in W_{\bar{g}_w}(k)\} \leqslant \mathbb{L}.$$

Let $h_x : O_G \to W(k)[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ be a $W(k)$-homomorphism that defines an element $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. We have $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(D)$ (i.e., h_x factors through the monomorphism $O_G \hookrightarrow O_D$) if and only if $h_x(I_{W_{\bar{g}_w}}) \subseteq pW(k)[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ and thus if and only if $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{Lie}(W_{\bar{g}_w}) = \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$. Therefore $\mathrm{Lie}(D)$ is the inverse image of $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$ via the reduction mod p epimorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$. Thus we have $\mathrm{Lie}(D) = \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$, cf. (19) and (21).

(ii) The $g_w\phi$-action on $W(k)$-valued points. Based on (20), for $\alpha \in \Phi$ we define

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha := p^{\eta(\alpha)} \mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha}(W(k)) = 1_M + p^{\eta(\alpha)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \leqslant \mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha}(W(k)) \leqslant G(W(k)).$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the subgroup of $G(W(k))$ generated by $T(W(k))$ and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$'s with $\alpha \in \Phi$. As $\eta(\alpha) \in \{0,1\}$ and as $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, we have $\mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k)) \leqslant \mathcal{D}$. The group $W_{\bar{g}_w}$ is the subgroup of G_k generated by T_k and by $\mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha_k}$'s with $\alpha \in \Phi_w$, cf. Subsubsection 3.3.1. From the last two sentences we get that $\mathcal{D} = \{h \in G(W(k)) | \bar{h} \in W_{\bar{g}_w}(k)\} = D(W(k))$. As $g_w\phi$-normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(D) = \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$, we have $T(W(k)) = \{g_w\phi(h)g_w^{-1} | h \in T(W(k))\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\pi_w(\alpha)} = 1_M + p^{\eta(\pi_w(\alpha))}\mathfrak{g}_{\pi_w(\alpha)} = 1_M + g_w\phi(p^{\eta(\alpha)}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = \{g_w\phi(h)g_w^{-1} | h \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha\}$. Thus $D(W(k)) = \mathcal{D} = \{g_w\phi(h)g_w^{-1} | h \in \mathcal{D}\} = \{g_w\phi(h)g_w^{-1} | h \in D(W(k))\}$.

(iii) The σ-automorphism. We first recall with full details the well known fact that the smooth, affine group scheme D over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ is uniquely determined up to unique isomorphism by $D(W(k))$ and $D_{B(k)} = G_{B(k)}$. Let $\tilde{D} = \mathrm{Spec}(O_{\tilde{D}})$ be a smooth, affine group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ such that $\tilde{D}_{B(k)} = D_{B(k)}$ and $\tilde{D}(W(k)) = D(W(k))$. Let $D_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(O_0)$ be the Zariski closure of the diagonal subgroup $D_{B(k)}$ of $D_{B(k)} \times_{B(k)} \tilde{D}_{B(k)}$ in $D \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} \tilde{D}$. We check that the two natural projection homomorphisms $q_0 : D_0 \to D$ and $\tilde{q}_0 : D_0 \to \tilde{D}$ are isomorphisms; this will imply the existence of a unique isomorphism $\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ that extends the identity $\tilde{D}_{B(k)} = D_{B(k)}$. We will only check that $\tilde{q}_0$ is an isomorphism (as the arguments for q_0 are the same). As $D(W(k)) = \tilde{D}(W(k))$, we have an isomorphism $\tilde{q}_0(W(k)) : D_0(W(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{D}(W(k))$. Let $O_{\tilde{D}} \hookrightarrow O_0$ be the $W(k)$-monomorphism that defines $\tilde{q}_0$. We identify $O_0[\frac{1}{p}] = O_{\tilde{D}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ and thus we can view $O_{\tilde{D}}$ as a $W(k)$-subalgebra of O_0. We show that the assumption that $O_{\tilde{D}} \neq O_0$ leads to a contradiction. This assumption implies that there exists $y \in O_0 \setminus O_{\tilde{D}}$ such that $py \in O_{\tilde{D}} \setminus pO_{\tilde{D}}$. As $\tilde{D}$ is smooth, there exists a $W(k)$-homomorphism $h_y : O_{\tilde{D}} \to W(k)$ that takes py to an invertible element i_y of $W(k)$. As $\frac{i_y}{p} \notin W(k)$, such a homomorphism h_y does not factor through a $W(k)$-homomorphism $O_0 \to W(k)$. This contradicts the fact that $\tilde{q}_0(W(k))$ is an isomorphism. Thus $O_0 = O_{\tilde{D}}$ and therefore $\tilde{q}_0$ is an isomorphism.

As $D(W(k)) = \{g_w\phi(h)g_w^{-1} | h \in D(W(k))\}$, the uniqueness part of the previous paragraph implies that $g_w\phi$ induces naturally a σ-automorphism σ_D of D. In other words, we have a unique isomorphism $\sigma_D : D \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} {}_\sigma\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ with the property that for $h \in D(W(k))$ we have $\sigma_D(h \times \sigma^{-1}) = g_w\phi(h)g_w^{-1} \in D(W(k))$.

(iv) The $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure. We now show that σ_D defines naturally a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $D_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of D with the property that $\mathrm{Lie}(D_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) = \mathfrak{d}$. The adjoint group $D^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the identity component of the group of Lie automorphisms of the semisimple Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{d}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathfrak{d}[\frac{1}{p}]]$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Accordingly we will take $D_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to be a natural isogeny cover of $D^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (as g_w acts trivially on $Z^0(G)$, we have $G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = G^{g_w\,\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$). This isogeny cover is the one defined naturally by the isogeny $T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to T^{0g_w}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, where $T^{0g_w}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \mathrm{Im}(T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to D^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ (obviously $T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts via inner conjugation on $\mathfrak{d}[\frac{1}{p}]$ and thus also on $[\mathfrak{d}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathfrak{d}[\frac{1}{p}]]$). Using Galois descent, it suffices to show that there exists a finite field $k_0 = \mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ such that the iterate isomorphism $D \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} {}_{\sigma^q}\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ induced by σ_D, defines naturally a $W(k_0)$-structure $D_{W(k_0)}$ of D with the property that $\mathrm{Lie}(D_{W(k_0)}) = \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k_0)$. We pick k_0 such that the torus $T^{g_w}_{W(k_0)}$ is split. This implies that the group $D_{B(k_0)}$ is also split and that there exists a Lie subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}_{W(k_0)}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(D_{B(k_0)})$ (viewed over $W(k_0)$) such that $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}_{W(k_0)} \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(k)$. Let $\tilde{D}'_{W(k_0)}$ be a reductive group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0))$ that has $T^{g_w}_{W(k_0)}$ as a maximal torus and has $D_{B(k_0)}$ as its generic fibre, cf. [34]. We check that there exists an element $\tilde{h} \in T^{0g_w}_{B(k_0)}(B(k_0))$ such that $\tilde{D}_{W(k_0)} := \tilde{h}\tilde{D}'_{W(k_0)}\tilde{h}^{-1}$ has $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}_{W(k_0)}$ as its Lie algebra. Based on the $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(B(k))$-conjugacy of reductive group schemes over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ that have $G_{B(k)}$ as their generic fibres (see [34, end of Subsect. 2.5]) and on the $G(W(k))$-conjugacy of maximal tori of G (see Subsubsection 3.3.2), such an element exists over $B(k)$. As we have $T^{0g_w}_{B(k)}(B(k))/T^{0g_w}_{W(k)}(W(k)) = T^{0g_w}_{B(k_0)}(B(k_0))/T^{0g_w}_{W(k_0)}(W(k_0))$, we easily get that

$\tilde{h}$ exists.

Both groups $G(W(k))$ and $\tilde{D}_{W(k_0)}(W(k))$ are maximal bounded subgroups of $G(B(k))$ (cf. [34, Subsect. 3.2]) and thus are the maximal bounded subgroup of $G(B(k))$ that normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{D}_{W(k_0)}) \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(k)$. As $G(W(k)) = \tilde{D}_{W(k_0)}(W(k))$, as in (iii) we argue that we can identify $G = \tilde{D}_{W(k)}$. It is easy to see that $W_{\bar{g}_w}$ is the pull back of a closed subgroup $W_{\bar{g}_w}^{k_0}$ of $\tilde{D}_{k_0}$ and that D is the pull back of the dilatation $D_{W(k_0)}$ of $W_{\bar{g}_w}^{k_0}$ centered on $\tilde{D}_{W(k)}$. Thus $D_{W(k_0)}$ is the desired $W(k_0)$-structure of D. Therefore $D_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ exists.

As $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >=< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} >$, we can assume that $g = g_0 g_w$ with $g_0 \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k)) \leqslant D(W(k))$ (cf. Lemma 4.5 (c)). Let $h \in D(W(k)) \leqslant \mathbb{L}$ be such that $g_0 = h\sigma_D(h \times \sigma^{-1})^{-1} = hg_w\phi(h)^{-1}g_w$, cf. Lemma 3.1. Thus $hg_w\phi = g_0 g_w \phi h = g\phi h$ i.e., h is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{g_w}$ and $\mathcal{C}_g$. Thus $< \mathcal{C}_g >=< \mathcal{C}_{g_w} >$. $\square$

§9. The proof of Basic Theorem B

Theorem 9.1 proves a technical result on $P(k)$-conjugates of $\mu_k : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_k$. We use Theorem 9.1 to prove Basic Theorem B in Subsection 9.1. Lemma 9.4 and Remark 9.5 present a result on the relation $\mathcal{R}$ on W_G (see Basic Theorem B) and two remarks. We use the notations of Subsections 4.1, 4.1.1, 5.1.1, and 7.1.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $w \in W_G$. Let $\mu_{1k} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_k$ be a $P(k)$-conjugate of μ_k. Let $\bar{l}_1 \in Lie(G_k)$ be the image under $d\mu_{1k}$ of the standard generator of $Lie(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

(i) *the element $\bar{l}_1$ is contained in the k-span $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$ of $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_w}$;*

(ii) *the two cocharacters μ_k and μ_{1k} (equivalently $\bar{l}_0$ and $\bar{l}_1$) are $Aut_{\bar{g}_w}(k) = Aut_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathrm{red}}(k)$-conjugate;*

(iii) *the two cocharacters μ_k and μ_{1k} (equivalently $\bar{l}_0$ and $\bar{l}_1$) are $Aut_{\bar{g}_w}^{0\mathrm{red}}(k)$-conjugate.*

Proof: Obviously the statement (iii) implies the statement (ii). We check that the statement (ii) implies the statement (i). We have $\bar{l}_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(T_k) \subseteq \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$ (cf. Theorem 7.5 (e)) and $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}_w}(k)$ normalizes $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$ (cf. Lemma 7.1 (c)). Thus, if the statement (ii) holds, then we have $\bar{l}_1 \in \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$ and therefore the statement (i) holds.

We prove that the statement (i) implies the statement (iii); thus $\bar{l}_1 \in \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$. Let

$$U^{+w} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}} \mathbb{G}_{a,\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha)} \leqslant U.$$

We have $\mathrm{Lie}(U_k^{+w}) = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pi_w^{w_\alpha}(\alpha)} = \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$, cf. Theorem 7.5 (h). The group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathrm{red}}$ normalizes the k-vector spaces $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$ (cf. Lemma 7.1 (c)) and $\mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$ (as $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}_w} \leqslant P_k$). Thus $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathrm{red}}$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(U_k^{+w})$. As $U_k^{+w}(k) = \{1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{u} | \bar{u} \in \mathrm{Lie}(U_k^{+w})\}$ (see Subsubsection 3.3.3 for $U(k)$), we get that the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}_w}^{\mathrm{red}}$ normalizes U_k^{+w} (another way to argue this is to use Theorem 7.8 (c) and to remark that $U_k^{+w} = W_{\bar{g}_w} \cap U_k$).

For $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}$, let $c_\alpha : V_{a,\alpha_k} \to H_k$ be as in the proof of Proposition 5.3. The direct sum of the tangent spaces at the identity element of the curves $\mathrm{Im}(c_\alpha)$'s with $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}$ is $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_w}^{0\mathrm{red}})$, cf. (13) and the proof of Proposition 5.3. Thus the group $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}_w}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ is generated by the $\mathrm{Im}(c_\alpha)$'s with $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}$. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}_w}^{0\mathrm{red}}$ is generated by the images of $a_{\bar{g}_w} \circ c_\alpha$'s with $\alpha \in \Phi_N^{+w}$, cf. Subsection 5.2 (a). Therefore the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{g}_w}^{0\mathrm{red}}(k)$ is generated by its elements which are products of the form $\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2$ with $\bar{h}_1 \in U^{+w}(k)$ and $\bar{h}_2 \in L(k)$, cf. (11) and the proof of Subsection 5.2 (a). The product of two such elements $\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2$ and $\bar{h}_1'\bar{h}_2'$ of $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}(k)$ is $\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2$, where

$$\bar{r}_1 := \bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2\bar{h}_1'(\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2)^{-1}\bar{h}_1 \;\text{ and }\; \bar{r}_2 := \bar{h}_2\bar{h}_2' \in L(k).$$

As $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ normalizes U_k^{+w}, we have $\bar{r}_1 \in U^{+w}(k)$. By induction, each element $\bar{h} \in \mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}(k)$ is a product $\bar{h} = \bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2$, where $\bar{h}_1 \in U^{+w}(k)$ and $\bar{h}_2 \in L(k)$; as $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w} \leqslant P_k$, $\bar{h}$ is uniquely written as such a product $\bar{h}_1\bar{h}_2$.

We check that the rule $\bar{h} \mapsto \bar{h}_1$ defines a finite, surjective k-morphism (in general is not a homomorphism)

$$p_{\bar{g}_w} : \mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w} \to U_k^{+w}.$$

Each non-empty k-fibre of $p_{\bar{g}_w}$ has a number of k-valued points equal to the order of the group

$$B_{\bar{g}_w} := (\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap L_k)(k).$$

The group $B_{\bar{g}_w}$ is finite, cf. Lemma 5.2 (b). Thus $p_{\bar{g}_w}$ is quasi-finite. As $\dim(U_k^{+w}) = \mathbb{S}(w) = \dim(\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w})$ (cf. Subsection 5.2 (d) for the last equality), the quasi-finite morphism $p_{\bar{g}_w}$ is dominant. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ is an open, dense subscheme of the normalization V^{+w} of U_k^{+w} in the field of fractions of $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$, cf. Zariski main theorem of [29, Ch. IV]. The action of $B_{\bar{g}_w}$ on $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ via right translations extends to an action on V^{+w}. The quotient k-morphism $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w} \to \mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}/B_{\bar{g}_w}$ is a Galois cover. The natural finite factorization $V^{+w}/B_{\bar{g}_w} \to U_k^{+w}$ is radicial (i.e., it is universal injective on geometric points) above an open, dense subscheme of U_k^{+w}. Thus the field extension between the fields of fractions of $V^{+w}/B_{\bar{g}_w}$ and U_k^{+w} is purely inseparable. Therefore there exists a bijection between prime divisors of U_k^{+w} and $V^{+w}/B_{\bar{g}_w}$ defined by taking reduced structures on pulls back.

We show that the assumption that the open, affine subscheme $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ of V^{+w} is not the whole affine scheme V^{+w}, leads to a contradiction. This assumption implies that the complement C^{+w} of $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ in V^{+w} contains a divisor I^{+w} (i.e., C^{+w} is not of codimension ≥ 2 in V^{+w}), cf. [21, Thm. 38]. The image I^{+w} in U_k^{+w} of V^{+w} is a prime divisor of U_k^{+w} whose pull back to V^{+w} is contained in C^{+w}. As $U_k^{+w} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_k^{\mathbb{S}(w)}$, there exists a global function f of U_k^{+w} whose zero locus is I^{+w}. We have $f \notin k$ and moreover f is an invertible element of the k-algebra $A^0_{\bar{g}_w}$ of global functions of $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$. As $\mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ is a connected, smooth, unipotent group (cf. Subsection 5.2 (d)), $A^0_{\bar{g}_w}$ is a polynomial ring over k (to be compared with the case of $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_i\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$ in the proof of Theorem 6.1). Thus each invertible element of $A^0_{\bar{g}_w}$ belongs to k. Therefore such an element f does not exist. Contradiction.

Thus $V^{+w} = \mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$. Therefore the k-morphism $p_{\bar{g}_w}$ is finite and surjective.

As $P(k) = U(k)L(k)$ and L_k fixes μ_k, each $P(k)$-conjugate of μ_k is a $U(k)$-conjugate of μ_k. Let $\bar{u} \in U(k)$ be such that $\mu_{1k} = \bar{u}\mu_k\bar{u}^{-1}$. We write $\bar{u} = 1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{y}$, where $\bar{y} \in \mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$. As $\bar{y}\bar{l}_0 = 0$ and $\bar{l}_0\bar{y} = -\bar{y}$, we have

$$\bar{l}_1 = (1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{y})(\bar{l}_0)(1_{\bar{M}} - \bar{y}) = \bar{l}_0 + [\bar{y}, \bar{l}_0] - \bar{y}\bar{l}_0\bar{y} = \bar{l}_0 + [\bar{y}, \bar{l}_0] = \bar{l}_0 + \bar{y}.$$

As $\bar{l}_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(T_k) \subseteq \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w}$, we get that $\bar{y} = \bar{l}_1 - \bar{l}_0 \in \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_w} \cap \mathrm{Lie}(U_k) = \mathrm{Lie}(U_k^{+w})$. Thus $\bar{u} \in U^{+w}(k)$. Let $\bar{a} \in \mathrm{Aut}^{0\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}(k)$ be such that $p_{\bar{g}_w}(\bar{a}) = \bar{u}$. As $\bar{u}^{-1}\bar{a} \in L(k)$ centralizes μ_k, the inner conjugate of μ_k through $\bar{a}$ is $\bar{u}(\mu_k)\bar{u}^{-1} = \mu_{1k}$. We conclude that the statement (i) implies the statement (iii). $\square$

Corollary 9.2. *Let* $w_1, w_2 \in W_G$*. If* $<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}>=<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}>$*, then there exists* $\bar{h}_2 \in L(k)$ *which is an inner isomorphism between* $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$*.*

Proof: Let $\bar{h} \in P(k)$ be an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$. As $\bar{h}(\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}) = \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$ (cf. Lemma 7.1 (a) and (b)), we have $\bar{h}(\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}) = \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$. Moreover $\bar{l}_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(T_k) \subseteq \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_{w_s}} \cap \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_{w_s}}$. Therefore $\bar{h}(\bar{l}_0), \bar{l}_0 \in \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$. Let $\bar{h}_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}(k)$ be such that $\bar{h}_1(\bar{h}(\bar{l}_0)) = \bar{l}_0$, cf. Theorem 9.1. Let $\bar{h}_2 := \bar{h}_1\bar{h}$; it is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$. As $\bar{h}_2$ centralizes $\bar{l}_0$, we have $\bar{h}_2 \in L(k)$. □

Corollary 9.3. *Each connected component of* $Aut^{\mathrm{red}}_{\bar{g}_w}$ *intersects the centralizer* L_k *of* μ_k *in* G_k*.*

Proof: This follows from the equivalence of statements (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 9.1. □

9.1. End of the proof of Basic Theorem B

See Subsubsection 1.1.3 for the function $\mathcal{S} : W_G \to \mathcal{S}_{W_P}$. For $s \in \{1, 2\}$ let $X_{g_{w_s}}$ be the centralizer in G of the subtorus of T generated by the images of the cocharacters in the set $\{\sigma^i_{g_{w_s}}(\mu) | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It is a reductive group scheme (cf. Proposition 3.3 (a)) that contains T and that depends only on $w_s \in W_G$. For $w \in W_G$ we have $w \in \mathcal{S}(w_s)$ if and only if $g_w \in X_{g_{w_s}}(W(k))$. Thus $\mathcal{S}(w_s) = ((N_T \cap X_{g_{w_s}})/T)(W(k)) \leqslant W_P$. The special fibre of X_{g_w} is the subgroup $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ of G_k we introduced in Theorem 7.6, cf. proof of Theorem 7.6.

We prove the property 1.3 (a). The only if part is trivial. We prove the if part; thus $<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}>=<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}>$. Let $\bar{h}_2 \in L(k)$ be as in Corollary 9.2. We have $\bar{h}_2(\mathrm{Lie}(L_k)) = \mathrm{Lie}(L_k)$ and (cf. Lemma 7.1 (a)) $\bar{h}_2(\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}) = \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$. Thus from Theorem 7.5 (g) we get $\bar{h}_2(\mathfrak{x}^{\mathbb{F}_p}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}) = \mathfrak{x}^{\mathbb{F}_p}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$. We compute

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\bar{h}_2 X_{\bar{g}_{w_1}} \bar{h}_2^{-1}) = \bar{h}_2(\mathrm{Lie}(X_{\bar{g}_{w_1}})) = \bar{h}_2(\mathfrak{x}^{\mathbb{F}_p}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k) = \mathfrak{x}^{\mathbb{F}_p}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k = \mathrm{Lie}(X_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}).$$

This implies that $\bar{h}_2 X_{\bar{g}_{w_1}} \bar{h}_2^{-1} = X_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$, cf. the uniqueness part of Theorem 7.6.

Let $\bar{h}'_2 \in X_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}(k) \leqslant L(k)$ be such that $\bar{h}'_2 \bar{h}_2 T_k \bar{h}_2^{-1} \bar{h}_2^{'-1} = T_k$. The element $\bar{g}_3 := \bar{h}'_2 \bar{h}_2 \in L(k)$ normalizes T_k. Thus $\bar{g}_3 \in (N_T \cap L)(k)$. Let $w_3 \in W_P$ be such that there exists $g_3 \in (N_T \cap L)(W(k))$ which lifts $\bar{g}_3$ and which is a representative of w_3^{-1}. Based on Lemma 4.1, we can assume that $g_{w_3^{-1} w_1 \sigma(w_3)} = g_{w_3^{-1}} g_{w_1} \sigma(g_{w_3}) = g_{w_3^{-1}} g_{w_1} \phi(g_{w_3})$. Thus $\mathcal{C}_{g_{w_1}}$ is inner isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{g_{w_3^{-1} w_1 \sigma(w_3)}}$. By replacing w_1 with $w_3^{-1} w_1 \sigma(w_3)$ and thus implicitly by replacing g_{w_1} with $g_{w_3^{-1} w_1 \sigma(w_3)} = g_{w_3}^{-1} g_{w_1} \sigma(g_{w_3})$, we can assume w_3 is the identity element of W_P. Thus $\bar{g}_3 \in T(k)$. This implies that $\bar{h}_2 = \bar{g}_3 \bar{h}_2^{'-1} \in X_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}(k)$. Thus we have $X_{\bar{g}_{w_1}} = \bar{h}_2^{-1} X_{\bar{g}_{w_2}} \bar{h}_2 = X_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$.

The group scheme $X_{g_{w_s}}$ contains T and its special fibre is $X_{\bar{g}_{w_1}} = X_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}$. Thus the group scheme $X_{g_{w_s}}$ does not depend on $s \in \{1, 2\}$ (cf. Proposition 3.3 (d)); we will denote it simply by X. Let X^{n} be the normalizer of X in G, cf. Proposition 3.3 (b). As $g_{w_s}\phi$ permutes the cocharacters in the set $\{\sigma^i_{g_{w_s}}(\mu) | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and as μ factors through $Z^0(X)$, we have $g_{w_s}\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(X)) = \mathrm{Lie}(X)$. Thus the triples $(M, g_{w_1}\phi, X)$ and $(M, g_{w_2}\phi, X)$ are Shimura F-crystals over k and the element $h_{12} := g_{w_2} g_{w_1}^{-1} = (g_{w_2}\phi)(g_{w_1}\phi)^{-1}$ of $N_T(W(k))$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(X)$. From the uniqueness part of Lemma 3.4 we get that h_{12} normalizes X. Thus $h_{12} \in X^{\mathrm{n}}(W(k))$. Let $h_2 \in X(W(k))$ be a lift of $\bar{h}_2 \in X(k)$. We have identities $h_2 g_{w_1} \phi h_2^{-1} = g_0 g_{w_2} \phi = g_0 h_{12} g_{w_1} \phi$, where $g_0 \in G(W(k))$ is such that $\bar{g}_0 \in \sigma_{g_{w_2}}(N)(k)$ (cf. Lemma 4.5 (a)). As $(M, g_{w_1}\phi, X)$ is a Shimura F-crystal over k, we have $g_0 h_{12} = h_2(g_{w_1}\phi)h_2^{-1}(g_{w_1}\phi)^{-1} \in X(W(k))$. Thus $\bar{g}_0 \bar{h}_{12} \in X(k)$. We get $\bar{g}_0 \in X(k)\bar{h}_{12}^{-1} \subseteq X^{\mathrm{n}}(k)$. The group scheme $X = \sigma_{g_{w_2}}(X) \leqslant \sigma_{g_{w_2}}(L)$ normalizes $\sigma_{g_{w_2}}(N)$ and the intersection $X \cap \sigma_{g_{w_2}}(N)$ is the trivial group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. The last two sentences imply that the commutator of the element $\bar{g}_0 \in (\sigma_{g_{w_2}}(N)_k \cap X^{\mathrm{n}}_k)(k)$ with any element of $X_k(k)$, belongs to $\sigma_{g_{w_2}}(N)(k) \cap X_k(k)$

and therefore it is $1_{\bar{M}}$. Thus $\bar{g}_0$ centralizes $X_k(k)$ and therefore also T_k. Thus $\bar{g}_0 \in T(k)$. As $\bar{g}_0$ is a unipotent element, we get $\bar{g}_0 = 1_{\bar{M}}$. Thus $\bar{h}_{12} = \bar{g}_0\bar{h}_{12} \in X(k)$. As X is the identity component of X^{n} (cf. Proposition 3.3 (b)), as $h_{12} \in X^{\mathrm{n}}(W(k))$, and as $\bar{h}_{12} \in X(k)$, we have $h_{12} \in X(W(k))$. As μ factors through $Z^0(X)$, there exists an inner isomorphism between $(M, g_{w_1}\phi, X)$ and $(M, g_{w_2}\phi, X) = (M, h_{12}g_{w_1}\phi, X)$ (cf. Lemma 4.2). Thus $<\mathcal{C}_{g_{w_1}}>=<\mathcal{C}_{g_{w_2}}>$.

We prove the property 1.3 (b) The if part follows from the above proof of the property 1.3 (a) (the role of $h_{12} \in (X \cap N_T)(W(k))$ above being that of $g_{w_4^{-1}}$). To prove the only if part of the property 1.3 (b), we can assume that w_3 is the identity element and (cf. Lemma 4.1) that $g_{w_1} = g_{w_4}g_{w_2}$. We have $g_{w_4} \in X_{g_{w_2}}(W(k))$. The Shimura F-crystals $(M, g_{w_1}\phi, X_{g_{w_2}}) = (M, g_{w_4}g_{w_2}\phi, X_{g_{w_2}})$ and $(M, g_{w_2}\phi, X_{g_{w_2}})$ are inner isomorphic, cf. Lemma 4.2. Thus $<\mathcal{C}_{g_{w_1}}>=<\mathcal{C}_{g_{w_2}}>$ and therefore $<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}>=<\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_{w_2}}>$. Thus the property 1.3 (b) holds. Property 1.3 (c) follows from the property 1.3 (b). □

Lemma 9.4. *Let the relation $\mathcal{R}$ on W_G be as in Subsection 1.3. Let $w \in W_G$. Then the equivalence class $[w] \in \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G$ has a number of elements equal to the order $|W_P|$ of W_P. Thus $\mathcal{R}\backslash W_G$ has $[W_G : W_P]$ elements.*

Proof: Let $\sigma_w : W_G \tilde{\to} W_G$ be the composite of $\sigma : W_G \tilde{\to} W_G$ with the inner automorphism of W_G defined by w; thus σ_w is the automorphism of W_G defined by the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_w}, T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_w})$ of (G, T). We have $[w] = \{w_3w_4\sigma_w(w_3^{-1})w | w_3 \in W_P, w_4 \in \mathcal{S}(w)\}$. Let $w_{3,1}, \ldots, w_{3,[W_P:\mathcal{S}(w)]}$ be a set of representatives for $W_P/\mathcal{S}(w)$. From very definitions, we have $\mathcal{S}(w) := \cap_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_w^i(W_P) \leqslant W_P$; thus $\sigma_w(\mathcal{S}(w)) = \mathcal{S}(w)$. Therefore

$$[w] = \{w_{3,j}w_4\sigma_w(w_{3,j}^{-1})w | 1 \le j \le [W_P : \mathcal{S}(w)],\ w_4 \in \mathcal{S}(w)\}.$$

Suppose $w_{3,j}w_4\sigma_w(w_{3,j}^{-1}) = w_{3,j'}w_4'\sigma_w(w_{3,j'}^{-1})$, where $j, j' \in \{1, \ldots, [W_P : \mathcal{S}(w)]\}$ and $w_4, w_4' \in \mathcal{S}(w)$. Let $w_5 := w_{3,j'}^{-1}w_{3,j}$. We have $w_5 = w_4'\sigma_w(w_5)w_4^{-1}$. As $w_4, w_4' \in \mathcal{S}(w) := \cap_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_w^i(W_P)$, by induction on $s \ge 0$ we get $w_5 \in \sigma_w^s(W_P)$. Thus $w_5 \in \cap_{i\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}\sigma_w^i(W_P) = \cap_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_w^i(W_P) = \mathcal{S}(w)$; the first equality holds as $\sigma_w \in \mathrm{Aut}(W_G)$ has finite order. As $w_5 \in \mathcal{S}(w)$, we have $j = j'$. Thus $(w_{3,j}, w_4) = (w_{3,j'}, w_4')$ i.e., the elements of $[w]$ are listed above without repetitions. Thus $[w]$ has $|W_P|$ elements. □

Remark 9.5. (a) As in Example 6.3, we can use Theorem 6.1 (b) to get a short proof of Corollary 4.6 that does not rely on [17]. This is so as Basic Theorem B tells us how to get distinct orbits of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ that intersect $N_T(k)$.

(b) Corollary 6.2 also follows from Lemma 4.5 (d) and (e), the property 1.3 (b), and Lemma 9.4.

§10. The inductive step

We present an inductive approach that play a key role in the proof of the statement 1.4 (a) in Subsection 11. We use the notations of Subsections 4.1, (beginning of) 4.2, 4.2.1, and 7.1. Let G_1 be a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ that contains G and such that the triple (M, ϕ, G_1) is a Shimura F-crystal over k. Let $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ whose pull back to Spec$(W(k))$ is G_1, cf. Subsection 4.2 applied to (M, ϕ, G_1). Let $T_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a maximal torus of the centralizer of $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$, cf. Proposition 3.3 (a) and (e). Let $T_1 := T_{1W(k)}$; we have $\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$. Let N_{T_1} be the normalizer of T_1 in G_1 and let $W_{G_1} := (N_{T_1}/T_1)(W(k))$. Let P_1, U_1, and N_1 be for G_1 and μ what P, U, and N are for G and μ; thus P_1 is the normalizer of F^1 in G_1, etc. Let $G^{0\mathrm{ad}}$ be the maximal direct factor of G^{ad} in which μ has a trivial image.

Theorem 10.1. *We assume that the group scheme* G^{ad} *is non-trivial and that each simple factor of either* $(Lie(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ *or* $(Lie(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ *is non-trivial; thus* μ *does not factor through either* $Z^0(G)$ *or* $Z^0(G_1)$*. We also assume that the following four conditions hold:*

(i) *the maximal tori of* G_k *are generated by cocharacters of* $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ *of weights* $\{-1, 0\}$*;*

(ii) *there exists a subset* R_{G_1} *of* W_{G_1} *such that the statement 1.4 (a) holds in the context of the family* $\{(M, g_1\phi, G_1) | g_1 \in G_1(W(k))\}$ *of Shimura* F*-crystals over* k*;*

(iii) *each* $G_1(k)$*-conjugate* $\mu_{2k} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{1k}$ *of a cocharacter in the set* $\{\sigma^i(\mu_k) | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *with the property that* $Im(d\mu_{2k}) \subseteq Lie(G_k)$*, factors through* G_k*;*

(iv) *either (iv.a) the quotient finite group scheme* $Z(G)/Z^0(G)$ *is étale and there exists a direct sum decomposition* $Lie(G_1) = Lie(G) \oplus Lie(G)^{\perp}$ *of* G*-modules which after inverting* p *is preserved by* ϕ *or (iv.b)* $Z^0(G) = Z(G) = Z(G_1)$ *and there exists a direct sum decomposition* $Lie(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}) = Lie(G^{\mathrm{ad}}) \oplus Lie(G^{\mathrm{ad}})^{\perp}$ *of* G^{ad}*-modules which after inverting* p *is preserved by* ϕ*.*

Then a subset R_G *of* W_G *as in the statement 1.4 (a) also exists.*

Proof: Let $g \in G(W(k))$. To prove the Theorem it suffices to show that there exists $w \in W_G$ such that $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >=< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} >$, cf. Lemma 4.5 (d). To achieve this, we will often replace g by another element $\dagger \in G(W(k))$ such that $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >=< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\dagger}} >$. As the proof is quite long, its main parts are bold-faced and numbered.

Part I. Translating (ii) and $\mathbb{F}_p$-structures. For $g_1 \in G_1(W(k))$, let $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_1}$ be the D-truncation mod p of $(M, g_1\phi, G_1)$. Due to (ii), there exists $w_1 \in W_{G_1}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$ are inner isomorphic; here $g_{w_1} \in N_{T_1}(W(k))$ represents w_1. Let $h \in G_1(W(k))$ be an element such that $\bar{h}$ belongs to $P_1(k)$ and is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$. Let $g_0 \in G_1(W(k))$ be such that $\bar{g}_0 \in \sigma_{g_{w_1}}(N_1)(k)$ and we have

$$g_{w_1} = g_0 h g \phi(h^{-1}) \in N_{T_1}(W(k)),$$

cf. Lemma 4.5 (a) and (b) applied to $(M, g\phi, G_1)$ and $(M, g_{w_1}\phi, G_1)$. Here $\sigma_{g_{w_1}} := g_{w_1}\sigma : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$. For $\tilde{h} \in \mathbb{L}$, we have $< \mathcal{C}_g >=< \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{h}g\phi(\tilde{h})^{-1}} >$ (cf. Subsubsection 4.2.1). Thus in what follows we will often replace the pair (g, h) by another pair of the form $(\tilde{h}g\phi(\tilde{h})^{-1}, h\tilde{h}^{-1})$.

Let $X_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$ be the reductive subgroup of G_{1k} that is the analogue of $X_{\bar{g}_w}$ of Theorem 7.6 but obtained working with $(M, g_{w_1}\phi, G_1, \mu)$. Let $X_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}^{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the natural $\mathbb{F}_p$-structure of $X_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$, cf. Theorem 7.6. Let $T_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of T_1 defined by g_{w_1}, cf. Subsection 4.2 applied to $(M, g_{w_1}\phi, G_1)$. The special fibre $T_{1\mathbb{F}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$ of $T_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$ is a maximal torus of $X_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}^{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Let $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$ be the smallest subtorus of $T_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$ such that μ factors through $\tilde{T}^{g_{w_1}} := \tilde{T}_{W(k)}^{g_{w_1}}$. As the subtorus $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$ of $T_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$ is uniquely determined by its special fibre $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$, $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$ is the smallest subtorus of $T_{1\mathbb{F}_p}^{g_{w_1}}$ such that μ_k factors through $\tilde{T}_k^{g_{w_1}}$; thus we have $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{g_{w_1}} \leqslant Z^0(X_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}})$ (cf. proof of Theorem 7.6).

Let $X_{1\bar{g}}^{\mathbb{F}_p} := \bar{h}^{-1}(X_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}^{\mathbb{F}_p})\bar{h}$; it is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-structure of the subgroup $X_{1\bar{g}} := \bar{h}^{-1}(X_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}})\bar{h}$ of G_{1k}. Let

$$\mu_1 := h^{-1}\mu h : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_1.$$

Let $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p} := \bar{h}^{-1}\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{g_{w_1}}\bar{h} \leqslant Z^0(X_{1\bar{g}}^{\mathbb{F}_p})$. It is the smallest subtorus of $Z^0(X_{1\bar{g}}^{\mathbb{F}_p})$ such that μ_{1k} factors through $\tilde{T}_k$ (i.e., the $\mathbb{F}_p$-structure $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ of $\tilde{T}_k$ is defined naturally with respect to μ_{1k}).

Let $\bar{l}_1 \in \mathrm{Lie}(Z^0(X_{1\bar{g}}))$ be the image under $d\mu_{1k}$ of the standard generator of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. We have $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{l}_0)$ (see Subsection 4.1 for $\bar{l}_0$). Let $\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$ be the zero space of $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$. The zero space

$\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}}$ is $\bar{h}^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}_0^{-1}\bar{g}_{w_1}}) = \bar{h}^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}})$, cf. Lemma 7.1 (a) and (b). As $\mathrm{Lie}(T_{1\mathbb{F}_p}^{g_{w_1}})$ (and thus also $\bar{l}_0$) is included in the k-span of $\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$ (cf. Theorem 7.5 (a) applied to $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$), the element $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{l}_0)$ belongs to the k-span of $\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}} = \bar{h}^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}})$.

Part II. Applying (iv) to study $\bar{l}_1$. In this Part II we will show that we can assume that $\bar{l}_1$ is $\bar{l}_0$. We first consider the case when (iv.a) holds. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k)^{\perp} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}/p\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$. As μ factors through G and due to the G-module part of (iv.a), we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathrm{Lie}(U_{1k}) = \mathrm{Lie}(U_k) \oplus (\mathrm{Lie}(U_{1k}) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)^{\perp}).$$

The injective map $L_{1g} : \mathrm{Lie}(P_1) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G_1)$ that is the analogue of the map L_g of Subsection 7.1 but for $(M, g\phi, G_1)$, is the direct sum of L_g and of an injective map $L_g^{\perp} : (\mathrm{Lie}(P_1) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$. Similarly, the inclusion map $I_{G_1} : \mathrm{Lie}(P_1) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G_1)$ is a direct sum of the inclusion map I_G of Subsection 7.1 and of the inclusion map $I_G^{\perp} : (\mathrm{Lie}(P_1) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}) + p\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$. From the very definition of zero spaces we get that we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}} = \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}} \oplus (\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}} \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)^{\perp}).$$

Thus we can write $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{l} + \bar{l}^{\perp}$, where $\bar{l}$ and $\bar{l}^{\perp}$ belong to $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$ and to the k-span of the intersection $\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}} \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)^{\perp}$ (respectively). For each element $\bar{y} \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$ we have $[\bar{l}_1 - \bar{l}, \bar{y}] \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)^{\perp}$; thus, if $[\bar{l}_1, \bar{y}] \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$, then the element $[\bar{l}_1 - \bar{l}, \bar{y}] = [\bar{l}^{\perp}, \bar{y}]$ belongs to $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$ as well as to $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k)^{\perp}$ and therefore it is 0. By applying this to $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$, as $[\bar{l}_1, \bar{x}] = -\bar{x} \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$, we get that $[\bar{l}, \bar{x}] = [\bar{l}_1, \bar{x}] = -\bar{x} = [\bar{l}_0, \bar{x}]$.

Let P' be the image in G^{ad} of P. We identify U with the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup scheme P' of G^{ad}. Let I_k be the centralizer of $\mathrm{Lie}(U_k) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{0\mathrm{ad}})$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(P'_k)$.

In this paragraph we check that $I_k = \mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$. It suffices to show that: (v) for each simple factor G_0 of G^{ad}, the centralizer of $(\mathrm{Lie}(U_k) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{0\mathrm{ad}})) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_{0k})$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(P'_k \cap G_{0k}) = \mathrm{Lie}(P'_k) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_{0k})$ is $\mathrm{Lie}(U_k) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_{0k})$. If $G_0 \leqslant G^{0\mathrm{ad}}$, then $(\mathrm{Lie}(U_k) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{0\mathrm{ad}})) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_{0k})$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(P'_k) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_{0k})$ are both $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{0k})$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(U_k) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_{0k}) = 0$; thus (v) is implied by Lemma 2.3 (a). Suppose $G_0 \nleqslant G^{0\mathrm{ad}}$ i.e., μ has a non-trivial image in G_0. From this and the fact that U is commutative, we get that $U_k \cap G_{0k}$ is a commutative unipotent radical of the maximal parabolic subgroup $P'_k \cap G_{0k}$ of G_{0k}. Thus (v) holds, cf. Lemma 2.3 (b). Thus $I_k = \mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$.

Let $\bar{l}'_0 := \bar{l} - \bar{l}_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(P_k)$. The element $\bar{l}'_0$ commutes with $\mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$. The image $\bar{l}''_0$ of $\bar{l}'_0$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{\mathrm{ad}})$ has a trivial component in $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{0\mathrm{ad}})$ (cf. the definition of $G_k^{0\mathrm{ad}}$) and thus it commutes with $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{0\mathrm{ad}})$. Therefore $\bar{l}''_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(P'_k)$ commutes with $\mathrm{Lie}(U_k) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{0\mathrm{ad}})$. Thus $l''_0 \in I_k = \mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$. Therefore $\bar{l}'_0 \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_k) \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{\mathrm{ad}})) + \mathrm{Lie}(U_k) = \mathrm{Lie}(Z(G_k)) + \mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$.

We write $\bar{l}'_0 = \bar{l} - \bar{l}_0 = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$, where $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{Lie}(Z(G_k))$ and $\bar{y} \in \mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$; thus $\bar{l} = \bar{l}_0 + \bar{x} + \bar{y}$. Obviously $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(G_{\mathbb{F}_p})) = \mathrm{Lie}(Z^0(G_{\mathbb{F}_p})) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$. Thus $\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$. Therefore $\bar{l}_0 + \bar{y} = \bar{l} - \bar{x}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$ and is the conjugate of $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{l}_0)$ through $(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{y})\bar{h} \in P_1(k)$. Thus $\bar{h}(\bar{l}_0 + \bar{y})$ and $\bar{l}_0 = \bar{h}(\bar{l}_1)$ are $P_1(k)$-conjugate and belong to the k-span of $\bar{h}(\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}}) = \mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$. Let $h_1 \in P_1(W(k))$ be such that it lifts a k-valued point of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$ and we have $\bar{h}_1\bar{h}(\bar{l}_0 + \bar{y}) = \bar{l}_0$, cf. Theorem 9.1 applied to $(M, g_{w_1}\phi, G_1)$. As $\bar{h}_1\bar{h}$ is also an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$, by replacing h with h_1h and g_0 by a multiple of it by an element of $G_1(W(k))$ that lifts a k-valued point of $\sigma_{g_{w_1}}(N_1)$, we can assume that $\bar{l}_1 = (\bar{h})^{-1}(\bar{l}_0)$ is $\bar{l}_0 + \bar{y} \in \mathrm{Lie}(P_k)$. This implies that $\bar{l}^{\perp} = 0$ and $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{l} = \bar{l}_0 + \bar{y}$.

Up to a replacement of the triple $(g, h, \bar{l}_1)$ with the triple $((1_M - y)g\phi(1_M + y), h(1_M + y), (1_{\bar{M}} - \bar{y})(\bar{l}_1))$, where $y \in \mathrm{Lie}(U)$ lifts $\bar{y}$, we can assume that $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{l}$ is $(1_{\bar{M}} - \bar{y})(\bar{l}_0 + \bar{y}) = \bar{l}_0 + \bar{y} - \bar{y} = \bar{l}_0$.

If (iv.b) holds, then by working with the images of $\bar{l}_1$ and $\bar{l}_0$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{1k}^{\mathrm{ad}})$ instead of $\bar{l}_1$ and $\bar{l}_0$, as above we argue that $\bar{l} = \bar{l}_0 + \bar{x} + \bar{y}$, where $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{Lie}(Z(G_k))$ and $\bar{y} \in \mathrm{Lie}(U_k)$, and that we can assume $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{l}_0 = \bar{l}$.

Part III. Applying (iii). As $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{l}_0$ we have $\mu_{1k} = \mu_k$. Thus $\bar{h}$ centralizes μ_k. The centralizer of $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{l}_0$ in $\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}}$ is $\mathrm{Lie}(X_{1\bar{g}}^{\mathbb{F}_p})$ (after inner conjugation with $\bar{h}$, this follows from Theorem 7.5 (g) applied to $(M, g_{w_1}\phi, G_1)$) and it is also the direct sum of the centralizers of $\bar{l}_0$ in $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}}$ and in $\mathfrak{z}_{1\bar{g}} \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)^{\perp}$. Thus the smallest $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector subspace $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(X_{1\bar{g}}^{\mathbb{F}_p})$ whose tensorization with k contains $\bar{l}_0$, is contained in $\mathfrak{w}_{\bar{g}}$. As $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \leqslant Z^0(X_{1\bar{g}}^{\mathbb{F}_p})$ and as $\tilde{T}_k = \bar{h}^{-1}\tilde{T}_k^{g_{w_1}}\bar{h}$ is generated by the cocharacters $\bar{h}^{-1}\sigma_{g_{w_1}}^{i}(\mu_k)\bar{h} = \bar{h}^{-1}\sigma_{g_{w_1}}^{i}(\mu_{1k})\bar{h}$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get that the Lie algebras of the images of these cocharacters are contained in $\mathfrak{s}$ and thus also in $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$. Therefore these images are tori of G_k, cf. (iii). Thus $\tilde{T}_k$ is a torus of G_k. As $\tilde{T}_k$ centralizes $\bar{h}^{-1}\mu_k\bar{h} = \mu_k$, it is in fact a torus of L_k. As the maximal tori of L_k are $L(k)$-conjugate, up to a replacement of the pair (g, h) with $(h_0 g\phi(h_0^{-1}), hh_0^{-1})$, where $h_0 \in L(W(k))$ is such that $\bar{h}_0\tilde{T}_k\bar{h}_0^{-1} \leqslant T_k$, we can assume $\tilde{T}_k \leqslant T_k$. Let $\tilde{T}$ be the unique subtorus of T that lifts $\tilde{T}_k$. As $\mu_k = \mu_{1k}$ factors through $\tilde{T}_k$, the cocharacter μ of T factors through $\tilde{T}$.

Part IV. Applying (i) and toric properties. Let

$$\tilde{T}' := \sigma_g(\tilde{T}), \ \ \tilde{S}' := \sigma_g(\tilde{T}N), \ \text{ and } \ S' := \sigma_g(TN).$$

Let $\bar{x}_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}} \cap \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}_k)$. Let $x_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T})$ be a lift of $\bar{x}_0$. There exists $y \in \mathrm{Lie}(N)$ such that $g\phi(x_0+py)-x_0 \in p\mathrm{Lie}(G)$, cf. Lemma 7.3 (d). Thus $\bar{x}_0 = \sigma_g(\bar{x}_0+\bar{y}) \in \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{S}'_k)$. This implies that $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}_k) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{S}'_k) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(S'_k)$. As $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}_k) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(S'_k)$, from (i) and Proposition 2.4 (b) we get that $\tilde{T}_k$ centralizes a maximal torus $\tilde{T}''_k$ of S'_k. Thus $\tilde{T}_k$ is a subtorus of $\tilde{T}''_k$. Let $\bar{g}_2 \in \sigma_g(N)(k)$ be such that $\bar{g}_2\tilde{T}'_k\bar{g}_2^{-1}$ is a subtorus of $\tilde{T}''_k$, cf. [1, Ch. III, Thm. 10.6 (4)]. We have $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} = \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_2\bar{g}}$ (cf. Lemma 4.5 (a)) and thus $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}} = \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{g}_2\bar{g}}$ (cf. Lemma 7.1 (b)). We get that $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}} = \mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_2\bar{g}}$. Thus $\bar{h}$ is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_2\bar{g}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$. By replacing (g, g_0) with $(g_2 g, g_0 h g_2 h^{-1})$, where $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ lifts $\bar{g}_2$, we can assume $\tilde{T}_k$ and $\tilde{T}'_k$ commute.

By replacing the pair (g, h) with $(h_2 g\phi(h_2^{-1}), hh_2^{-1})$, where $h_2 \in L(W(k))$ lifts a k-valued point of the centralizer of $\tilde{T}_k$ in L_k and we have $\bar{h}_2\tilde{T}'_k\bar{h}_2^{-1} \leqslant T_k$, we can assume that $\tilde{T}'_k \leqslant T_k$. By replacing the pair (g, g_0) with $(g_3 g, g_0 h g_3 h^{-1})$, where $g_3 \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$ is such that $g_3\tilde{T}'g_3^{-1} \leqslant T$ (cf. Subsubsection 3.3.2), we can assume $\tilde{T}' \leqslant T$. As $\bar{h}\tilde{T}_k\bar{h}^{-1} = \tilde{T}_k^{g_{w_1}}$, from Subsubsection 3.3.2 we also get that there exists $g_4 \in \mathrm{Ker}(G_1(W(k)) \to G_1(k))$ such that $h\tilde{T}h^{-1} = g_4\tilde{T}^{g_{w_1}}g_4^{-1}$. As $g_{w_1}\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{g_{w_1}})) = \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{g_{w_1}})$ and $g_0^{-1}g_{w_1}\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(h\tilde{T}h^{-1})) = h\sigma_g\mu(\frac{1}{p})h^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(h\tilde{T}h^{-1}))$ is $\mathrm{Lie}(h\sigma_g(\tilde{T})h^{-1}) = \mathrm{Lie}(h\tilde{T}'h^{-1})$, we get

$$g_0(\mathrm{Lie}(h\tilde{T}'h^{-1})) = g_{w_1}\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(h\tilde{T}h^{-1})) = g_{w_1}\phi(g_4(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{g_{w_1}})))$$

$$= g_{w_1}\phi g_4\phi^{-1}g_{w_1}^{-1}g_{w_1}\phi(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{g_{w_1}})) = g_{w_1}\phi(g_4)g_{w_1}^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{g_{w_1}})) = g_{w_1}\phi(g_4)g_{w_1}^{-1}g_4^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(h\tilde{T}h^{-1})).$$

Thus $h\tilde{T}h^{-1}$ and $h\tilde{T}'h^{-1}$ are inner conjugate under $g_5 := g_0^{-1}g'_4 \in G_1(W(k))$, with $g'_4 := g_{w_1}\phi(g_4)g_{w_1}^{-1}g_4^{-1} \in G_1(W(k))$. As $g_4 \in \mathrm{Ker}(G_1(W(k)) \to G_1(k))$ and as the reduction mod p of $\phi(g_4)$ belongs to $N_1(k)$, we have $\bar{g}'_4 \in \sigma_{g_{w_1}}(N_1)(k)$. Thus $\bar{g}_5 = \bar{g}_0^{-1}\bar{g}'_4 \in \sigma_{g_{w_1}}(N_1)(k)$. As $\bar{g}_5\bar{h}\tilde{T}_k\bar{h}^{-1}\bar{g}_5^{-1} = \bar{h}\tilde{T}'_k\bar{h}^{-1}$, we get that $\tilde{T}_k$ and $\tilde{T}'_k$ are $(h^{-1}\sigma_{g_{w_1}}(N_1)h)(k)$-conjugate. As $\bar{h}^{-1}$ is an inner isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}_{w_1}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}}$, the group of elements $\bar{*} \in G_1(k)$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{1\bar{g}} = \mathcal{C}_{1\bar{*}\bar{g}}$ is on one hand $[h^{-1}\sigma_{g_{w_1}}(N_1)h](k)$ and on the other hand is $\sigma_g(N_1)(k)$ (see Lemma 4.5 (a)). Thus the two tori $\tilde{T}_k$ and $\tilde{T}'_k$ are $\sigma_g(N_1)(k)$-conjugate. Therefore $\tilde{T}_k$ and $\tilde{T}'_k$ are tori of $\sigma_g(TN_1)_k$; moreover their images in $(\sigma_g(TN_1)/\sigma(N_1))_k$ coincide. The tori $\tilde{T}_k$ and $\tilde{T}'_k$ commute (being subtori of T_k). From the last two sentences we get that $\tilde{T}_k$ and $\tilde{T}'_k$ are the same torus of $\sigma_g(TN_1)_k$ and thus also of T_k. Thus the two tori $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{T}'$ of T coincide. Therefore σ_g normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T})$.

Part V. Applying Lemma 4.2. Let $\tilde{G}$ be the centralizer of $\tilde{T}$ in G. As $\tilde{T} \leqslant T$ and as μ factors through $\tilde{T}$, μ factors also through $Z^0(\tilde{G})$. As σ_g normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T})$, it also normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$. Thus $g\phi = \sigma_g\mu(\frac{1}{p})$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ and therefore the triple $(M, g\phi, \tilde{G})$ is a Shimura F-crystal over k. As μ factors through $Z^0(\tilde{G})$, for each $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}(W(k))$ there exists an inner isomorphism between $(M, g\phi, \tilde{G})$ and $(M, \tilde{g}g\phi, \tilde{G})$ (cf. Lemma 4.2) and thus we have $<\mathcal{C}_g>=<\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{g}g}>$. We take $\tilde{g}$ such that $\tilde{g}g\phi$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ (to be compared with Subsection 4.2). Thus $\tilde{g}g \in N_T(W(k))$. We can assume there exists $w \in W_G$ such that $\tilde{g}g = g_w$. We have $<\mathcal{C}_g>=<\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{g}g}>=<\mathcal{C}_{g_w}>$. This ends the argument for the existence of $w \in W_G$. □

§11. On Basic Theorem C

In Subsection 11.1 we prove Basic Theorem C. A fast way to achieve this, is to first use Basic Theorems A and B and Corollary 6.2 to prove the shifting process which says that it suffices to prove Basic Theorem C for $p >> 0$ and then to show that Theorem 10.1 applies if $p >> 0$. For the sake of completeness, we will formalize and use the shifting process only when it is truly required (i.e., only in the Case 5 below). See Corollary 11.1 and Subsection 11.2 for a Corollary and three functorial complements.

We use the notations of Subsections 4.1, 4.2.1, and 5.1. Let $\mu' : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ be the composite of $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ with $G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$. Let P', T', and $N_{T'}$ be the images of P, T, and N_T in G^{ad}. Let $T'_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := \mathrm{Im}(T_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$; it is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of the maximal torus T' of G^{ad}. Let $\mathrm{Tr} : \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M) \times \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M) \to W(k)$ be the trace map.

11.1. Proof of Basic Theorem C

We now prove Basic Theorem C. Let $\mathbb{T}_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}_k, P'_k, T'_k, \sigma}$ be the action defined as in Subsubsection 2.2.1 for the Frobenius endomorphism σ of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_k = G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} k$. We have a product decomposition (cf. (6))

$$\mathbb{T}_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}_k, P'_k, T'_k, \sigma} = \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{T}_{G^j_k, P'_k \cap G^j_k, T'_k \cap G^j_k, \sigma}.$$

To prove Basic Theorem C is equivalent to checking that Conjecture 2.1 holds for the quadruple (G_k, P_k, T_k, σ), cf. Lemma 5.1 (b). Based on this and Proposition 2.2 (d), to prove Basic Theorem C is equivalent to checking that for each $j \in J$ the Conjecture 2.1 holds for $(G^j_k, P'_k \cap G^j_k, T'_k \cap G^j_k, \sigma)$. For this we can assume that $J \neq \emptyset$. Let $\tilde{G}^j$ be the reductive, closed subgroup scheme of G that is the inverse image of $G^j_{W(k)} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} \prod_{j' \in J \setminus \{j\}} T' \cap G^{j'}_{W(k)}$ through $G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$. The Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^j_{B(k)}) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_{B(k)}) + \mathrm{Lie}(G^j_{B(k)})$ is normalized by ϕ. As μ factors through T, it also factors through $\tilde{G}^j$. Thus the triple $(M, \phi, \tilde{G}^j)$ is a Shimura F-crystal over k. The Conjecture 2.1 holds for $(G^j_k, P'_k \cap G^j_k, T'_k \cap G^j_k, \sigma)$ if and only if Basic Theorem C holds for the family $\{(M, g_j\phi, \tilde{G}^j) | g_j \in \tilde{G}^j(W(k))\}$ of Shimura F-crystals over k, cf. Proposition 2.2 (d) and Lemma 5.1 (b). Thus by replacing G with $\tilde{G}^j$ for some $j \in J$, it suffices to prove Basic Theorem C under the extra assumption that:

(i) the set J has only one element (i.e., the adjoint group scheme $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is simple).

Moreover we have the following replacement process.

(ii) To prove Basic Theorem C (i.e., to prove Conjecture 2.1 for $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_k, P'_k, T'_k, \sigma)$), we can replace (M, ϕ, G, μ) by any other analogue quadruple $(M_*, \phi_*, G_*, \mu_*)$ for which we can identity $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, T'_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \mu') = (G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{*\mathbb{Z}_p}, T'_{*\mathbb{Z}_p}, \mu'_*)$.

Based on Lemma 4.2 we can also assume that μ does not factor through $Z(G)$; thus both $\mu' : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ are non-trivial. As μ is a cocharacter of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ of weights $\{-1, 0\}$, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the image of $\sigma^i(\mu)$ in a simple factor G_0 of G^{ad} is either a minuscule cocharacter of G_0 (i.e., it acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_0)$ via precisely the trivial, the identical, and the inverse of the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$) or trivial.

To prove Basic Theorem C it suffices to prove the statement 1.4 (a) (cf. Corollary 6.2) and thus (cf. (ii)) it suffices to show that by keeping fixed the triple $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, T'_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \mu')$, we can choose the family $\{(M, g\phi, G) | g \in G(W(k))\}$ of Shimura F-crystals over k such that the set R_G exists for it. Starting from the triple $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, T'_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \mu')$, most often we will only apply Theorem 10.1 and moreover:

(iii.a) make a good choice for $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and for a reductive, closed subgroup scheme $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ which contains $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$; implicitly M, G, and G_1 will be $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$, $G_{W(k)}$, and $G_{1W(k)}$ (respectively);

(iii.b) take the maximal torus $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ to be the inverse image of $T'_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$;

(iii.c) take $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ to be the unique cocharacter that lifts $\mu' : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}$, that defines a cocharacter of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ of weights $\{-1, 0\}$, and that does not act through a non-trivial scalar multiplication on any direct summand of M which is a G-module; implicitly ϕ will be $(1_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \otimes \sigma)\mu(\frac{1}{p})$.

It is always easy to check that the condition (i) of Theorem 10.1 and the parts of the condition (iv) of Theorem 10.1 which refer to $Z^0(G)$, $Z(G)$, and $Z(G_1)$ hold. Thus below we will not refer to these parts of the conditions of Theorem 10.1. For checking a stronger form of the condition (iii) of Theorem 10.1, let $\mu_{2k} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ be a cocharacter of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ of weights $\{-1, 0\}$ which factors through G_{1k} and for which we have $\mathrm{Im}(d\mu_{2k}) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$.

Let $k_0 \supseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ be the finite field extension such that $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{G}_{W(k_0)}$, with $\tilde{G}_{W(k_0)}$ an absolutely simple adjoint group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0))$ (cf. (i) and Subsection 3.1). Let k_1 be the smallest field extension of k_0 such that $\tilde{G}_{W(k_1)}$ is split. As $\tilde{G}_{W(k_0)}$ is not of ${}^3D_4$ Lie type (cf. [30, Cor. 2, p. 182]), we have $[k_1 : k_0] \leq 2$.

Based on the type τ of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \phi)$ (see Subsection 4.4), we will distinguish six disjoint Cases.

Case 1: $\tau = A_n$ and $k_1 = k_0$. Let $M_0 := W(k_0)^{n+1}$. We identify $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)} = \boldsymbol{SL}_{M_0}$. Let $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be M_0 but viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module. We identify $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p} Z(\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_0})(\mathbb{Z}_p)$) with the group of $W(k_0)$-linear automorphisms (resp. $W(k_0)$-linear scalar automorphisms) of $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Thus we can view $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$ and $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p} Z(\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_0})$ as closed subgroup schemes of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$; let $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ generated by them. Let $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p} := \boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$; thus $G_1 = \boldsymbol{GL}_M$. The monomorphism $G \hookrightarrow G_1$ is isomorphic to the standard monomorphism $\boldsymbol{GL}^{[k_0:\mathbb{F}_p]}_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_{(n+1)[k_0:\mathbb{F}_p]}$. From this and [30, p. 85] we get that there exists a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ as in (iii.c).

The restriction of Tr to $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \times \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is perfect, cf. Lemma 3.5 (i) and the description of $G \hookrightarrow G_1$. Thus we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(G) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$ of G-modules, where $\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$ is the perpendicular of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ with respect to Tr. As we have $\mathrm{Tr}(\phi(x), \phi(y)) =$

$\sigma(\mathrm{Tr}(x,y))$ for all $x,\ y \in \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$, the $B(k)$-vector space $\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is normalized by ϕ. Thus the condition (iv.a) of Theorem 10.1 holds. Also the condition (ii) of Theorem 10.1 holds, cf. Corollary 4.6. From Proposition 2.4 (b) applied with $S_0 = G_k$ and $S_1 = \mathrm{Im}(\mu_{2k})$, we get that $\mathrm{Im}(\mu_{2k})$ commutes with a maximal torus of G_k and thus also with $Z(G_k)$. Thus μ_{2k} factors through the centralizer of $Z(G_k)$ in G_{1k} which is G_k itself; thus the condition (iii) of Theorem 10.1 holds. We conclude that the set R_G exists, cf. Theorem 10.1.

Case 2: $p > 2$ and $\tau = C_n$. We have $k_0 = k_1$ and $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$ is the $\boldsymbol{Sp}$ group scheme of a symplectic space (M_0, ψ_0) over $W(k_0)$ of rank $2n$. Let $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := \mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p}\boldsymbol{GSp}(M_0, \psi_0)$. Let $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be as in Case 1.

Let $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p} := \mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p}\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_0}$. Condition (ii) of Theorem 10.1 holds, cf. Case 1 applied to (M, ϕ, G_1). As $p > 2$, the restriction of Tr to $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}}) \times \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}) \times \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is perfect (cf. Lemma 3.5 (iv)); thus we have a direct sum decomposition of G-modules $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}})^{\perp}$, where $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}})^{\perp}$ is the perpendicular of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}})$ with respect to the restriction of Tr to $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) \times \mathrm{Lie}(G_1)$. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})^{\perp} := \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}})^{\perp} \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}))$. As $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(G_1)) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G_1^{\mathrm{der}})^{\perp}$, we have a direct sum decomposition of G-modules $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})^{\perp}$. As in Case 1 we argue that ϕ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})^{\perp}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Thus condition (iv.b) of Theorem 10.1 holds. As the faithful representation $G \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_M$ is isomorphic to the standard faithful representation of rank $2n[k_0 : \mathbb{F}_p]$ of a $\boldsymbol{GSp}_{2n}^{[k_0:\mathbb{F}_p]}$ group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$, from [30, p. 186] we get that there exists a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ as in (iii.c). Due to the description of $G \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{GL}_M$, to check the condition (iii) of Theorem 10.1 we can assume $k_0 = \mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\bar{l}_2$ be the image through $d\mu_{2k}$ of the standard generator of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. As $\bar{l}_2 \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$, both $\bar{l}_2(\bar{M})$ and $(1_{\bar{M}} + \bar{l}_2)(\bar{M})$ are maximal isotropic subspaces of $\bar{M} = M_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} k$ with respect to the reduction mod p of ψ_0. It is easy to see that this implies that μ_{2k} factors through G_k. Thus the condition (iii) of Theorem 10.1 holds. We conclude that the set R_G exists, cf. Theorem 10.1.

Case 3: $p > 2$ and $\tau = D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$. As $[k_1 : k_0] \in \{1, 2\}$, $\tilde{G}_{k_0}$ is the adjoint group of the $\boldsymbol{SO}$ group $\tilde{G}^1_{k_0}$ of a quadratic form on k_0^{2n} that is either $x_1x_2 + \cdots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n}$ or $x_1x_2 + \cdots + x_{2n-3}x_{2n-2} + x_{2n-1}^2 - \bar{a}x_{2n}^2$ with $\bar{a} \in k_0$ not a square (cf. [11, Thm. 2.2.6 and Sect. 2.7]). Based on Subsection 3.1, the group scheme $\tilde{G}_{W(k_0)}$ is the adjoint of the $\boldsymbol{SO}$ group scheme $\tilde{G}^1_{W(k_0)}$ of a quadratic form on $M_0 := W(k_0)^{2n}$ that is either $x_1x_2 + \cdots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n}$ or $x_1x_2 + \cdots + x_{2n-3}x_{2n-2} + x_{2n-1}^2 - ax_{2n}^2$, where $a \in W(k_0)$ lifts $\bar{a}$. This case is in essence the same as Case 2: we only have to replace $\boldsymbol{GSp}_{2n}$ group schemes by $\boldsymbol{GSO}$ group schemes of rank $n+1$. Only one thing needs to be dealt with separately. See [2, plate IV] for standard weights and nodes associated to the D_n Lie type.

The existence of μ as in (iii.c) is implied by the D_n case of [30, p. 186] as follows. Let θ be the set of morphisms $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0))$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-schemes. We identify

$$G_{1W(k)} = \prod_{e \in \theta} \boldsymbol{GL}_{M_0} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0))}{}_e\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \ \text{ and } \ G^{\mathrm{der}} = \prod_{e \in \theta} \tilde{G}^{1e}_{W(k_0)},$$

where $\tilde{G}^{1e}_{W(k_0)} := \tilde{G}^1_{W(k_0)} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0))}{}_e\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \leqslant \boldsymbol{GL}_{M_0} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0))}{}_e\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. We first consider the case $n = 4$ and $k_1 = k_0$. In this case μ exists for only one out of the three possible choices for $\tilde{G}^1_{W(k_0)}$. More precisely, the non-trivial images of $\sigma^i(\mu')$'s with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ in a simple factor $\tilde{G}^{1ead}_{W(k)}$ of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$, are minuscule cocharacters that correspond (up to $N_T(W(k))$-conjugation and a numbering of the extremal nodes) to the last nodes 3 and 4 of the Dynkin diagram of $\tilde{G}^{1ead}_{W(k)}$ with respect to the image of $T'_{W(k)}$ in $\tilde{G}^{1ead}_{W(k)}$ (i.e., are $\varpi_3^{\vee}$ and $\varpi_4^{\vee}$ cocharacters). The fact that only (resp. that at most two) extremal nodes show up is implied by loc. cit. The fact that at least two extremal nodes show up is implied by the definition of the $D_4^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, cf. Subsubsection

4.2.1. Thus $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k_0)}$ is such that the weight of the $\tilde{G}^{1e}_{W(k)}$-module $M_0 \otimes_{W(k_0)} {}_eW(k)$ is ϖ_1, cf. loc. cit. The case $i = 0$ also shows that μ exists, cf. loc. cit.

If $n \geq 5$ or if $n = 4$ and $k_1 \neq k_0$, then there exists a unique isogeny cover $\tilde{G}^1_{W(k_0)}$ of $\tilde{G}_{W(k_0)}$ as in the previous paragraph. The existence of μ is argued as in the case $n = 4$ and $k_1 = k_0$ (for $n \geq 5$, the mentioned minuscule cocharacters correspond either to one or to both nodes $n-1$ and n and thus are $\varpi^\vee_{n-1}$ and $\varpi^\vee_n$ cocharacters).

Case 4: $p > 2$ and τ is B_n or $D^{\mathbb{R}}_n$. We first consider the case $\tau = D^{\mathbb{R}}_n$. We fix embeddings $W(k_0) \hookrightarrow W(k_1) \hookrightarrow W(k)$. Below the nodes (resp. the weights) are of the Dynkin diagram of $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ with respect to the pull back of T' to a maximal torus of $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$. If $k_1 \neq k_0$ and $n \geq 5$, then the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{W(k_0)}(W(k_1)) = \mathrm{Gal}(k_1/k_0)$ of order 2 permutes the two weights of the half spin representations of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_1)}$. If $n = 4$ and $k_1 \neq k_0$, then we consider the two weights that are permuted by $\mathrm{Gal}(k_1/k_0)$ and that define half spin representations. If $n = 4$ and $k_1 = k_0$, then we consider the two weights ϖ_{i_1} and ϖ_{i_2} that define half spin representations of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$ such that the non-trivial cocharacters of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ defined by $\sigma^i(\mu)$'s with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, are minuscule cocharacters corresponding (up to $N_T(W(k))$-conjugation) to the node α_{i_3}, where i_3 is such that $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} = \{1, 3, 4\}$ (i.e., are $\varpi^\vee_{i_3}$ cocharacters).

Regardless of what $n \geq 4$ and $[k_1 : k_0] \in \{1, 2\}$ are, there exists a well defined spin representation of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$ on a free $W(k_0)$-module M_1 of rank 2^n (associated to the considered pair of weights). We write $M_1 \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(k_1) = M^{(1)}_1 \oplus M^{(2)}_1$, where $M^{(1)}_1$ and $M^{(2)}_1$ are the two half spin representations of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_1)}$. Let $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be M_1 but viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module. Let $\varepsilon := -(-1)^{[k_1:k_0]}$. Let $\boldsymbol{GGSpin}^{\varepsilon}_{2n}$ be the subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_1}$ generated by $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$ and the torus whose pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1))$ is $Z(\boldsymbol{GL}_{M^{(1)}_1}) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1))} Z(\boldsymbol{GL}_{M^{(2)}_1})$. Let $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := \mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p} \boldsymbol{GGSpin}^{\varepsilon}_{2n}$. Let $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of the closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_1}$ whose pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1))$ is $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M^{(1)}_1} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1))} \boldsymbol{GL}_{M^{(2)}_1}$. If $[k_1 : k_0] = 2$, then $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_1)/\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of a $\boldsymbol{GL}_{2^{n-1}}$ group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1))$. If $k_1 = k_0$, then $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of a $\boldsymbol{GL}^2_{2^{n-1}}$ group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0))$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ be as in (iii.c), cf. [30, p. 186] and the definition of the $D^{\mathbb{R}}_n$ type. The condition (ii) of Theorem 10.1 holds, cf. Case 1.

The restriction of Tr to either $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \times \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ or $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) \times \mathrm{Lie}(G_1)$ is perfect; this follows from Lemma 3.5 (v) once we remark that G_1 is a product of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{2^{n-1}}$ group schemes and that the restriction Tr_1 of Tr to $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) \times \mathrm{Lie}(G_1)$, is the standard trace form. Thus we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(G) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G)^\perp$ of G-modules, where $\mathrm{Lie}(G)^\perp$ is the perpendicular of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ with respect to Tr_1. As in Case 1 we argue that ϕ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G)^\perp[\frac{1}{p}]$. Thus the condition (iv.a) of Theorem 10.1 holds.

To check the condition (iii) of Theorem 10.1 we can assume $k_0 = \mathbb{F}_p$. Let G^{n} be the normalizer of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ in G_1. It is a closed subgroup scheme of G_1 that contains G. We check that G^{n} is smooth and $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{n}}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. It suffices to show that $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{n}}_k) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$. Let $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)^\perp / p\mathrm{Lie}(G)^\perp$ be such that it normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$. We have $[\bar{x}, \mathrm{Lie}(G_k)] \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G_k) \cap (\mathrm{Lie}(G)^\perp / p\mathrm{Lie}(G)^\perp) = 0$; thus $\bar{x}$ centralizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$. Let T^0_k be a maximal torus of G^{der}_k. The weights of the T^0_k-module $\bar{M}$ are distinct and their non-zero differences are (as $p > 2$) not divisible by p in the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of characters of T^0_k. This can be checked over $\mathbb{C}$ and thus it follows from [9, Part III, Exercise 18.4, Prop. 20.15]: the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of characters of T^0_k is the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of $\oplus^n_{i=1} \mathbb{Q} l_i$ generated by $l_1, \ldots, l_{n-1}$, and $\frac{1}{2}(l_1 + \cdots + l_n)$; the weights are $\frac{1}{2}(l_1 + \cdots + l_n) - \sum_{i \in I} l_i$ with I an arbitrary subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Due to the fact that the non-zero differences of these weights are not divisible by p, the centralizer of T^0_k in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ is a maximal torus T^1_k of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$. Thus the centralizer of T^0_k in $\mathrm{End}_k(\bar{M})$ is $\mathrm{Lie}(T^1_k)$. Therefore $\bar{x}$ belongs to the Lie algebra of the intersections of all such centralizers T^1_k's. This intersection of tori commutes with all tori of G_k and thus also with G_k. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the weights of the action of T^0_k on $M^{(i)}_1 \otimes_{W(k_1)} k$ are permuted transitively by the Weil group of G^{sc}_k with respect to T^0_k (cf. loc. cit.) and thus the G_k-module $M^{(i)}_1 \otimes_{W(k_1)} k$ is irreducible. Thus the centralizer of G_k in $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ is $Z(G_k)$. Therefore $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{Lie}(Z(G_k)) \cap (\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}/p\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}) = 0$; thus $\bar{x} = 0$. We conclude that G^{n} is smooth and that $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{n}}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Thus G is the identity component of G^{n}. But μ_{2k} normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$ (cf. Proposition 2.4 (b)) and thus it factors through the identity component G_k of G^{n}_k. Therefore the condition (iii) of Theorem 10.1 holds. Therefore the set R_G exists, cf. Theorem 10.1.

Suppose $\tau = B_n$. As $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$ is split, we have $k_1 = k_0$. Let M_1 be the $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$-module that defines the spin representation of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$. Let $\boldsymbol{GSpin}_{2n+1}$ be the subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_1}$ generated by $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$ and $Z(\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_1})$. Let $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := \mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p}\boldsymbol{GGSpin}_{2n+1}$. Let $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p} := \mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p}\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_1}$. Using [9, Prop. 20.20] as a substitute for [9, Prop. 20.15], the rest of the argument is (i.e., the last two paragraphs are) as above.

Case 5: $p = 2$ and τ is B_n, C_n, $D^{\mathbb{H}}_n$, or $D^{\mathbb{R}}_n$. In this Case we will show directly that there exist elements $w_1, \ldots, w_{[W_G:W_P]}$ of W_G such that: (i) the orbits $o_{\bar{g}_{w_1}}, \ldots, o_{\bar{g}_{w_{[W_G:W_P]}}}$ of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ are distinct and (ii) for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, [W_G : W_P]\}$ we have $\mathbb{S}(w_i) = d^{\mathrm{cl}}_i - d_u$ (see Subsubsection 4.1.1 and the properties (iv) and (v) of Subsection 6.1 for notations). Based on the Basic Theorem A and Theorem 6.1 (b), this will imply that $\mathbb{O} = \{o_{\bar{g}_{w_i}} | 1 \leq i \leq [W_G : W_P]\}$. Thus the set R_G exists, cf. Lemma 5.1 (a) and Lemma 4.5 (d).

If $p > 2$, the existence of the elements $w_1, \ldots, w_{[W_G:W_P]}$ follows from Cases 2 to 4 and Theorem 6.1 (a). To check for $p = 2$ the existence of such elements $w_1, \ldots, w_{[W_G:W_P]}$, we first remark that for $w \in W_G$ the permutation π_w of Subsubsection 4.1.1 is only the natural action of $w\sigma$ on the root system Φ of Subsubsection 3.3.1. Thus the number $\mathbb{S}(w)$ of Subsubsection 4.1.1 can be computed in terms of w and of the automorphism $\sigma : \Phi \overset{\sim}{\to} \Phi$. The relation $\mathcal{R}$ on W_G which keeps track on when two orbits $o_{\bar{g}_{w_{i_1}}}$ and $o_{\bar{g}_{w_{i_2}}}$ are distinct (see Basic Theorem B; here $w_{i_1}, w_{i_2} \in W_G$), is determined by the action of σ on Φ and by the cocharacter $\mu' : \mathbb{G}_m \to T'_{W(k)}$. Here μ' is an element of the abelian group of cocharacters $X_*(T'_{W(k)})$ of $T'_{W(k)}$ and Φ is a subset of the abelian group of characters $X^*(T'_{W(k)})$ of $T'_{W(k)}$. We conclude that the existence of elements $w_1, \ldots, w_{[W_G:W_P]}$ having the desired properties, is encoded in the data provided by the following quintuple

$$(X_*(T'_{W(k)}), X^*(T'_{W(k)}), \mu', \Phi, \sigma),$$

where σ is an automorphism of the root system Φ and thus also of the Weyl group $W_G = W_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$ of Φ. But this quintuple does not depend on the prime p i.e., for each odd prime l there exists a Shimura F-crystal $(M^{(l)}, \phi^{(l)}, G^{(l)})$ over an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_l$ whose analogue quintuple is $(X_*(T'_{W(k)}), X^*(T'_{W(k)}), \mu', \Phi, \sigma)$. This is a direct consequence of the constructions performed in Cases 2 to 4 which were based only on the data provided by such quintuples. Here are two examples. If $\tau = B_n$, then the $\mathbb{Z}_l$-structure $G^{(l)\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}$ of $G^{(l)\mathrm{ad}}$ is the Weyl restriction $\mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{l^{[k_0:\mathbb{F}_p]}})/\mathbb{Z}_l}$ of a split, absolutely simple adjoint group of B_n Lie type and $(M^{(l)}, \phi^{(l)}, G^{(l)})$ is obtained as in Case 4 using spin representations. If $\tau = D^{\mathbb{H}}_n$ and $[k_1 : k_0] = 2$, then the $\mathbb{Z}_l$-structure $G^{(l)\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}$ of $G^{(l)\mathrm{ad}}$ is the Weyl restriction $\mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{l^{[k_0:\mathbb{F}_p]}})/\mathbb{Z}_l}$ of a non-split, absolutely simple adjoint group of ${}^2D_n$ Lie type and $(M^{(l)}, \phi^{(l)}, G^{(l)})$ is obtained as in Case 3 using representations of rank $2n$ and quadratic forms.

Thus the existence of elements $w_1, \ldots, w_{[W_G:W_P]}$ having the properties (i) and (ii), follows from the first sentence of the previous paragraph. Therefore the set R_G exists.

Case 6: $\tau = A_{n-1}$ with $n \geq 3$ and $k_1 \neq k_0$. Let $M_1 := W(k_1)^n$. We identify $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_1)} = \boldsymbol{SL}_{M_1}$. Let $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be M_1 but viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module. We identify naturally $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \mathrm{Res}_{W(k_0)/\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_0)}$ with a closed subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_1)/\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{G}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{W(k_1)}$ and thus of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$. Up to a $\mathbb{G}_m(W(k_0))$-multiple, there exists a unique perfect, alternating form on $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ that is $W(k_0)$-linear and that is fixed by G^{der}. This implies that there exists a unique reductive subgroup scheme $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ that contains $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and such that $G_{1W(k_0)}$ is a $\boldsymbol{GSp}_{2n}^{[k_0:\mathbb{F}_p]}$ group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0))$. Let $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be generated by $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and by the center of the centralizer of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$. The monomorphism $G^{\mathrm{der}} \hookrightarrow G_1^{\mathrm{der}}$ is a product of $[k_0 : \mathbb{F}_p]$ copies of the standard monomorphism $\boldsymbol{SL}_n \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{Sp}_{2n}$. The ranks of $Z(G)$ and $Z(G_1)$ are $2[k_0 : \mathbb{F}_p]$ and $[k_0 : \mathbb{F}_p]$ (respectively). From the last two sentences we get that the ranks of G and G_1 are $(n+1)[k_0 : \mathbb{F}_p]$. Thus $T = T_1$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ be as in Case 1. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_1} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be the root decomposition relative to T; we have $\Phi \subseteq \Phi_1$. The T-submodule

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp} := \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_1 \setminus \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)$ is uniquely determined by the identity $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(G) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$. As ϕ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$, $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$, and $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{1B(k)})$, it also normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}[\frac{1}{p}]$ i.e., the condition (iv.a) of Theorem 10.1 holds. Let $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $\alpha_1 \in \Phi_1 \setminus \Phi$ be such that $\alpha + \alpha_1 \in \Phi_1$. If $\alpha + \alpha_1 \in \Phi$, then $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}] = [\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}]] \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]$ (cf. Chevalley rule for the equality part) contradicts the relation $\alpha_1 \in \Phi_1 \setminus \Phi$. Thus $(\Phi + (\Phi_1 \setminus \Phi)) \cap \Phi_1 \subseteq \Phi_1 \setminus \Phi$. From this and the Chevalley rule we get that the direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(G) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$ is left invariant by $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Thus this direct sum decomposition is normalized by any maximal torus of G and therefore also by G itself. Thus $\mathrm{Lie}(G)^{\perp}$ is a G-module. The centralizer of a maximal torus of G_k in G_{1k} is this torus itself and thus it is contained in G_k. From this and Proposition 2.4 (b) we get that μ_{2k} factors through G_k. Thus the condition (iii) of Theorem 10.1 holds. Also the condition (ii) of Theorem 10.1 holds, cf. Case 2 if $p > 2$ and cf. Case 5 if $p = 2$. The rest is as in Case 1 i.e., Theorem 10.1 implies that the set R_G exists. This ends the proof of the statement 1.4 (a) and thus also of Basic Theorem C and of Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2. $\square$

Corollary 11.1. (a) *Conjecture 2.1 holds for* $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}, F) = (G_k, P_k, T_k, \sigma)$.

(b) *We have* $\mathbb{O} = \{o_{\bar{g}_w} | w \in W_G\}$ *and the set* $\mathbb{O}$ *has precisely* $[W_G : W_P]$ *elements.*

(c) *There exists a unique equivalence class* $[w] \in \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G$ *called the pivotal class (equivalently there exists a unique orbit* $o_{\bar{g}_w} \in \mathbb{O}$ *called the pivotal orbit) such that* $\dim(o_{\bar{g}_w}) = \dim(P_k)$ *(equivalently such that* $\dim(o_{\bar{g}_w}) \leq \dim(P_k)$*). Moreover, for* $g \in G(W(k))$ *we have* $< \mathcal{C}_g > = < \mathcal{C}_{g_w} >$ *if and only if* $< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} > = < \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w} >$ *(i.e., with the notations of Corollary 1.2, the subset* $Mod_p^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w})$ *of* $\mathbb{I}_\infty$ *has only one element* $Lift(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}_w})$*).*

(d) *Let* $g \in G(W(k))$. *Then* $< \mathcal{C}_g > \in Im(Lift)$ *if and only if there exists a maximal torus* $\tilde{T}$ *of* G *such that* $g\phi$ *normalizes* $Lie(\tilde{T})$. *Thus* $Im(Lift)$ *does not depend on the choices of* $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ *and* T*; therefore the section* $Lift : \mathbb{I} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_\infty$ *of* $Mod_p : \mathbb{I}_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{I}$ *is indeed canonical.*

Proof: Part (a) follows from Basic Theorem C and Lemma 5.1 (b). Part (b) only translates Corollary 1.1 and Lemma 5.1 (a). Based on (a) and the fact that the composite map $b_{\mathrm{can}} \circ a_{\mathrm{can}} : \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G \to \mathbb{O}$ is an isomorphism, (c) only combines Remark 6.4 (c) with Theorem 8.3.

We check (d). As $g_w\phi$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ for all $w \in W_G$ (cf. Subsubsection 4.2.1), we only need to check that if there exists a maximal torus $\tilde{T}$ of G such that $g\phi$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T})$, then there exists $w \in W_G$ such that $< \mathcal{C}_g > = < \mathcal{C}_{g_w} >$. As $g\phi$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T})$, we have $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}) \subseteq p\mathrm{Lie}(G) + \mathrm{Lie}(P) = \mathrm{Lie}(G) \cap (g\phi)^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$. Thus $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}_k) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(P_k)$. Let A'_k and A''_k be subgroups of G_k as in the proof of Theorem 7.6. Let $\tilde{T}'_k := \tilde{T}_k \cap A'_k$ and $\tilde{T}''_k := \tilde{T}_k \cap A''_k$;

they are maximal tori of A'_k and A''_k. We have $A''_k \leqslant L_k \leqslant P_k$; thus $\tilde{T}''_k \leqslant P_k$. The intersection $A'_k \cap P_k$ is a parabolic subgroup of A'_k whose Lie algebra contains $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T}'_k)$. As $\tilde{T}'_k$ is generated by cocharacters of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\bar{M}}$ of weights $\{-1, 0\}$, it centralizes a maximal torus I'_k of $A'_k \cap P_k$ (cf. Proposition 2.4 (b)) and thus it is contained in I'_k. As $\tilde{T}_k$ is generated by $\tilde{T}'_k$ and $\tilde{T}''_k$, we get that $\tilde{T}_k \leqslant P_k$. Let $\bar{h} \in P(k)$ be such that $\bar{h}\tilde{T}_k\bar{h}^{-1} = T_k$. Let $h \in P(W(k)) \leqslant \mathbb{L}$ be a lift of $\bar{h}$ such that $h\tilde{T}h^{-1} = T$, cf. Subsubsection 3.3.2. By replacing g with $hg\phi(h^{-1})$, we can assume $\tilde{T} = T$. Thus $g\phi$ and ϕ both normalize $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$; thus $g \in N_T(W(k))$. Let $w \in W_G$ be such that $g \in T(W(k))g_w$. We have $< \mathcal{C}_g > = < \mathcal{C}_{g_w} >$, cf. Lemma 4.1. Thus (d) holds. $\square$

11.2. Three functorial complements

(a) In Case 6 of Subsection 11.1, as $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ we can also take $\mathrm{Res}_{W(k_1)/\mathbb{Z}_p}\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_1}$. Conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 10.1 hold. But the condition (iii) of Theorem 10.1 does not hold if and only if $p = 2$, n is even, and L^{ad} has simple factors of $A_{\frac{n}{2}}$ Lie types. The condition (iv) of Theorem 10.1 does not hold if $p = 2$ and n is even.

(b) Let $\tilde{G}$ be a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_M$ that contains G and $(M, \phi, \tilde{G})$ is a Shimura F-crystal. Let $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ whose pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ is $\tilde{G}$, cf. Subsection 4.2. Let $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a maximal torus of the centralizer of $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, cf. Proposition 3.3 (a) and (e). Let $\tilde{T} := \tilde{T}_{W(k)}$. Let $N_{\tilde{T}}$, $W_{\tilde{G}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Lift}} : \tilde{\mathbb{I}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_\infty$, $\tilde{a}_{\mathrm{can}} : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\backslash W_{\tilde{G}} \tilde{\rightarrow} \tilde{\mathbb{I}}$, and $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ be the analogues of N_T, W_G, $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathrm{Lift} : \mathbb{I} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_\infty$, $a_{\mathrm{can}} : \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G \tilde{\rightarrow} \mathbb{I}$, and $\mathbb{O}$ (respectively) but obtained working with $(M, \tilde{g}\phi, \tilde{G})$'s and $\tilde{T}$ instead of $\mathcal{C}_g$'s and T; here $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}(W(k))$ and $g \in G(W(k))$. If $w \in W_G$, let $\tilde{G}^{g_w}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the reductive, closed subgroup scheme of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{M^{g_w}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ whose pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ is $\tilde{G}$ (cf. Subsection 4.2) and let $\tilde{T}^{g_w}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a maximal torus of the centralizer of $T^{g_w}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $\tilde{G}^{g_w}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. It is easy to see that $g_w\phi$ normalizes the Lie algebra of the maximal torus $\tilde{T}^{g_w}_{W(k)}$ of $\tilde{G}$. From this and Corollary 11.1 (d) we get that for each $w \in W_G$ we have $< (M, g_w\phi, \tilde{G}) > \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Lift}}(\tilde{\mathbb{I}})$.

The maps $b_{\mathrm{can}} : \mathbb{I} \tilde{\rightarrow} \mathbb{O}$, $\mathrm{Mod}_p : \mathbb{I}_\infty \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{I}$, and $\mathrm{Lift} : \mathbb{I} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_\infty$ are functorial. In particular, we have an identity

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Lift}} \circ \mathrm{Func} = \mathrm{Func}_\infty \circ \mathrm{Lift} : \mathbb{I} \to \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_\infty,$$

where $\mathrm{Func} : \mathbb{I} \to \tilde{\mathbb{I}}$ and $\mathrm{Func}_\infty : \mathbb{I}_\infty \to \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_\infty$ are defined by $\mathrm{Func}(< \mathcal{C}_{\bar{g}} >) = < (\bar{M}, \bar{g}\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}^{-1}, \tilde{G}_k) >$ and $\mathrm{Func}_\infty(< \mathcal{C}_g >) = < (M, g\phi, \tilde{G}) >$. Let $a_{\mathrm{can}} : \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G \to \mathbb{I}$ be as in Corollary 1.1.

If moreover $\tilde{T}$ is the centralizer of T in $\tilde{G}$, then W_G is naturally a subgroup of $W_{\tilde{G}}$. In this case we also have

$$\mathrm{Func} \circ a_{\mathrm{can}} = \tilde{a}_{\mathrm{can}} \circ \mathrm{Nat} : \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G \to \tilde{\mathbb{I}},$$

where $\mathrm{Nat} : \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\backslash W_{\tilde{G}}$ is the natural map induced by the inclusion $W_G \hookrightarrow W_{\tilde{G}}$.

(c) Referring to Corollary 1.1, one can use the ideas of either [22, Thms. 4.7 and 5.5] or [20, Sect. 2] to show that there exists a natural bijection $b_G : \mathcal{R}\backslash W_G \tilde{\rightarrow} W_P\backslash W_G$. We emphasize that the definition of b_G is complicated and that b_G is rarely functorial and thus it is not truly canonical.

§12. Applications to stratifications

We use the notations of Subsection 4.1. See Subsection 5.1 for the action $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ and for the topological space $\mathbb{O}$ of orbits of $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ endowed with the order $\leq$. Let Y be a separated, reduced k-scheme. Let $F_Y : Y \to Y$ be its Frobenius endomorphism. Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_Y$ be the pull back to Y of the vector bundle over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ defined by $\bar{M}$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a vector bundle over Y, let $\mathcal{B}_*$ be its pull-back to a vector bundle over a Y-scheme $*$.

Subsection 12.1 defines analogues of $\mathcal{C}_g$'s over Y called D-bundles mod p over Y; a standard example is presented in Example 12.2. Basic Theorem D and Corollary 12.3 assume Y is of finite type over k and list the main properties of the stratifications of Y defined by D-bundles mod p over Y. We end with two remarks.

12.1. D-bundles mod p

By a D-bundle mod p over Y associated to $\{\mathcal{C}_g | g \in G(W(k))\}$ we mean a quadruple $\mathcal{W} := (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$, where

(i) $\mathcal{K}$ is a vector bundle over Y of rank r,

(ii) $\mathcal{F} : F_Y^*(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{K} \to F_Y^*(\mathcal{K})$ are homomorphisms of vector bundles,

(iii) $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_i, \rho_i) | i \in I\}$ is an atlas of maps such that the following four things hold:

(iii.a) each $U_i = \mathrm{Spec}(R_i)$ is an étale Y-scheme which is an affine scheme and $\rho_i : \mathcal{K}_{U_i} \tilde{\to} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{U_i}$ is an isomorphism with respect to which there exists a morphism $\bar{g}_i : U_i \to G_k$ such that the pull back of $\mathcal{F}$ to U_i becomes an R_i-linear map $\bar{M} \otimes_{k\,\sigma} R_i \to \bar{M} \otimes_k R_i$ that maps $x \otimes 1$ to $\bar{g}_i(\bar{\phi}(x) \otimes 1)$ and the pull back of $\mathcal{V}$ to U_i becomes an R_i-linear map $\bar{M} \otimes_k R_i \to \bar{M} \otimes_{k\,\sigma} R_i$ that maps $\bar{g}_i(\bar{x} \otimes 1)$ to $\bar{\vartheta}(x) \otimes 1$, where $\bar{x} \in \bar{M}$ and where we identify $\bar{g}_i$ with an element of $G_k(R_i)$;

(iii.b) if $i,\ j \in I$ and $U_{ij} := U_i \times_Y U_j = \mathrm{Spec}(R_{ij})$, then $\rho_{jU_{ij}} \circ \rho_{iU_{ij}}^{-1}$ is the automorphism of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{U_{ij}}$ defined by an element of $P_k(R_{ij})$;

(iii.c) the set $\{\mathrm{Im}(U_i \to Y) | i \in I\}$ is an open cover of Y;

(iii.d) it is not strictly included in any other atlas satisfying the properties (iii.a) to (iii.c).

Due to (i) and (iii.b), $\mathcal{K}$ has a natural structure of a P_k-bundle over Y. Let $y \in Y(k)$. Let $i \in I$ be such that there exists a point $y_i \in U_i(k)$ that maps to y, cf. (iii.c). Let $\bar{g}_{iy_i} := \bar{g}_i \circ y_i \in G_k(k)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{iy_i} := (\bar{M}, \bar{g}_{iy_i}\bar{\phi}, \bar{\vartheta}\bar{g}_{iy_i}^{-1}, G_k)$. Due to (iii.b), the inner isomorphism class $< \mathcal{C}_{iy_i} > \in \mathbb{I}$ depends only on y and not on the choices of $i \in I$, $y_i \in U_i(k)$, and $\bar{g}_i$; thus we can define $< \mathcal{C}_y > := < \mathcal{C}_{iy_i} >$ as well as $o(y) := o_{\bar{g}_{iy_i}} \in \mathbb{O}$. Let $\mathbb{S}(y)$ be the dimension of the reduced stabilizer subgroup of $\bar{g}_{iy_i}$ under $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$.

Definition 12.1. **(a)** We say $\mathcal{W}$ is *uni+versal* if for each point $y \in Y(k)$ there exists a map $(U_i, \rho_i) \in \mathcal{A}$ with the properties that: (i) $y \in \mathrm{Im}(U_i(k) \to Y(k))$ and (ii) we can choose $\bar{g}_i : U_i \to G_k$ such that its composite with the quotient k-morphism $G_k \to P_k \backslash G_k$, is étale.

(b) We say $\mathcal{W}$ is *full* if for each point $y \in Y(k)$ there exists a pair $(U_i, \rho_i) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $y \in \mathrm{Im}(U_i(k) \to Y(k))$ and we can choose $\bar{g}_i$ to be étale.

If $\mathcal{W}$ is uni+versal (resp. full), then Y is a smooth k-scheme which is equidimensional of dimension $\dim(N_k) = \dim(P_k \backslash G_k)$ (resp. of dimension $\dim(G_k)$).

12.1.1. Augmentations

Suppose $\mathcal{W}$ is uni+versal. We will augment Y and $\mathcal{W}$ to get naturally a D-bundle mod p that is full. Let $\tilde{Y} := P_k \times_k Y$. Let $q_1 : \tilde{Y} \to P_k$ and $q_2 : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ be the two projections. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = (\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ be the pull back of $\mathcal{W}$ via q_2, with $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ a maximal atlas which contains $q_2^*(\mathcal{A})$. Let $i \in I$. Let $h_i : P_k \times_k U_i \to G_k$ be the composite of the first projection $P_k \times_k U_i \twoheadrightarrow P_k$ with the inclusion $P_k \hookrightarrow G_k$. Let $I_{h_i} : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{P_k \times_k U_i} \tilde{\to} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{P_k \times_k U_i}$ be the automorphism defined by inner conjugation through h_i. Let $\tilde{\rho}_i := I_{h_i} \circ (q_2^*(\rho_i)) : \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{P_k \times_k U_i} \tilde{\to} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{P_k \times_k U_i}$.

Let $\tilde{\bar{g}}_i := h_i(\bar{g}_i \circ q_2)h_{i0}^{-1} : P_k \times_k U_i \to G_k$, where h_{i0} is the composite of the restriction of $q_1 \circ F_{\tilde{Y}}$ to $P_k \times_k U_i$ with the epimorphism $P_k \twoheadrightarrow P_k/U_k = L_k$ and with the monomorphism

$L_k \hookrightarrow G_k$. If the composite of $\bar{g}_i : U_i \to G_k$ with the quotient k-morphism $G_k \to P_k\backslash G_k$ is étale, then the morphism $\tilde{\bar{g}}_i h_{i0} = h_i(\bar{g}_i \circ q_2) : P_k \times_k U_i \to G_k$ is also étale; as the images of the tangent maps of $\tilde{\bar{g}}_i$ and $\tilde{\bar{g}}_i h_{i0}$ at an arbitrary k-valued point of $P_k \times_k U_i$ have the same dimensions, the morphism $\tilde{\bar{g}}_i : P_k \times_k U_i \to G_k$ is also étale. This implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is full.

Example 12.2. Suppose $r = 2d$. Let $d_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the relative dimension of N over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. Let Z be a smooth scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ of relative dimension d_0. Let $Y := Z_k$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an abelian scheme over Z of relative dimension d. Let $\mathcal{M}_0 := \underline{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathfrak{A}/Z)$; it is a vector bundle over Z of rank r. Let $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ be the general linear group scheme over Z defined by $\mathcal{M}_0$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the reduction mod p of $\mathcal{M}_0$; it is a vector bundle over Y of rank r. The evaluation of the Dieudonné crystal of $\mathfrak{A}$ at the trivial thickening of Y, (when viewed without connection) consists of two pairs $\mathcal{F} : F_Y^*(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{K} \to F_Y^*(\mathcal{K})$ of homomorphisms of vector bundles. Suppose that the vector bundle $\mathcal{M}_0$ over Z has in the étale topology of Z a structure of a G-bundle.

Let C_y be the completion of the local ring of a point $y \in Y(k)$ in Z. Let $Z_y = \mathrm{Spec}(C_y)$. We fix an identification $C_y = W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_{d_0}]]$ and we consider the Frobenius lift Φ_{C_y} of C_y that is compatible with σ and that takes x_i to x_i^p for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d_0\}$. Let $M_{0y} := H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathfrak{A} \times_Z Z_y/C_y)$; it is a free C_y-module of rank r. Let $\Phi_y : M_{0y} \to M_{0y}$ be the Φ_{C_y}-linear endomorphism defined naturally by $\mathfrak{A} \times_Z Z_y$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{Z_y}$ be the G-bundle over Z_y defined by $M \otimes_{W(k)} C_y$. We also assume that the following condition holds:

(*) for each k-valued point y of Y, there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_0 \times_Z Z_y \tilde{\to} \mathcal{M}_{Z_y}$ of G-bundles defined by an isomorphism $M_{0y} \tilde{\to} M \otimes_{W(k)} C_y$ under which Φ_{0y} gets identified with the Φ_{0y}-linear endomorphism $n_{\mathrm{univ}}(h_y \phi \otimes \Phi_{C_y})$ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} C_y$, where $h_y \in G(W(k))$ and where $n_{\mathrm{univ}} : Z_y \to N$ is formally étale.

Based on (*) and Artin's approximation theorem, we get that there exists an étale morphism $U_y = \mathrm{Spec}(R_y) \to Y$, an isomorphism $\rho_y : \mathcal{K}_{U_y} \to \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{U_y}$ of G_k-bundles, and a morphism $\bar{g}_y : U_y \to G_k$ such that the following two things hold: (i) the pull back of $\mathcal{F}$ to U_y becomes (via ρ_y) an R_y-linear map $\bar{M} \otimes_{k\,\sigma} R_y \to \bar{M} \otimes_k R_y$ that maps $x \otimes 1$ to $\bar{g}_y(\bar{\phi}(x) \otimes 1)$ and the pull back of $\mathcal{V}$ to U_y becomes (via ρ_y) an R_y-linear map $\bar{M} \otimes_k R_y \to \bar{M} \otimes_{k\,\sigma} R_y$ that maps $\bar{g}_y(\bar{x} \otimes 1)$ to $\bar{\vartheta}(x) \otimes 1$, where $\bar{x} \in \bar{M}$ and where we identify $\bar{g}_y$ with an element of $G_k(R_y)$, and (ii) the composite of $\bar{g}_y : U_y \to G_k$ with the quotient morphism $G_k \to G_k/P_k$ is étale.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_i, \rho_i) | i \in I\}$ be a maximal atlas such that $Y(k) \subseteq I$ and the property (iii) of Subsection 12.1 holds for it. The quadruple $\mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ is a uni+versal $\mathcal{D}$-bundle mod p over Y.

12.2. Basic Theorem D

Suppose Y is of finite type over k. Let $\mathcal{W} := (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ be a D-bundle mod p over Y associated to the family $\{\mathcal{C}_g | g \in G(W(k))\}$. Then the following six basic properties hold:

(a) *There exists a stratification $\mathcal{Y}$ of Y in reduced, locally closed subschemes such that y_1, $y_2 \in Y(k)$ belong to the same stratum of $\mathcal{Y}$ if and only if $<\mathcal{C}_{y_1}>=<\mathcal{C}_{y_2}>$ (i.e., if and only if $o(y_1) = o(y_2)$, cf. Lemma 5.1 (a)).*

(b) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is full (resp. uni+versal), then each stratum $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ is smooth and equidimensional of dimension $\dim(o(y)) = \dim(G_k) - \mathbb{S}(y)$ (resp. $\dim(N_k) - \mathbb{S}(y)$) with $y \in \mathfrak{s}(k)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{Y}$ has a unique open stratum.*

(c) *Let $\mathfrak{s}_1$ and $\mathfrak{s}_2$ be two strata of $\mathcal{Y}$. Let $y_j \in \mathfrak{s}_j(k)$, $j = \overline{1,2}$. Let $o_1' \in \mathbb{O}$ be such that $o_1' \leq o(y_2)$. If $\mathfrak{s}_1$ specializes to $\mathfrak{s}_2$, then $o(y_1) \leq o(y_2)$. If $\mathcal{W}$ is either full or uni+versal, then there exists a stratum $\mathfrak{s}_1'$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ that specializes to $\mathfrak{s}_2$ and such that we have $o_1' = o(y_1')$ for some* *point* $y_1' \in \mathfrak{s}_1'(k)$. *In particular, if* $\mathcal{W}$ *is either full or uni+versal, then* $\mathfrak{s}_1$ *specializes to* $\mathfrak{s}_2$ *if and only if* $o(y_1) \le o(y_2)$.

(d) *The number of strata of* $\mathcal{Y}$ *is at most* $[W_G : W_P]$.

(e) *We assume* $\mathcal{W}$ *is uni+versal,* Y *is proper (over* k*), and the strata of* $\mathcal{Y}$ *are quasi-affine. Then* $\mathcal{Y}$ *has a unique closed stratum* $\mathfrak{s}_0$ *of dimension* 0 *and to which all strata of* $\mathcal{Y}$ *specialize.*

(f) *Suppose the hypotheses of (e) hold. Then* $\mathcal{Y}$ *has* $[W_G : W_P]$ *strata if and only if the action* $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ *has a unique closed orbit.*

Proof: Let $y \in Y(k)$. Let I_0 be a finite subset of I such that $\{\mathrm{Im}(U_i \to Y) | i \in I_0\}$ is an open cover of Y. Let $i \in I_0$. Let $\mathfrak{s}_{iy}$ be $\bar{g}_i^{-1}(o(y))$ endowed with its reduced structure. It is a reduced, locally closed subscheme of U_i. For $y_i \in \mathrm{Im}(U_i(k) \to Y(k))$ we have $y_i \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathfrak{s}_{iy}(k) \to Y(k))$ if and only if $< \mathcal{C}_y > = < \mathcal{C}_{y_i} >$, cf. Lemma 5.1 (a). Thus for $i, j \in I_0$, we have $\mathfrak{s}_{iy} \times_Y U_j = \mathfrak{s}_{jy} \times_Y U_i$. Thus we can uniquely define a stratification $\mathcal{Y}$ of Y via the following property: the stratum $\mathfrak{s}_y$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ to which y belongs is the reduced, locally subscheme of Y whose k-valued points are $\cup_{i \in I_0} \mathrm{Im}(\mathfrak{s}_{iy}(k) \to Y(k))$. Thus (a) holds.

We prove (b). We first assume $\mathcal{W}$ is full. The k-morphism $\mathfrak{s}_{iy} \to o(y)$ is étale. Thus, as $o(y)$ is connected and smooth, each $\mathfrak{s}_{iy}$ is smooth and equidimensional of dimension $\dim(o(y)) = \dim(G_k) - \mathbb{S}(y)$ (cf. (12) for the equality part). This implies that the stratum $\mathfrak{s}_y$ is smooth and equidimensional of dimension $\dim(o(y)) = \dim(G_k) - \mathbb{S}(y)$. As $\mathbb{T}_{G_k,\sigma}$ has a dense, open orbit (see Example 5.6), $\mathcal{Y}$ has a unique open, dense stratum.

Let now $\mathcal{W}$ be uni+versal. Let $\tilde{Y} = P_k \times_k Y$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ be as in Subsubsection 12.1.1. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the stratification of $\tilde{Y}$ defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$, cf. (a). It is the pull back of $\mathcal{Y}$ via the projection $q_2 : \tilde{Y} \twoheadrightarrow Y$. Thus each stratum $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ is the quotient of a unique stratum $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}} = P_k \times_k \mathfrak{s}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ under the left multiplication action of P_k on $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$. As $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}$ is smooth and equidimensional, $\mathfrak{s}$ is also so and its dimension is $\dim(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}) - \dim(P_k) = \dim(G_k) - \mathbb{S}(y) - \dim(P_k) = \dim(N_k) - \mathbb{S}(y)$. As $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ has a unique dense, open stratum, the same applies to $\mathcal{Y}$. Thus (b) holds.

The first part of (c) is obvious. It suffices to prove the second part of (c) for the full case, cf. the augmentation process of the previous paragraph. Thus we can assume $\mathcal{W}$ is full. Let $(U_i, \rho_i) \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $y_2 \in \mathrm{Im}(U_i(k) \to Y(k))$ and $\bar{g}_i : U_i \to G_k$ is étale. As $o_1' \le o(y_2)$, the inverse image $\bar{g}_i^*(o_1')$ is a locally closed subscheme of U_i whose image in Y is contained in a stratum $\mathfrak{s}_1'$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ that specializes to y_2. If $y_1' \in \mathfrak{s}_1'(k)$, then we have $o(y_1') = o_1'$. Thus (c) holds. Part (d) follows from Corollary 1.1.

We prove (e) and (f). As each stratum of $\mathcal{Y}$ is equidimensional, $\mathcal{Y}$ has a closed stratum $\mathfrak{s}_0$. As Y is proper, $\mathfrak{s}_0$ is also proper. As $\mathfrak{s}_0$ is proper, quasi-affine, it has dimension 0. If $y \in \mathfrak{s}_0(k)$, then $\mathbb{S}(y) = \dim(N_k)$ (cf. (b)). Thus $\dim(o(y)) = \dim(G_k) - \dim(N_k) = \dim(P_k)$, cf. (12) and Corollary 11.1 (b). Only the pivotal orbit in $\mathbb{O}$ has dimension $\dim(P_k)$, cf. Corollary 11.1 (c). Thus $\mathcal{Y}$ has a unique closed stratum $\mathfrak{s}_0$ defined by: for $y \in Y(k)$, we have $y \in \mathfrak{s}_0$ if and only if o_y is the pivotal orbit in $\mathbb{O}$. Due to the uniqueness of $\mathfrak{s}_0$, each stratum of $\mathcal{Y}$ specializes to $\mathfrak{s}_0$. Thus (e) holds. As $\mathbb{O}$ has $[W_G : W_P]$ orbits (cf. Corollary 11.1 (b)), (f) follows from (c) and (e). $\square$

Corollary 12.3. *Let* $Y(k)^{\mathrm{top}}$ *be the topological space underlying* $Y(k)$.

(a) *The map* $o_Y : Y(k)^{\mathrm{top}} \to \mathbb{O}$ *that associates to* $y \in Y(k)^{\mathrm{top}}$ *the orbit* $o(y) \in \mathbb{O}$*, is continuous.*

(b) *If* $\mathcal{W}$ *is uni+versal, then the map* o_Y *is also open.*

Proof: Part (a) only translates the first part of the property 12.2 (c). To check (b), let U_Y be an open subscheme of Y. Let $\mathcal{W}_{U_Y} := (\mathcal{K}_{U_Y}, \mathcal{F}_{U_Y}, \mathcal{V}_{U_Y}, \mathcal{A}_{U_Y})$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ to U_Y; here $\mathcal{A}_{U_Y}$ is the subset of $\mathcal{A}$ formed by those maps (U_i, ρ_i) that satisfy

$\mathrm{Im}(U_i \to Y) \subseteq U_Y$ (cf. condition (iii.d) of Subsection 12.1). As $\mathcal{W}$ is uni+versal, $\mathcal{W}_{U_Y}$ is also uni+versal. By applying the second part of the property 12.2 (c) to $\mathcal{W}_{U_Y}$, we get that $o_Y(U_Y(k)^{\mathrm{top}}) = \mathrm{Im}(o_{U_Y})$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{O}$. Thus (b) holds. $\square$

Remark 12.4. **(a)** Let $\mathcal{J}$ be an integral canonical model in unramified mixed characteristic $(0,p)$ of a Shimura variety of Hodge type, cf. [35, Defs. 3.2.3 6) and 3.2.6]. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{J}}$ be an abelian scheme over $\mathcal{J}$ obtained naturally via an embedding of our Shimura variety of Hodge type into a Siegel modular variety. Let Z_0 be a smooth quotient of $\mathcal{J}$ of finite type such that $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the pull back of an abelian scheme $\mathfrak{A}_0$ over Z_0. We assume that $\mathcal{J}$ and Z_0 are such that there exists a reductive, closed subgroup scheme $\mathfrak{G}_0$ of $\boldsymbol{GL}_{\underline{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathfrak{A}_0/Z_0)}$ which is naturally the (integral) crystalline realization of the reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}$ that defines our Shimura variety of Hodge type.

Let Z be an open subscheme of $Z_{0W(k)}$ such that $Y := Z_k$ is connected. Let $\mathfrak{A} := \mathfrak{A}_0 \times_{Z_0} Z$. The fact that there is a Shimura F-crystal (M, ϕ, G) over k such that the vector bundle $\underline{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathfrak{A}/Z)$ over Z has a natural structure of a G-bundle and the condition (*) of Example 12.2 holds, is a direct consequence of the deformation theory of [35, Subsect. 5.4] and of our connectedness and reductiveness assumptions. Thus in applications, Y is an open closed subscheme of Z_{0k} and $\mathcal{W}$ is a uni+versal $\mathcal{D}$-bundle mod p over Y as in Example 12.2.

The stratification $\mathcal{Y}$ of Y defined by $\mathcal{W}$ is the pull back of a stratification $\mathcal{Y}_0$ of a connected component of the special fibre of Z_0. By combining [35, Subsubsect. 3.2.12 and Thm. 5.1] with [26, Thm. (1.2)] one gets that all strata of $\mathcal{Y}_0$ are quasi-affine. The tools of [42, Sect. 5] are general enough to imply (based on Corollary 1.1 and the property (d) of Subsection 12.2) that $\mathcal{Y}_0$ has exactly $[W_G : W_P]$ strata (even if Z is not proper over $W(k)$).

(b) The proof of Basic Theorem D is independent of the existence of an integrable and nilpotent connection ∇ on $\mathcal{K}$ with respect to which $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are horizontal. But if $\mathcal{W}$ is not defined by some Barsotti–Tate group over Y endowed with crystalline tensors, the strata of $\mathcal{Y}$ are not necessarily quasi-affine even if $\mathcal{W}$ is uni+versal.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank U of Arizona and Binghamton University for good working conditions, J.-P. Serre and O. Gabber for the proof of Lemma 2.5, and the referee for many valuable comments and suggestions.

References

[1] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups. Second edition,* Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **126**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[2] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras, Chapters 4–6,* Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[3] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Néron models,* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), Vol. **21**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[4] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée,* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., Vol. **60**, 5–184, 1984.

[5] P. Deligne, *Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques,* Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR, 1977), Part 2, 247–289, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.

[6] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, et al. *Schémas en groupes, Vol. I–III,* Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **151–153**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.

[7] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields,* Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 1, 103–161.

[8] J.-M. Fontaine, *Groupes p-divisibles sur les corps locaux,* J. Astérisque **47/48**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1977.

[9] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory. A first course,* Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **129**, Readings in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[10] D. Goss, *Basic structures of function field arithmetic,* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), Vol. **35**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[11] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, and R. Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups, Number 3,* Math. Surv. and Monog., Vol. **40**, no. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[12] X. He, *G-stable pieces and partial flag varieties,* to appear in Comp. Math.

[13] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory,* Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **9**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.

[14] J. E. Humphreys, *Conjugacy classes in semisimple algebraic groups,* Math. Surv. and Monog., Vol. **43**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[15] L. Illusie, *Déformations des groupes de Barsotti–Tate (d'aprés A. Grothendieck),* Seminar on arithmetic bundles: the Mordell conjecture (Paris, 1983/84), 151–198, J. Astérisque **127**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1985.

[16] R. E. Kottwitz, *Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields,* J. of Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 2, 373–444.

[17] H. Kraft, *Kommutative algebraische p-Gruppen (mit Anwendungen auf p-divisible Gruppen und abelsche Varietäten),* manuscript 86 pages, Univ. Bonn, 1975.

[18] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions,* Coll. Public., Vol. **44**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[19] R. Langlands and M. Rapoport, *Shimuravarietäten und Gerben,* J. reine angew. Math. **378** (1987), 113–220.

[20] G. Lusztig, *Parabolic character sheaves. I,* Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), no. 1, 153–179, 311.

[21] H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra. Second edition,* Mathematics Lecture Note Series, Vol. **56**. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1980.

[22] B. Moonen, *Group schemes with additional structures and Weyl group cosets,* Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), 255–298, Progr. of Math., Vol. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

[23] B. Moonen, *A dimension formula for Ekedahl–Oort strata,* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54** (2004), no. 3, 666–698.

[24] M.-H. Nicole and A. Vasiu, *Minimal truncations of supersingular p-divisible groups,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), no. 6, 2887–2898.

[25] F. Oort, *Newton polygons and formal groups: conjectures by Manin and Grothendieck,* Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 1, 183–206.

[26] F. Oort, *A stratification of a moduli space of abelian varieties,* Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), 345–416, Progr. of Math., Vol. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

[27] F. Oort, *Minimal p-divisible groups,* Ann. of Math. (2) **161** (2005), no. 2, 1021–1036.

[28] R. Pink, *l-adic algebraic monodromy groups, cocharacters, and the Mumford–Tate conjecture,* J. reine angew. Math. **495** (1998), 187–237.

[29] M. Raynaud, *Anneaux Locaux Henséliens,* Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **169**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.

[30] J.-P. Serre, *Groupes algébriques associés aux modules de Hodge–Tate,* Journées de Géom. Alg. de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), 155–188, J. Astérisque **65**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris,

1979.

[31] T. A. Springer, *An extension of Bruhat's lemma,* J. Algebra **313** (2007), no. 1, 417–427.

[32] R. Steinberg, *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups,* Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. **80**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968.

[33] J. Tits, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups,* Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Boulder, CO, 1965), 33–62, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **9**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1966.

[34] J. Tits, *Reductive groups over local fields,* Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR, 1977), Part 1, 29–69, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.

[35] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type,* Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), no. 2, 401–518.

[36] A. Vasiu, *On two theorems for flat, affine group schemes over a discrete valuation ring,* Centr. Eur. J. Math. **3** (2005), no. 1, 14–25.

[37] A. Vasiu, *Crystalline Boundedness Principle,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **39** (2006), no. 2, 245–300.

[38] A. Vasiu, *Level m stratifications,* J. Alg. Geom. **17** (2008), no. 4, 599–641.

[39] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models of unitary Shimura varieties,* Asian J. Math. **12** (2008), no. 2, 151–176.

[40] A. Vasiu, *Geometry of Shimura varieties of Hodge type over finite fields*, Higher-dimensional geometry over finite fields, 197–243, NATO Sci. Peace Secur. Ser. D Inf. Commun. Secur., **16**, IOS, Amsterdam, 2008.

[41] A. Vasiu, *Reconstructing p-divisible groups from their truncations of small level,* Comment. Math. Helv. **85** (2010), no. 1, 165–202.

[42] A. Vasiu, *Manin problems for Shimura varieties of Hodge type,* manuscript, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0209410.

[43] T. Wedhorn, *The dimension of Oort strata of Shimura varieties of PEL-type,* Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), 441–471, Progr. of Math., Vol. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

[44] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 2 et 3,* Séminaire de Géomtrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4). Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. Lecture Notes in Math., Vols. **270** and **305**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972 and 1973.

Adrian Vasiu, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Binghamton University,
Binghamton, New York 13902-6000, U.S.A.
e-mail: adrian@math.binghamton.edu